

NIOSH Response to SCA-TR-2016-SEC009: Review of Petition Evaluation Report for SEC-00224 Regarding the Use of General Area Air Sampling for Internal Dose Assessment

Megan Lobaugh, PhD, CHP

Research Health Physicist

Division of Compensation Analysis and Support

Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health, 159th Meeting

Teleconference | August 7, 2024

Overview

- Argonne National Laboratory-West (ANL-W) Site Background & Description
- SEC-00224 Petition Information
- SEC-00224 Evaluation Report
- "Areas of Concern" raised in SCA-TR-2016-SEC009, *Review of SEC-00224* regarding Use of General Area Air Sampling for Internal Dose Assessment
- ORAUT-RPRT-0089, Evaluation of Issues in the Use of General Area Air Sampling for Argonne National Laboratory-West Internal Dose Assessment
- NIOSH Responses to "Areas of Concern" in SCA-TR-2016-SEC009

ANL-W Site Background & Description



Aerial photo of the EBR-I Complex

Background

- **1949-February 2005**: operated by the University of Chicago under the AEC/ERDA/DOE Chicago Operations Office
- February 2005: merged with Idaho National Laboratory (INL) and remaining operational facilities collectively named the Materials and Fuels Complex (MFC)
- Reactor testing, including breeder reactor theory, and experimental measurements.



Site Description

- Located on the INL site
- Two primary areas:
 - 1. Experimental Breeder Reactor-I (EBR-I) Complex
 - 2. EBR-II Complex



INL Site Map Depicting the Locations of the ANL-W Facilities

EBR-I Complex

- 1. Argonne Fast Source Reactor (AFSR) first location
- 2. EBR-I
- 3. Boiling Water Reactor Experiments I-V (BORAX I-V)
- 4. Zero Power Reactor-III (ZPR-III)



Main Part of the EBRComplex

EBR-II Complex

During Time Period for Class Evaluated by NIOSH



SEC-00224 Petition Information



Light Bulbs Powered by the EBR-I Reactor

SEC-00224 Petition

- Received December 4, 2014
 - Requested Class: All workers who worked in any work location at the ANL-W from January 1, 1949 through December 31, 1995.
 - F.1 Basis: Inadequate monitoring for Pu, Np, and fission products
- Qualified on March 13, 2015
 - Class Evaluated by NIOSH: All workers who worked in any location of the ANL-W from April 10, 1951 through December 31, 1979.
 - Start date based on first radiological operation
 - End date modified because large number of Pu bioassay analyses available beginning in 1980
- Evaluation Report (ER) sent to ABRWH on February 24, 2016

SEC-00224 Petition ER



Aerial Photo of the EBR-II Complex

NIOSH-Proposed Class and HHS-Designated Class

"All employees of the Department of Energy, its predecessor agencies, and their contractors and subcontractors who worked at the Argonne National Laboratory-West between April 10, 1951 and December 31, 1957..."

- Not feasible to estimate internal and external exposures for this class due to the lack of personnel monitoring data
- Personnel monitoring data for mixed fission product (MFP) internal exposures and external exposures beginning in 1958

NIOSH-Proposed Method for Bounding Internal Doses-With MFP Present

- Continue with current method for unmonitored actinide intakes given in ANL-W/INL Internal Dose TBD
 - ORAUT-TKBS-0007-5, Section 5.5.2
- Estimate Sr-90 or Cs-137 intakes from bioassay measurements
- Tables 5-22 (Sr-90) and 5-23 (Cs-137) provide ratios to assign the actinide intakes for different reactor fuel types

NIOSH-Proposed Method for Bounding Internal Doses-Actinide-only Areas Identified in ER using 10% MPC

Exposure Location	Years	Exposure
EBR-I	After 1957	U
EBR-II: ZPPR	After 1957	U
EBR-II: FCF, ITF, FASB	08/1967 – 06/1983	U
EBR-II: FCF	08/1963 — 11/1967	Th
EBR-II: ZPPR	After 1957*	Pu
EBR-II: FCF	04/1970 - 12/1972	Pu

*no timeline given in ER; assumption is methodology would apply after the SEC class

SC&A Review of Petition Evaluation Report for SEC-00224, Argonne National Laboratory-West Regarding the Use of **General Area Air Sampling for Internal Dose Assessment**

SCA-TR-2016-SEC009, Revision 0

SC&A Area of Concern 1

- Use of GA samples with low airflow rates, led to sampling times of up to 4 days
- Reasonable assumption is:
 - Working hours air concentration >> non-working hours air concentration
- Measured airborne activity divided by total volume sampled (including air sampled during non-work hours) to yield average air concentration
- Potential for "sample dilution"

SC&A Area of Concern 2

- Other nuclear fuel processing facilities have found lack of parity between air concentration results measured by GA samplers and those measured by lapel samplers
- Section 3.2 of SCA-TR-2016-SEC009 recommended multiplying the GA air samples results by a factor of 10 to resolve the lack of parity

Evaluation of Issues in the Use of General Area Air Sampling for Argonne National Laboratory- West Internal Dose Assessment

ORAUT-RPRT-0089

Purpose of ORAUT-RPRT-0089

- Address two areas of concern raised in Section 4.0 of SCA-TR-2016-SEC009
- Provide additional details about the calculation of the unmonitored actinide intakes at ANL-W including:
 - Evaluation of ANL-W air sampling data
 - Date ranges for potential intake periods not already defined
 - Bases for deviations from the ER proposed methods

EBR-I Complex Actinide-Only Areas



EBR-II Complex Actinide-Only Areas

- FCF: Th, U, and Pu
- **ITF:** U
- **ZPPR:** Pu



Exposure Potential By Area

Exposure Location	Years	Exposure
EBR-I	01/01/1958 - 06/13/1975	U
EBR-II: FCF, ITF	08/01/1967 - 06/30/1976	U
EBR-II: FCF	08/01/1963 - 11/30/1967	Th
EBR-II: ZPPR	09/01/1970 - 07/31/1975	Pu
EBR-II: FCF	04/01/1970 - 04/30/1973	Pu

Air Sampling in ANL-W Actinide Only Areas

- Types of Sampling:
 - Fixed General Area (GA)
 - Portable GA
 - Fixed Breathing Zone (BZ)
 - Portable BZ
- Actinides measured by gross alpha
- Due to radon, ANL-W generally recounted sample until results dropped below level of concern (i.e., 10% or 1% MPC)
- 3 Types of Data Sheets over the Time Period

Exposure Potential By Area + Bounding Method

Location	Exposure	Timeframe	Intake Assessment Method
EBR-I	U	01/01/1958 - 06/13/1975	10% MPC
EBR-II: FCF, ITF	U	08/01/1967 – 06/30/1976	Measured Air Concentrations
EBR-II: FCF	Th	08/01/1963 – 11/30/1967	Measured Air Concentrations
EBR-II: ZPPR	Pu	09/01/1970 - 07/31/1975	10% MPC
EBR-II: FCF	Pu	04/01/1970 - 04/30/1973	Measured Air Concentrations

Shaded rows denote 10% MPC air concentration values.

Evaluation of Measured Air Sample Data (Step 1)

- Compiled Air Sample Data Based on Exposure (4 datasets)
- Data Adjustments
- Determined GA Air Concentration Distribution (GM, GSD)
- Adjusted GA Air Concentration to BZ Air Concentration (RPRT-0097)

Example of Type 1 Air Sample Data

- Single air sample
- Significant amount of sample collection and analysis information

				AIR	SAMILE	DATA						•	
Date Tim	e on Time	off 8-63	Run Time	1	Suspected Ac	tivity		Pro	tection Worn		First	Factor	<u>B8</u> =
10-18-63 1. Room	439 113 Flow Rate M ^a /hr ÷ 60	7	1278min		40) 133	dpm/	/M³	Supplie	nd Air Masks		Fina Froc	I Count tion of MP	<u></u> 別
Bldg.	Lcfm ÷ 35.4		M ³ /min.	D MPC(40) 6600	2dpm/	/M ^a	None	itors		>	10%	
P. L.	Sampler Type	Vol		1 s.						*	<	10% 🕅	皮
Filter Media	loss E	Counter	(s) Used	rtin	al		Conv d/m	Ma x 4.55	а 10 ⁴³ — м	c/cm*			
Sampled By:	100 0	1.100	Counted By:	11111		Self	-Absor	ption Factor:					-
Redacte	d		Reda	cted				1.5 👗					
Xemarks: <u>LX1</u> : 35	278	= 36.	IMI										
Date and Time of Count Time	Tatal Count	Count Time	Counts Per Minutes	Background Counts	Counts Per Der Der	Counter Yield	Self-Absorption Factor	Disintregrations Per Minute	d/m/Ma	Percent of MPC	Type of Activity	Decoy Time	Initials
0-18-63 1205	2270	5	454	1	453	25	1.3	2356	65	49	X	8 min	FK
1261	3093	5	619	43	566	19.1	-	2911	81	1.2	pt	lymin	PAL
15-20	1412	5	282	43	239	19.1	-	1251	37	21	Br	203mi	The
1525	828	5	166	1	165	25	1.3	855	24	18	~	208 mi	PAL
0-21-63/23-0	.11-	5	2	1_	1	24.8	1.3	5	21	~/	~	Stami	BAL
		1								1	-		-

Example of Type 2 Air Sample Data

- November 1973 Air Sample Data sheet was modified
- Multiple samples
- Focus on sample analysis information

								AI	R AI	AFLE D	ATA							
FORMUL	A dpm	XI	.59 X	10-11	. e .	Ci/cc			1.		RC	G-40	• •	= 3 X	10-9	. Ci	/cc - U	INIDENTIFIED
	115												2	= 2 X	10-12	C	/cc -	Pu
COUNT	ER TYPE												a	= 5 X	10-11	c	i/cc -	UNIDENTIFIED
DATE & TIME	LOCATION	CFN	RUN TIME	VOL. FT, ³	ARS. FACT- OR	COUNT	GROSS COUNT	BKG.	NET CPM	YIELD	DPM	Ci/cc	ACTIVITY	RATIO	DECAY TIME	# RCG	INIT.	REMARKS
4/3/75	Ru 26	1	10370	10370	-	SMIN	717	87	56	33%	168 .	2.59× 0	BA	3	min) 5525	KID		and 1020 his 5/23/
lis has		,	63.20	11370	1	SMIN	100	2.0	18	32%	56	8.63.6	4	1	5320	<10	KP	3/30/7
6/10/75	177 F. 1	1	100%	10080	- '	Smil	120	88	32	33%	96	-3	BA	5.9	5455	<10	1	0x \$/30/75 00
1000	for 20	1	1080	10000	-	Smid	36	20	5.2	32%	16.12	2000	×	1	5450	<10	R	OFF STAR
6/17/75	A	1.	14001	14000	-	Smid	1072	91	121	34%	350.9	Hein	5	3	1650	510		OFF 6/16/15
\$30	Km 26	1	14000	14000	-	Smid	298	2.0	39	32%	110.9	7.92 X.0	x	1	1655	60	KP	SEO
1/27/25		1	436	434	-	Shin	1167	81	152	33	456	1.6 ×10	Br	3,1	10 ment	</td <td></td> <td>NL</td>		NL
0940	ku 20	/	435	436	-	Sun	253	2.0	49	32	147_	3910	1	_/	SAUN	</td <td>Kφ</td> <td>Personant of</td>	Kφ	Personant of
4/27/75	Record	1	436	136	-	Smin	635	81	46	33	138	5.16 10	BA	3	185 00	<1	m	abore au
1340	Pm 20 R	1	43,	436	-	Sm.J	84	2.0	15	-2	46.5	17/140	1		18 Aura	<u> </u>	1.	soupe
11/15	20	1.	2394	2394	-	SAIN	683	85	13/	30	103	4.88 X.J	BL	2.2	33 mm	K	-	- x ² - 2
Autor	Pm		2514	2394	-	SAIN	1915	85	15	33	894	3,11×0	a	35	tomu	SI .	14	1
1.112	26	,	19160	19160	-	Smit	420	20	82	31	254.	-13	Z	1	57)	4	~	
7/18/75	Pm 26	1	10081	10081	-	Sand	Z633	85	142	34	412	6,54×10	Br	1.1	1954	K,		
		1	11081	10081	1	SAIN	690	20	136	34	395	-13	d	,	190 MM	51	Ħ	

Example of Type 3 Air Sample Data

- EBR-II Uranium data set for 1967-1969
- Routine (monthly) and non-routine health physics reports
- Reported number of air samples above, below, or at a given percentage of the MPC or RCG

EBR-II (Cold Line - Fuel Cycle Facility and Inspection Test Facility)

There were 45 routine and special health physics surveys performed during the month. All air samples taken during the 13 openings of the Injection Casting furnace showed < 10% RCG(40) α , $\beta\gamma$.

A contamination survey of a vacuum pump that was removed from the Injection Casting furnace system to be repaired showed up to 900 dis/min/100 cm² α in the interior on 12-19-67. An air sample taken during the removal and replacement of the pump showed < 10% RCG(40) α , $\beta\gamma$. The pump was repaired in the FCF basement without spread of contamination.

Alpha contamination was removed from W. Hurum's shoe after it was detected on the α hand and foot monitor at the entrance to FCF Room 20 on 12-13-67. The monitor had alarmed at 500 counts/min. A surgey of room 20 showed the floor to be α contaminated up to > 500 counts/min/100 cm between the hoods. Room 20 was posted as a shoe cover area. No $\beta\gamma$ contamination was detected.

Evaluation of Measured Air Sample Data (Step 2)

- Compiled Air Sample Data Based on Exposure (4 datasets)
- Data Adjustments
 - Applied alpha self-absorption factor 1.3
 - Except when documented it was accounted for in data
 - Adjusted for potential sample dilution
 - Except short duration samples
- Determined GA Air Concentration Distribution (GM, GSD)
- Adjusted GA Air Concentration to BZ Air Concentration (RPRT-0097)

Data Adjustments – Sample Dilution

Type 1

- Calculate the average air concentration using operational time during the sampling period
- Assumes airborne radioactivity drops to 0 during nonoperational times

Type 2 Data

- Calculate the average air concentration with total sampler run-time
- Assume workers exposed to average air concentrations around the clock

Type 3 Data assumed to be short duration samples; not adjusted for sample dilution

Evaluation of Measured Air Sample Data (Step 3)

- Compiled Air Sample Data Based on Exposure (4 datasets)
- Data Adjustments
- Determined GA Air Concentration Distribution (GM, GSD)
- Adjusted GA Air Concentration to BZ Air Concentration (RPRT-0097)

Statistical Evaluation to Determine the GA Air Concentration Distribution

- Regression on order statistics
- 0 dpm/m³ results evaluated with censoring level of <0.01 dpm/m³
- Some reported results included other censoring levels imposed by ANL-W
 - <1% of MPC, <10% of MPC
- Weighted linear regression with sampling time as the weight
 - Except May 1975 through June 1976 uranium data had a better fit using an unweighted regression

EBR-II Uranium Air Monitoring Data



ABRWH 159th Meeting 08/07/2024

EBR-II Thorium Air Monitoring Data



Figure A-1. FCF Room 25 (thoria room) air data, August 1963 to November 1967 (ORAUT 2021g).

ABRWH 159th Meeting 08/07/2024

EBR-II Non-ZPPR Plutonium Air Monitoring Data



Figure A-4. FCF RAS-TREAT sodium-loop air data, April 1970 to April 1973 (ORAUT 2021g).

ABRWH 159th Meeting 08/07/2024

Determination of Air Concentration for the 10% MPC

- MPC from available air sampling data sheets
- Converted from % MPC to air concentration in dpm/m3

Evaluation of Measured Air Sample Data & 10% MPC (Step 4)

- Compiled Air Sample Data Based on Exposure (4 datasets)
- Data Adjustments
- Determined GA Air Concentration Distribution (GM, GSD)
- Adjusted GA Air Concentration to BZ Air Concentration (RPRT-0097)

Adjusting GA Air Concentrations to BZ Air Concentrations (ORAUT-RPRT-0097)

- All air measurements assumed to be GA
- 10% MPC and measured air concentrations were adjusted using factors in ORAUT-RPRT-0097
- Use of adjustment factors from ORAUT-RPRT-0097 must be justified for use at particular sites

Justifying Application of ORAUT-RPRT-0097 at ANL-W

1. Room Size (24.0 to 105.0 m²)

 Table 6-1 shows actinide-only areas generally ≤ 92.3 m²

2. Particle Size Distribution (respirable)

- No indication of particle size measurements at ANL-W, nor that samplers removed nonrespirable particles
- ANL-W samples likely include nonrespirable particles (result in overestimate of intake)

Process Area (actinide)	Total Area (m²)
FCF Rm 20 (U)	62.4
FCF Rm 22 (U)	62.4
FCF Rm 23 (U)	49.1
FCF Rm 25 (Th)	34.3
FCF Rm 26 (U)	53.7
FCF Rm 27 (Pu)	20.6
FCF Rm 28 (Pu)	44.5
FCF Rm 29 (Pu)	92.3
ITF Low Bay Area (U)	Unknown
ZPR-III Workroom (U)	28.1
ZPPR Workroom (Pu)	154.4

Justifying Application of ORAUT-RPRT-0097 at ANL-W (cont.)

3. Ventilation Rate (6 to 90 AC/hr)

- Not known for ANL-W
- It is unlikely ANL-W AC rates would fall outside of the range

4. Room Complexity

• Available floor plans for ANL-W (Attachment B) depict they are comparable to the room complexity and ventilation flow patterns in RPRT-0097

5. Dominant Particles

• No indication of airborne dominant particles at ANL-W in the records

RPRT-0097 Scenario Applicable to ANL-W Actinide Only Areas

- Scenario 1: Worker always at same location as release
 - 2 subgroups based on room configuration: open in the middle and obstructions in the middle
- Scenario 2: Worker not necessarily located at same location as release
- Scenario 2 (GM = 1.08, GSD = 4.02) most appropriate for ANL-W because
 - Unmonitored intakes assessed as chronic exposures
 - Most actinide-only workrooms have multiple workstations
 - Intermittent nature of work means workers would have moved around

Intake Assumptions

- 10% MPC and Type 1 Measured Air Concentrations (adjusted for sample dilution)
 - 2,000h per year exposure time
 - 1.2 m³/h breathing rate
 - Convert to intake per calendar day

• Type 2 Measured Air Concentrations (intake adjusted for sample dilution)

- Calculate intake per calendar day assuming 24h exposure
- Ingestion calculated following OCAS-TIB-009 guidance
 - Type 2 data- calculate the equivalent to the adjusted BZ air concentration

Intake Assignment

Location & Exposure	Time Period	Air Conc. (dpm/m³)	Inh Intake (pCi/d)	Ing Intake (pCi/d)	GSD
	01/01/1958 - 07/31/1961	7.0	20.7	0.430	4.02
EDR-I: U	08/01/1961 - 06/13/1975	13.2	39.1	0.810	4.02
EBR-II: U	08/01/1967 - 12/31/1974	(3.37, 4.92)	10.8	0.223	8.29
	01/01/1975 - 06/30/1976	(2.28, 5.20)	31.9	0.662	8.65
EBR-II: Th	08/01/1963 - 11/30/1967	(16.9, 2.29)	54.1	1.12	5.05
EBR-II: ZPPR Pu	09/01/1970 - 07/31/1975	0.44	1.4	0.029	4.02
EBR-II: Non-ZPPR Pu	04/01/1970 - 04/30/1973	(1.35, 5.73)	4.32	0.0828	9.32

Shaded rows denote 10% MPC air concentration values. Measured air concentration data reported as (GM, GSD).

NIOSH Response to Areas of Concern from SCA-TR-2016-SEC009 regarding Use of General Area Air Sampling for Internal Dose Assessment

Reminder: SC&A Area of Concern 1

- Use of GA samples with low airflow rates, led to sampling times of up to 4 days
- Reasonable assumption:
 - Working hours air concentration >> non-working hours air concentration
- Measured airborne activity divided by total volume sampled (including air sampled during non-work hours) to yield average air concentration
- Potential for "sample dilution"

NIOSH Response Area of Concern 1

- NIOSH agrees sample dilution is a concern and performed an evaluation of the data for the actinide only areas
- NIOSH adjusted air concentrations or intakes to account for sample dilution
 - Air concentrations adjusted using operational time to calculate average air concentration
 - Intakes adjusted by assuming worker was exposed to unadjusted average concentration 24h/d 365d/year

Reminder: SC&A Area of Concern 2

- Other nuclear fuel processing facilities have found lack of parity between air concentration results measured by GA samplers and those measured by lapel samplers
- Section 3.2 of SCA-TR-2016-SEC009 recommended multiplying the GA air samples results by a factor of 10 to resolve the lack of parity

NIOSH Response Area of Concern 2: Factor of 10

- Factor of 10 based on data from only two studies completed in 1967
 - Brunskill and Holt at NUMEC
 - Caldwell, Potter, and Schnell at UK Works Sites
- Studies completed before significant sources of error with lapel sampling were known
 - Including lapel samplers sampling contamination from protective clothes and non-respirable size particles, as well as the presence of dominant particles
- NIOSH disagrees with applying a factor of 10 and instead further investigated the lack of parity

NIOSH Response Area of Concern 2, Part 1: Lack of Parity

- Lack of parity between air concentrations measured by GA and lapel samplers is a common discussion for many EEOICPA covered sites
- ORAUT-RPRT-0097: Breathing Zone to General Area Air Concentration Ratios in Small Workrooms
 - "When the median of the BZ:GA ratio distribution becomes significantly greater than 1 or the GSD becomes large, GA concentrations should be adjusted to make them equivalent to BZ air concentrations"
 - Provides default adjustment factors and approach for determining applicability at specific sites

NIOSH Response Area of Concern 2, Part 1: Lack of Parity, Continued

- NIOSH adjusted the GA air concentrations for ANL-W by applying RPRT-0097, Scenario 2 BZ:GA ratio distribution
 - GM = 1.08, GSD = 4.02
- Application of the BZ:GA ratio distribution:
 - adjusts the GA air concentrations to be equivalent to BZ air concentrations
 - accounts for the increased uncertainty in the BZ air concentrations
- SCPR review of RPRT-0097 resulted in 2 observations
 - Observations were closed at the November 2023 meeting
 - SCPR interested in RPRT-0089 because first application of RPRT-0097

Questions?

