RESEARCH LETTERS

attribute the reduction in case rates to increased testing frequency. However, the large sample size and number of LTCFs included in the analysis, which controlled for several notable confounders, lend credibility to our findings. We advocate increasing SARS-CoV-2 testing frequency as a viable tool for reducing transmission in LTCFs.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Mitchel Roznik and the state of Florida government for contributions to the project.

This research was supported in part by a gift to the Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California by the W.M. Keck Foundation.

L.-T.A.-B. served as a consultant for and Belal Aboabdo is an employee of Curative Inc. I.T. is chief technology officer and cofounder of Curative Inc. J.D.K. served as an independent medical director for Curative Inc.

About the Author

Dr. Allan-Blitz is a resident physician in internal medicine and pediatrics at Brigham and Women's Hospital and Boston Children's Hospital. His research focuses on infectious diseases and health equity, specifically in resource-limited settings.

References

- Thomas KS, Zhang W, Dosa DM, Carder P, Sloane P, Zimmerman S. Estimation of excess mortality rates among us assisted living residents during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2113411. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.13411
- Larremore DB, Wilder B, Lester E, Shehata S, Burke JM, Hay JA, et al. Test sensitivity is secondary to frequency and turnaround time for COVID-19 surveillance. Sci Adv. 2021;7:eabd5393.
- Mina MJ, Parker R, Larremore DB. Rethinking Covid-19 test sensitivity – a strategy for containment. N Engl J Med. 2020;383:e120. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2025631
- Holmdahl I, Kahn R, Hay JA, Buckee CO, Mina MJ. Estimation of transmission of COVID-19 in simulated nursing homes with frequent testing and immunitybased staffing. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2110071. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.10071
- Agency for Health Care Administration. Florida. Rule 59AER20-5 mandatory testing for nursing home staff [cited 2021 Jun 22]. https://ahca.myflorida.com/docs/59AER20-5_ Mandatory_Testing_for_Nursing_Home_Staff.pdf
- Centers for Medicare Services. COVID-19 nursing home data [cited 2021 Jun 1]. https://data.cms.gov/stories/s/ COVID-19-Nursing-Home-Data/bkwz-xpvg
- Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20:533-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1
- 8. Escobar DJ, Lanzi M, Saberi P, Love R, Linkin DR, Kelly JJ, et al. Mitigation of a COVID-19 outbreak in a nursing home

- through serial testing of residents and staff. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;72:e394–6. PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1021
- Louie JK, Scott HM, DuBois A, Sturtz N, Lu W, Stoltey J, et al.; San Francisco Department of Public Health COVID-19 Skilled Nursing Facility Outbreak Response Team. Lessons From mass-testing for coronavirus disease 2019 in long-term care facilities for the elderly in San Francisco. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;72:2018–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1020

Address for correspondence: Lao-Tzu Allan-Blitz, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St, Boston, MA 02115, USA; email: lallan-blitz@partners.org; Jeffrey D. Klausner, Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1845 N Soto Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA; email: jdklausner@med.usc.edu

Highly Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant in Chronically Infected Immunocompromised Person

Bas B. Oude Munnink, Roel H.T. Nijhuis, Nathalie Worp, Marjan Boter, Babette Weller, Babs E. Verstrepen, Corine GeurtsvanKessel, Maarten F. Corsten, Anne Russcher, Marion Koopmans

Author affiliations: Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (B.B. Oude Munnink, N. Worp, M. Boter, B. Weller, B.E. Verstrepen, C. GeurtsvanKessel, M. Koopmans); Meander Medical Center, Amersfoort, the Netherlands (R.H.T. Nijhuis, M.F. Corsten, A. Russcher)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2809.220875

We detected a highly divergent SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variant in an immunocompromised person several months after the latest detection of the Alpha variant in the Netherlands. The patient was infected for 42 weeks despite several treatment regimens and disappearance of most clinical symptoms. We identified several potential immune escape mutations in the spike protein.

Persons with an immune deficiency can be infected with viral pathogens for a prolonged period. This occurrence has been reported for noroviruses (1) but also has been documented for SARS-CoV-2 (2). We report a patient with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic lymphocytic leukemia who had been infected for 42 weeks with SARS-CoV-2. The patient was hospitalized on April 23, 2021, and received optiflow treatment with dexamethasone, tocilizumab, and remdesivir. After May 11, 2021, the patient recovered and experienced no residual symptoms. Almost 9 months later, on February 3, 2022, the patient was readmitted to the hospital for leukemia-related anemia and tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 once again. A month later, the patient died of causes unrelated to SARS-CoV-2.

In all, the patient tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in 6 nasal or pharyngeal swab specimens. We performed whole-genome sequencing on all specimens by using an amplicon-based sequencing approach, as previously described, with the updated ARTIC primers version 4.1 (ARTIC Network, https://artic.network/mcov-2019) (3). The sequencing was successful for 2 specimens from mid-2021 and 2 specimens from early 2022 (Table). Pangolin version 4.0.6 PLEARN-v1.8 classification using default settings demonstrated that all sequenced viruses belonged to the Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant of concern (VOC) (5), Nextclade version 1.14.1 strain 20I (6). The samples were run on flow-

cells containing 96 samples, including a positive and negative control (pangolin lineage B.1.77.50) to exclude potential contamination. GISAID's EpiCoV database (https://www.gisaid.org) showed that the latest isolate identified as Alpha in the Netherlands was collected on October 13, 2021, suggesting that the variant had not been circulating in the Netherlands since that time. Phylogenetic analysis by IQ-TREE (7) using all unique downsampled Alpha sequences available in GISAID (8) from the Netherlands showed that the viruses detected on January 31 and February 3, 2022, were identical but distinct from previously observed Alpha lineages in the Netherlands (Figure). A zoom-in of the phylogenetic tree showed that all sequences of the virus in the patient cluster together in a separate branch, suggesting that the patient was chronically infected with this specific variant of SARS-CoV-2 (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/ EID/article/28/9/22-0875-App1.pdf).

Over time, we identified 24 nucleotide mutations when we compared sequences from the earliest and latest timepoints. Of these mutations, 19 mutations were nonsynonymous, resulting in 13 amino acid mutations in open reading frame 1ab and 6 amino acid mutations in the spike protein (Table; Appendix Figure 2). Of the 6 mutations in the spike protein, 3 are located in the receptor-binding domain (G339D, N439K, and V483F), 2 are located in the N-terminal domain (W64R and

Table. Mutations detected over time by WGS in an immunocompromised person chronically infected with a highly divergent SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variant in the Netherlands, using the nucleotide coordinate system of Wuhan-Hu-1*

| Collection | GISAID (ENA) | | | | E gene | N gene |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| date | accession no. | Ct value† | ORF1ab mutations | Spike mutations | mutations | mutations |
| 2021 Apr 13 | EPI_ISL_10688798 (ERS1216848) | 20.3 | | | | |
| 2021 Apr 28 | NA | 17.9 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 2021 May 5 | NA | 22.3 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 2021 May 26 | EPI_ISL_2887843 (ERS7202253) | 22.1 | C5178A, G5880T, C12852T, C17555A | NM | NM | NM |
| 2022 Jan 31 | EPI_ISL_10072285 (ERS10975083) | NA‡ | A570T, G713T, G4232T, C5178A, G5880T, C11653T, C11665T, C12528T, A12555G, C12624T, G12761T, C12774T, C12852T, C17555A, T18340C, G20578T | T21752A, G21987T, G22578A, C22879A, G23009T, C25282T, C25350T | T26418C | NM |
| 2022 Feb 3 | EPI_ISL_13133128 (ERS11204596) | 23.6 | A570T, G713T, G4232T, C5178A, G5880T, C11653T, C11665T, C12528T, A12555G, C12624T, G12761T, C12774T, C12852T, C17555A, T18340C, G20578T | T21752A, G21987T, G22578A, C22879A, G23009T, C25282T, C25350T | T26418C | NM |

^{*}Wuhan-Hu-1 strain, GenBank accession no. NC_045512.2. Ct, cycle threshold; E, envelope; ENA, European Nucleotide Association (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser); GISAID, https://www.gisaid.org; ORF1ab, open reading frame 1ab; N, nucleocapsid; NA, not applicable; NM, no mutations compared with the sequence from the earliest timepoint; NS, whole-genome sequencing not successful; WGS, whole-genome sequencing. †Real-time PCR as described previously was performed (4) to test for the E gene and the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene. The lowest Ct value is reported.

[‡]This specimen was tested using the Aptima SARS-CoV-2 assay (Hologic, https://www.hologic.com) using the Panther system. This system does not provide Ct values.




Figure. Phylogenetic analysis of all downsampled SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variants (B.1.1.7) in the Netherlands. Scale bar indicates number of substitutions per site.

G142V), and 1 is located in the transmembrane domain (P1263L). The mutation G339D can also be found in all Omicron VOCs. G142V has coevolved independently in ≥1 immunocompromised person with a long-term Alpha variant infection (S.A.J. Wilkinson et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.02.22271697), and a mutation in the same position (G142D) has also been described in the Delta (B.1.617.2) and in all Omicron variants.

Our data imply that, despite receiving treatment with dexamethasone, tocilizumab, and remdesivir and being discharged without residual symptoms, the patient had not cleared the infection. Unfortunately, ex vivo rescuing of the viruses from the swabs to assess potential immune escape from circulating neutralizing antibodies was not successful, but some of the mutations we observed in this immunocompromised person with long-term SARS-CoV-2 infection could be linked to immune escape. Previous studies suggest that the G339D mutation affects neutralization in a subset of neutralizing antibodies (9) and that the N439K mutation causes immune escape

and enhances binding affinity for human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (10,11). In addition, the V483F mutation has been shown previously to cause immune escape (12).

The constellation of this particular set of mutations has not been found elsewhere yet despite active ongoing genomic surveillance, which indicates the virus did not spread in the population (Appendix Table). Nonetheless, the detection of an Alpha variant infection in an immunocompromised person in a time when Omicron was the primary circulating variant indicates that reinfection is unlikely, which is also supported by phylogenetic analysis. This occurrence illustrates that this VOC did not completely disappear although it was last detected on October 13, 2021, in the Netherlands. In addition, several mutations were found that are also present in other VOCs, suggesting that VOCs might have emerged in long-term infected immunocompromised persons as suggested previously (13).

Our findings illustrate that in previously unidentified reservoirs, such as immunocompromised persons, virus variants might still be present even

when these variants are regarded as extinct and are no longer circulating among the population. In addition, we show that several mutations associated with immune escape that maintain virulence and fitness can accumulate in such an immunocompromised person. Continuous genomic surveillance in long-term infected persons is essential to elucidate their potential role in the emergence of future VOCs.

Acknowledgments

We thank the originating laboratories, where specimens were first obtained, and the submitting laboratories, where sequence data were generated and submitted to GISAID's EpiCoV Database, on which this research is based. All contributors of data may be contacted directly through the GISAID website (http://platform.gisaid.org).

This work is supported by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant nos. 874735 (VEO) and 101003589 (RECoVER), as well as by ZonMW under grant no. 10150062010005.

About the Author

Dr. Oude Munnink is a researcher at the Erasmus Medical Center. His research interests include genomic surveillance of pathogens and viral zoonoses.

References

- van Beek J, de Graaf M, Smits S, Schapendonk CME, Verjans GMGM, Vennema H, et al. Whole-genome next-generation sequencing to study within-host evolution of norovirus (NoV) among immunocompromised patients with chronic NoV infection. J Infect Dis. 2017;216:1513–24. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jix520
- Corey L, Beyrer C, Cohen MS, Michael NL, Bedford T, Rolland M. SARS-CoV-2 variants in patients with immunosuppression. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:562–6. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsb2104756
- 3. Oude Munnink BB, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Stein M, O'Toole Á, Haverkate M, Mollers M, et al.; Dutch-Covid-19 response team. Rapid SARS-CoV-2 whole-genome sequencing and analysis for informed public health decision-making in the Netherlands. Nat Med. 2020;26:1405–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0997-y

- Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill. 2020;25:2000045. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045
- Rambaut A, Holmes EC, O'Toole Á, Hill V, McCrone JT, Ruis C, et al. A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat Microbiol. 2020;5:1403–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41564-020-0770-5
- Aksamentov I, Roemer C, Hodcroft EB, Neher RA. Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. J Open Source Softw. 2021;6:3773. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37:1530–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015
- Shu Y, McCauley J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data – from vision to reality. Euro Surveill. 2017;22:30494. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2017.22.13.30494
- Cao Y, Wang J, Jian F, Xiao T, Song W, Yisimayi A, et al. Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Nature. 2022;602:657–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04385-3
- Thomson EC, Rosen LE, Shepherd JG, Spreafico R, da Silva Filipe A, Wojcechowskyj JA, et al.; ISARIC4C Investigators; COVID-19 Genomics UK (COG-UK) Consortium. Circulating SARS-CoV-2 spike N439K variants maintain fitness while evading antibody-mediated immunity. Cell. 2021;184:1171–87.e20. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.037
- Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Hannon WW, Loes AN, Hauser K, Dillen JR, et al. Shifting mutational constraints in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain during viral evolution. Science. 2022;377;420-4. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abo7896
- 12. Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, DaSilva J, Poston D, Lorenzi JCC, et al. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. Elife. 2020;9:1. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.61312
- Oude Munnink BB, Worp N, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Sikkema RS, Haagmans B, Fouchier RAM, et al. The next phase of SARS-CoV-2 surveillance: real-time molecular epidemiology. Nat Med. 2021;27:1518–24. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41591-021-01472-w

Address for correspondence: Bas Oude Munnink, Erasmus Medical Center, Department of Viroscience, Doctor Molewaterplein 40, 3015GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands; email: b.oudemunnink@erasmusmc.nl


Highly Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Alpha Variant in Chronically Infected Immunocompromised Person

Appendix

Appendix Table. Mutations overview in the spike protein and prevalence worldwide in Alpha variant viruses submitted to GISAID

before May 30, 2022

| Mutation | Found in the Netherlands | Found worldwide | |
|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Spike: W64R | 1× | 352× | |
| Spike: G142V | 38 × | 2,902× | |
| Spike: G339D | - | 81× | |
| Spike: N439K | - | 89× | |
| Spike: V483F | 24 × | 399× | |
| Spike: P1263L | 7× | 1.176× | |



Appendix Figure 1. Zoom of the phylogenetic analysis of all downsampled SARS-CoV-2 Alpha variants (B.1.1.7) in the Netherlands. The sequences from the same long-term infected person are depicted in red. Scale bar indicates number of substitutions per site.



Appendix Figure 2. Amino acid substitutions, deletions, and insertions over time. Mutations are compared to Wuhan-Hu-1 (NC_045512.2). FCS, furin cleavage site; NTD, N terminal domain; RBD, receptor-binding domain.