



Evaluation Design & Indicators

Evaluation Learning Series

Topic #4

Evaluation Learning Series

Topics in the Series

The Importance of Engaging Stakeholders

How to Create a Program Description

Evaluation Questions

Evaluation Design & Indicators

Connecting the Dots: Methods and Analysis

Writing and Dissemination

Agenda

- Evaluation Design
- Types of Evaluation Designs
- CDC Evaluation Framework Standards
- Indicators and Performance Measures

Evaluation Design

CDC Evaluation Framework

- Step 1: Stakeholders
- Step 2: Program Description
- Step 3: Determine which oral health program or aspects of your program you will evaluate
 - Develop evaluation questions
 - Choose the evaluation design ←



What is Evaluation Design?

- Structure that provides the information needed to answer the evaluation questions
- Based on
 - Logic model
 - Purpose
 - Evaluation questions
 - Resources available
 - Stakeholder requirements



Things to Consider

- Will this design provide you with valid information?
- Incorrect design = inaccurate information
- Evaluation design vs data collection methods

Things to Consider (2)

- Feasibility (time constraints, resources, cost)
- Ethical concerns

Types of Evaluation Designs

Types of Designs

- Experimental
- Quasi-experimental
- Non-experimental

Experimental (1)

- Compares the outcome of an intervention on one group with a group that did not receive the intervention
- Random assignment
- Can be difficult to achieve in evaluation

Randomized
Controlled Trials =
Gold Standard

Experimental (2)

- Select similar schools
 - Randomly assign some to receive the interventions
 - Randomly assign other schools as controls

Quasi-Experimental (1)

- Comparisons between groups without random assignment
- Example: Comparing outcome data among states, comparison of outcome data between one state and the nation as a whole

Design Examples

- Differences-in-differences
- Comparative time series
- Pre-post test with matched comparison group

Quasi-Experimental (2)

- Assessing adults' beliefs about the harmful effects of sugar sweetened beverages in two communities
 - Media campaign in one community
 - Re-assess the adults
- Should be similar on key factors

Non-Experimental

- Observational
- Most common in program evaluation
- Considered to be the least rigorous

Design Examples

- Time-series analysis
- Cross-sectional surveys
- Case studies

Question

- What type of study design does the Basic Screening Survey have?



CDC Evaluation Framework Standards

Evaluation Questions and the Four Standards (1)

1. Utility

- Who will use the evaluation results and how will they use them?
- What special needs of any other stakeholders must be addressed?

2. Feasibility

- What amount of resources will be required for the proposed evaluation design?

Evaluation Questions and the Four Standards (2)

3. Propriety

- Will the focus and design adequately detect any unintended consequences?
- Will the focus and design include the experience of those who are affected by the program?



Evaluation Questions and the Four Standards (3)

4. Accuracy

- Is the design the right one to respond to the questions that are being asked by stakeholders?
- Will the design give me the information that I need?



Indicators & Performance Measures

CDC Evaluation Framework



Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Office of the Director, Office of Strategy and Innovation. Introduction to program evaluation for public health programs: A self-study guide. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011.

Discussion

- What is the difference (if any) between indicators and performance measures?



Indicators

- **Simple** means to measure achievement or assess performance
- Helps to ask further questions about how and why these changes did (or did not) happen
- Clear and specific in what it will measure
 - Unclear indicators lead to different interpretations

Types of Indicators

Type of Indicator	Description
Input Indicator	Measures contributions necessary for the program to be implemented (e.g., funding, staff, partners, infrastructure)
Process Indicator	Defines activities/outputs on the logic model such as “diverse coalition” or “culturally competent curriculum”
Outcome Indicator	Measures whether or not program is achieving the proposed outcomes

Elements of an Indicator

- Indicator name/definition
- Numerator & denominator or percentage
- Disaggregation
- Data source/measurement method
- Measurement/reporting frequency

Example data table

Table 1.1: Program Partnerships and Collaborations Data Grid

		FOCUS AREA 1: Program Partnerships and Collaborations					
		GOAL: Create a network of successful partnerships to monitor and obtain feedback on program progress and expand the reach and impact of the OHP					
Objective	Evaluation Question	Indicator/Measure	Baseline (2015)	Target Year		Data Source	Frequency
				Year 4 (2016)	Year 5 (2017)		
Maintain a diverse and active network of collaboration through the Oral Health Coalition	Does the Oral Health Coalition maintain an active and diverse group of stakeholders?	(1) % of active participating members satisfied with overall Coalition	35%	45%	60%	Oral Health Coalition Member Annual Survey; Oral Health Coalition Post-Meeting surveys	Annually 2015-2018; Quarterly 2015-2018
		(2) # of total Oral Health Coalition members (Completed membership form)	40	45	55	Membership Roster; OHP Indicator Tracking Document	Annually 2015-2018
		(3) # of members attending meetings (1 of 4 meetings a year)	30	35	40		

Performance Measures

- Series of pre-established goals to meet over time that can be used to identify areas of increasing or decreasing performance
- Ongoing monitoring and reporting toward pre-established goals

Elements of a Performance Measure

- Target
- Baseline
- Actual






Healthy People 2020

Oral Health of Children and Adolescents

OH-1 Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who have dental caries experience in their primary or permanent teeth

OH-1.1 Reduce the proportion of children aged 3 to 5 years with dental caries experience in their primary teeth 

OH-1.2 Reduce the proportion of children aged 6 to 9 years with dental caries experience in their primary or permanent teeth 

Baseline:	54.4 percent of children aged 6 to 9 years had dental caries experience in at least one primary or permanent tooth in 1999-2004
Target:	49.0 percent
Target-Setting Method:	10 percent improvement
Data Sources:	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), CDC/NCHS
Data:	 HP2020 data for this objective Spotlight on Disparities:  <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Disparities by health insurance status• Disparities by sex  Details about the methodology and measurement of this HP2020 objective  The HP2010 objective with the same definition was 21-01b.  Search data for all HP2010 objectives

Indicators & Performance Measures

- May be able to utilize existing indicators or performance measures
- Advantages
 - May have been pre-tested for relevance and accuracy
 - Usually define the best data sources for the indicator

Sources

- Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)
- Healthy People 2020
- CDC Winnable Battles
- World Health Organization (WHO)
- Some CDC programs (Chronic Disease Indicators, Office of Smoking & Health)
- USAID
- Existing literature, other grantees

Resources

- Evaluation Toolkit – Choose an Evaluation Design. The Pell Institute.
- Evaluation Designs – Common Evaluation Designs. Children, Youth and Families at Risk (CYFAR) at University of Minnesota.
- Healthy People 2020 Oral Health Indicators.
- State-Based Oral Health Surveillance Systems: Conceptual Framework and Operational Definition. ASTDD; 2013.
- Chronic Disease Indicators. Indicator Definitions – Oral Health.



End of Topic #4: Evaluation Design & Indicators

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC.