Seroprevalence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan, 2020¹

Kirsten E. Wiens, Pinyi Nyimol Mawien, John Rumunu, Damien Slater, Forrest K. Jones, Serina Moheed, Andrea Caflisch, Bior K. Bior, Iboyi Amanya Jacob, Richard Lino Lako, Argata Guracha Guyo, Olushayo Oluseun Olu, Sylvester Maleghemi, Andrew Baguma, Juma John Hassen, Sheila K. Baya, Lul Deng, Justin Lessler, Maya N. Demby, Vanessa Sanchez, Rachel Mills, Clare Fraser, Richelle C. Charles,² Jason B. Harris,² Andrew S. Azman,³ Joseph F. Wamala³

Relatively few coronavirus disease cases and deaths have been reported from sub-Saharan Africa, although the extent of its spread remains unclear. During August 10-September 11, 2020, we recruited 2,214 participants for a representative household-based cross-sectional serosurvey in Juba, South Sudan. We found 22.3% of participants had severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) receptor binding domain IgG titers above prepandemic levels. After accounting for waning antibody levels, age, and sex, we estimated that 38.3% (95% credible interval 31.8%-46.5%) of the population had been infected with SARS-CoV-2. At this rate, for each PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection reported by the Ministry of Health, 103 (95% credible interval 86-126) infections would have been unreported, meaning SARS-CoV-2 has likely spread extensively within Juba. We also found differences in background reactivity in Juba compared with Boston, Massachusetts, USA, where the immunoassay was validated. Our findings underscore the need to validate serologic tests in sub-Saharan Africa populations.

Author affiliations: Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA (K.E. Wiens, F.K. Jones, J. Lessler, M.N. Demby, A.S. Azman); Republic of South Sudan Ministry of Health, Juba, South Sudan (P.N. Mawien, J. Rumunu, B.K. Bior, I.A. Jacob, R.L. Lako, L. Deng); Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA (D. Slater, S. Moheed, V. Sanchez, R. Mills, C. Fraser, R.C. Charles, J.B. Harris); International Organization for Migration, Juba (A. Caflisch); World Health Organization, Juba (A.G. Guyo, O.O. Olu, S. Maleghemi, A. Baguma, J.J. Hassen, S.K. Baya, J.F. Wamala); Kabale University School of Medicine, Kabale, Uganda (A. Baguma); Harvard Medical School, Boston (R.C. Charles, J.B. Harris); Médecins Sans Frontières, Geneva, Switzerland (A.S. Azman); Institute of Global Health, Geneva (A.S. Azman)

lobally, >100 million cases and >2.6 million J deaths had been attributed to coronavirus disease (COVID-19) as of March 14, 2021 (1). Most cases have been reported in Europe and the Americas. In Africa, >2.9 million cases and ≈75,000 deaths have been reported (1). Reasons for the lower reported incidence and death associated with COVID-19 in Africa during the first 6-8 months of the pandemic are unclear but may include differences in age distribution, immune history, climate, early mitigation measures, and epidemiologic connectivity between geographic regions (2,3). However, our understanding of the true spread of severe acute respiratory virus coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been obscured by limited testing capabilities, underreported deaths, and undetected mild or asymptomatic infections (4). Populationbased serological surveys, hundreds of which have been conducted worldwide, can help shed light on the extent of this underestimation of SARS-CoV-2 infections (5,6). As of March 18, 2021, only 16 studies published or available in preprint had been conducted in sub-Saharan Africa (7-16; H. Majiya et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.04. 20168112; B.N. Alemu et al., unpub. data, https:// doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.13.337287; O. Ige et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.24.20231 324; I.M.O. Adetifa et al., unpub. data, https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.02.09.21251404; R. Lucindeet al.,

³These authors contributed equally to this article.

¹A portion of this research was presented at the United States– Japan Cooperative Medical Sciences Program—Virtual Workshop on COVID-19, February 24–26, 2021.

²These authors contributed equally to this article.

unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.05.212 50735; E.W. Kagucia et al., unpub. data, https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.02.12.21251294; M.J. Peluso et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.03.212 51639). Only 3 of those reports (from Nigeria, Ethiopia, and Zambia) were population-based representative studies. No serosurveys had been conducted in South Sudan.

South Sudan confirmed its first COVID-19 case in the capital, Juba, on April 4, 2020 (17), and saw its first wave of reported cases during May–July 2020 (Figure 1). By August 31, 2020, a total of 1,873 virologically confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections (\approx 47/10,000 residents) had been reported from 18,156 reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) tests conducted in Juba. RT-PCR testing in South Sudan, including Juba, has remained limited because of scarce reagents, few testing sites, limited willingness to be tested, and logistic challenges. Thus, as in much of sub-Saharan Africa, the true extent of SARS-CoV-2 spread in the population remains unknown.

Understanding SARS-CoV-2 spread is particularly important for guiding COVID-19 mitigation efforts in light of South Sudan's complex humanitarian and public health context. South Sudan has experienced years of conflict, leading to 1.61 million internally displaced persons (IDP). Severe food insecurity affects more than half the population: 6 million people, including 1.3 million malnourished children (*18,19*). In Juba, 28.7% of households indicated that they were unable to access health care services when needed in the first 6 months of the pandemic; this number increased to 43.2% among residents in the lowest wealth quintile (20). These underlying vulnerabilities may increase risk of SARS-CoV-2 spread and may themselves be compounded by direct and indirect effects of the epidemic.

To estimate the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and associated risk factors in Juba, we conducted a representative household-based crosssectional serosurvey. Here we present the results of this serosurvey and discuss the implications for SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in South Sudan, as well as more broadly for serologic studies conducted in Africa and worldwide.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

We conducted a cross-sectional serosurvey in residential neighborhoods of the city of Juba and Juba County according to protocols from the World Health Organization's Unity Studies (5). We determined urban demarcation based on residentially developed areas, local administrative boundaries, and existing transportation networks within the Northern Bari, Munuki, Juba, Kator, Rejaf, and Gondokoro payams (subcounty administrative divisions). Residents of Juba IDP camps I and III, former United Nations Mission in the Republic of South Sudan (UN-MISS) civilian protection sites, were not included in the sampling frame.

The survey employed 2-stage cluster sampling. We used enumeration areas (EAs) as clusters and

> Figure 1. Number of weekly SARS-CoV-2 tests and infections reported in Juba, South Sudan, May 3–October 30, 2020. The survey of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG was conducted August 10–September 11. First coronavirus disease case in South Sudan was identified on April 2 and confirmed on April 4, 2020 (23). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.





selected them using probability proportional to size sampling. We calculated probabilities based on the number of structures in the EA found by satellite imagery; we removed nonresidential areas that were mapped by field teams during a preliminary assessment. Within each sampled EA, we randomly selected 11 residential structures as households to recruit into the study. The target sample size was 2,750 (50 clusters of 55 respondents each), but 11.1% of the original 550 households declined to participate. The main reasons reported were stigma, fear of testing positive, fear that the health worker taking the sample would infect the participant, and concern about samples being taken abroad for analysis. Alternate households were randomly sampled using the same procedure as for the original households. Three initially selected EAs, inhabited by families of military personnel, were inaccessible and therefore we replaced them by randomly sampling new EAs from the same stratum.

We defined a household as a group of persons who slept under the same roof most nights and shared a cooking pot. Regardless of current or past COVID-19 illness, all household members were eligible for inclusion if they or their guardian provided written consent to participate and they were ≥ 1 year of age and had lived in the area ≥ 1 week before the survey. For households with ≥ 10 persons, only firstdegree relatives of the head of household were eligible for study inclusion. If multiple households lived in 1 shelter, we blindly drew from labeled papers to randomly select 1 household for inclusion.

We interviewed eligible participants to collect information about sociodemographic characteristics, history of respiratory symptoms, SARS-CoV-2 tests, exposure risks in the previous 2 weeks, and all household deaths. We collected dried blood samples by drawing blood by lancet from a finger, heel, or toe, and applying a few drops onto Whatman 903 (https://www.cytivalifesciences.com) or Ahlstrom grade 226 filter paper (https://www.ahlstrom-munksjo.com). The blood was allowed to thoroughly saturate the paper and air dry overnight at ambient temperature. We stored these dried blood spot (DBS) samples in low gas-permeable plastic bags with desiccant added to reduce humidity and transported the samples at ambient temperature to Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA, USA) according to IATA protocol, where they were stored at 4°C until tested. The South Sudan Ministry of Health Ethics Review Board approved the study protocol.

Laboratory Analysis

DBS were eluted and tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG targeting the receptor-binding domain

(RBD) of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 using a quantitative ELISA previously developed and validated at Massachusetts General Hospital (21). The assay quantifies RBD-specific antibody concentrations using IgG-specific RBD monoclonal antibodies; the full protocols used for eluting DBS samples for the ELISA have been described (22). Validation of this test was originally based on PCR-positive infections and prepandemic samples from Boston. To determine an appropriate positivity threshold and assess assay specificity, we measured background antibody reactivity using 104 DBS samples collected in Juba in 2015 (23). We then selected a seropositivity threshold (0.32 μ g/mL) that corresponded to 100% specificity in these prepandemic samples from Juba (i.e., their highest value; Appendix Figure 1, https:// wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/6/21-0568-App1. pdf) and 99.7% in the prepandemic samples collected from Boston.

Statistical Analysis

To estimate test sensitivity, we used data from a cohort of case-patients in Boston with mild and severe confirmed SARS-COV-2 infections whose antibody concentrations had been characterized at multiple time points after symptom onset (21) and supplemented these with recent data collected by DBS samples from nonhospitalized PCR-positive patients in Boston (Appendix Figure 2). On the basis of the trends in positive RT-PCR results in Juba, we assumed that most serosurvey participants, if previously infected, would have been exposed to SARS-CoV-2 at least 30 days before the survey (Figure 1) and restricted the positive-control data to observations >30 days after symptom onset during the follow-up period (Appendix Figure 2). Because infections with mild disease may lead to lower levels of detectable antibodies (M.J. Peluso et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2 021.03.03.21251639), we created synthetic cohorts of positive survey participants so that 80% of the sample had mild infections (defined as not needing hospitalization) and 20% had severe cases (defined as hospitalized, but excluding those that died), a proportion consistent with previous analyses (24,25) and the predominantly young population in Juba (26). From 1,000 resampled participants from positive control cohorts, we estimated an average test sensitivity of 65.5%. To evaluate the impact of our assumptions, we also performed sensitivity analyses testing a range of percentages for assumed mild cases (60%-100%) in the positive control dataset.

To estimate the seroprevalence (proportion of the population previously infected), we followed a previously published Bayesian approach (27) using a regression model that accounted for age and sex of the study population integrated with a binomial model of the sensitivity and specificity of the ELISA. We selected a random sample from the 1,000 synthetic positive control datasets in each iteration of the model. This approach allowed us to adjust the estimates for test performance while propagating uncertainty around test performance in the adjusted estimates. We did not adjust the estimates for clustering within households because of challenges the field team faced in applying the strict household definition described above. We implemented the models in the Stan probabilistic programming language (https://mc-stan.org) (28) using the rstan package in R (https://cran.r-project.org). We poststratified our modeled results, accounting for the age distribution of urban populations in South Sudan

(26) to generate population-representative seroprevalence estimates. Unless otherwise indicated, we reported estimates as the mean of the posterior samples and 95% credible intervals (CrI) as the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of this distribution. In addition, we used the posterior draws for

In addition, we used the posterior draws for each regression coefficient to calculate by age and sex the relative risk of participants being seropositive. We used a log-binomial regression model to estimate the relative risk of being seropositive among nonworking adults compared with working adults, children, and students. We estimated implied infections by multiplying our estimated seroprevalence percentage by 510,000, Juba's estimated 2020 population size (29). We then estimated the ratio of reported to unreported infections by subtracting PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections from total implied infections in Juba as of August 31, 2020, allowing for a roughly 2-week delay between infection and a seropositive result (21), and divided this estimate of unreported infections by the number of RT-PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections. The analysis code we used is available online (https://github. com/HopkinsIDD/juba-sars-cov-2-serosurvey), and additional methods are provided (Appendix).

Results

We recruited a total of 2,214 participants 1–84 years of age from 435 households and provided DBS samples taken during August 10–September 11, 2020. We had complete interview and demographic data for 1,840 (83.2%) but were missing interview data for 374 because of data collection device failures or data entry issues. Of the 1,840 participants, 62.4% were female and 73.5% were 10–49 years of age (Table 1). Both figures were slightly higher than for those same measures from a previous population-representative malaria indicator survey conducted in South Sudan in 2017 (26). During April 1–September 11, 2020, a total of 23 deaths (10 male, 13 female) were reported for residents 1–78 years of age within 18 households. None of these deaths were associated with confirmed COVID-19, but 5 patients were reported to have had acute respiratory illness.

We found that 22.3% (494/2214) of samples collected during the survey were above the test positivity threshold, which we selected to have 100% specificity against prepandemic samples from Juba. After adjusting for test sensitivity, we estimated that seroprevalence was 38.3% (95% CrI 31.8%-46.5%) in August 2020. This estimate was based on samples from

| Table 1. Characteristics of participants with interview data | | |
|--|------------------------|--|
| available (n = 1,840) from survey of se | eroprevalence of SARS- | |
| CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan* | | |
| Characteristic | No. (%) | |
| Sex | | |
| F | 1,149 (62.4) | |
| Μ | 691 (37.6) | |
| Age, y | | |
| 1–4 | 68 (3.7) | |
| 5–9 | 224 (12.2) | |
| 10–19 | 448 (24.3) | |
| 20–29 | 459 (24.9) | |
| 30–39 | 307 (16.7) | |
| 40–49 | 139 (7.6) | |
| 50–64 | 120 (6.5) | |
| <u>></u> 65 | 75 (4.1) | |
| Payam | | |
| Northern Bari | 788 (42.8) | |
| Juba | 141 (7.7) | |
| Muniki | 397 (21.6) | |
| Kator | 229 (12.4) | |
| Rejaf | 135 (7.3) | |
| Gondokoro | 150 (8.2) | |
| Occupation | | |
| None | 408 (22.2) | |
| Child | 386 (21.0) | |
| Student | 388 (21.1) | |
| Market merchant | 89 (4.8) | |
| Healthcare worker | 12 (0.7) | |
| Taxi driver | 16 (0.9) | |
| Farmer | 164 (8.9) | |
| Working with animals | 10 (0.5) | |
| Civil servant | 120 (6.5) | |
| Health laboratory worker | 2 (0.1) | |
| Teacher | 20 (1.1) | |
| Traditional healer | 1 (0.1) | |
| Religious leader | 8 (0.4) | |
| Other | 216 (11.7) | |
| Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 | | |
| No | 1816 (98.7) | |
| Yes | 22 (1 2) | |
| Unknown | 2 (0.1) | |
| Reported SARS-CoV-2 test results | = (0) | |
| Negative | 15 (0.8) | |
| Positive | 5 (0 3) | |
| Unknown | 2(01) | |
| *0400 0 1/0 | | |

*SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

participants with matched interview data available. Seroprevalence in the full dataset was nearly indistinguishable from that in the age- and sex-matched dataset (Appendix Table 3), so we used the latter for all subsequent analyses. These results implied that, for each RT-PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection tested by the end of August, 103 (95% CrI 86-126) SARS-CoV-2 infections were unreported.

We found no difference in the risk of seropositivity by sex (Table 2). Seroprevalence was highest at 44.9% (95% CrI 36.3% - 56.0%) among participants 10-19 years of age, a 36% higher risk of being seropositive than among participants 20-29 years of age (RR 1.36, 95% CrI 1.11-1.66) (Table 2). However, uncertainty intervals around seroprevalence estimates by age group were large. In addition, nonworking adults had 35% lower risk (RR 0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.50-0.82) of being seropositive compared to working adults, children, and students. Of the seropositive participants, only 5% reported having had a respiratory illness after April 1, 2020 (Appendix Tables 1, 2). We found no notable relationships between seropositivity and other potential SARS-CoV-2 risk factors (Appendix Table 1).

We examined potential sources of uncertainty in our estimates. We found higher background levels of antibody reactivity to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD in prepandemic samples from Juba compared to prepandemic samples from Boston (Appendix Figure 3) (21). Since serological measurements from PCRconfirmed cases in Juba were not available, we could not examine whether there were also differences in postinfection antibody dynamics between the populations. However, we were able to assess the impact that different assumptions about test sensitivity had on the results. If we assumed that 60% of infections in the population were mild, we estimated 35.5% (95% CrI 30.3%–41.4%) seroprevalence (Figure 2, panel A)

and that, for each reported case, 96 (95% CrI 82-112) cases were unreported (Figure 2, panel B). In contrast, if we assumed that 100% of infections were mild, we estimated 45.9% (95% CrI 35.9%-61.0%) seroprevalence (Figure 2, panel A) and that, for each reported case, 124 (95% CrI 97-165) were unreported (Figure 2, panel B). Regardless of assumptions, these results indicated that 98%-99% of infections through September 2020 had been unreported.

Discussion

In this study, we estimated that one third of residents of Juba, South Sudan had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 through September 2020. That proportion corresponds to ≈196,000 implied infections, >100 times the number of PCR-confirmed SARS-COV-2 infections over the same time frame. These results reveal that in Juba, similar to in other sub-Saharan Africa populations, although the COVID-19 pandemic has had less apparent health impact than in other parts of the world, the virus has spread extensively.

Adjusting for imperfect immunoassay performance is critical when estimating infection attack rates from serosurveys. Postinfection antibody kinetics vary by infection severity, patient age, and prior exposure, as can test performance. When we tested prepandemic samples from Juba, we found that background SARS-CoV-2 antibody reactivity was higher in Juba than in Boston, which was consistent with findings from studies conducted in other sites in sub-Saharan Africa (11,13,30,31). We used these negative controls to estimate test specificity, but we lacked data on the post SARS-CoV-2 infection antibody kinetics and the proportion of infections that were mild or asymptomatic in the Juba population, which led to wide variation in plausible estimates of seroprevalence, as shown in our sensitivity analyses.

| Table 2. Crude seropositivity, adjusted seroprevalence, and relative risk of seropositivity by age and sex from survey of | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan.* | | | | | - |
| Category | No. | No. (%) positive | No. (%) negative | Seroprevalence (95% Crl) | Relative risk (95% Crl) |
| Overall | 1,840 | 411 (22.3) | 1,429 (77.7) | 38.3 (31.8–46.5) | |
| Age, y | | | | | |
| 1–4 | 68 | 20 (29.4) | 48 (70.6) | 43 (31.3–56.1) | 1.30 (0.96–1.71) |
| 5–9 | 224 | 52 (23.2) | 172 (76.8) | 39.3 (29.5–51.1) | 1.19 (0.92–1.51) |
| 10–19 | 448 | 124 (27.7) | 324 (72.3) | 44.9 (36.3–56) | 1.36 (1.11–1.66) |
| 20–29 | 459 | 89 (19.4) | 370 (80.6) | 33.3 (25.6–42) | Referent |
| 30–39 | 307 | 52 (16.9) | 255 (83.1) | 30 (21.9–39.3) | 0.91 (0.68–1.17) |
| 40–49 | 139 | 26 (18.7) | 113 (81.3) | 33.2 (22.8-45.6) | 1.00 (0.71–1.35) |
| 50–64 | 120 | 31 (25.8) | 89 (74.2) | 42.8 (30.6–57.6) | 1.29 (0.94–1.73) |
| 65–84 | 75 | 17 (22.7) | 58 (77.3) | 38.8 (25.2–54.8) | 1.17 (0.78–1.63) |
| Sex | | | | | |
| F | 1,149 | 260 (22.6) | 889 (77.4) | 33.3 (25.6–42) | Referent |
| M | 691 | 151 (21.9) | 540 (78.1) | 31.7 (23.6–41.2) | 0.95 (0.81–1.12) |

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.



Figure 2. Effects of changing percentage of assumed mild cases in the population on adjusted seroprevalence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan, A) Mean adjusted seroprevalence; B) ratio of unreported to reported infections. Error bars represent 95% credible intervals. Dashed line in panel A represents unadjusted seropositivity at 22.3%. Unreported infections in panel B based on 1,873 confirmed coronavirus disease cases in Juba (as of August 31, 2020) and an approximate population of 510,000 in Juba. The x-axis in both panels indicates percentage of mild cases included in the synthetic positive control dataset used to estimate assay sensitivity.

Our findings have several implications for SARS-CoV-2 control in South Sudan. At least one third of the population in Juba has been exposed to the virus, and this proportion undoubtedly has increased since the survey was completed in September 2020. The low proportion of seropositive patients reporting respiratory symptoms suggests that the overwhelming majority of these infections were mild or asymptomatic. These estimates will help public health decision makers in South Sudan weigh the costs and benefits of devoting limited resources to COVID-19 mitigation at the cost of other crucial health programs.

One question we were unable to address was whether transmission occurred predominantly within households. However, crowded living conditions among Juba's urban population, including 31.3% of households living in 1- or 2-room shelters and 19.5% of households having \geq 4 members sleeping in the same room, support this hypothesis (20). Another unanswered question is the extent to which SARS-CoV-2 spread and mitigation measures have exacerbated underlying vulnerabilities, including food insecurity, livelihoods, and co-infections, such as the current measles outbreak in South Sudan (32). Follow-up studies would be required to understand the larger impact of the epidemic in Juba as well as in the rest of South Sudan and to better inform public health policy.

These results also have implications for SARS-CoV-2 serosurveillance more broadly. Most serosurveys conducted to date, if they adjust seroprevalence estimates for test performance at all, use sensitivity and specificity estimates provided by assay manufacturers, which may be overly optimistic and based on a narrow range of samples (6). In many settings it may not be feasible to collect control data from local populations, but validating different immunoassays in populations in the same region of the world where the assays are being used is critical for appropriate interpretation of study results. Moreover, our findings support previous studies that have called for including mild and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections in assay validation datasets (33). We and others have shown that antibody titers from mild and

asymptomatic infections tend to be lower (34–39). Thus, validation datasets comprised predominantly of data from severe, hospitalized cases may lead to overestimating assay sensitivity and gross underestimation of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence (33).

Overall, the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence estimates reported in this study are comparable to estimates in Nigeria of 25%-45%, depending on the population sampled (8,10; H. Majiya et al., unpub. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.04.2016811 data, 2). Similarly, seroprevalence was 40% in public sector patients in Cape Town, South Africa (14), 12.3% among asymptomatic healthcare workers in Blantyre, Malawi (12), and 25.1% among gold mine workers in Côte d'Ivoire (15). In Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, seroprevalence among those reporting no close contact with SARS-CoV-2 infected persons was 8.8% in April 2020 (B.N. Alemu et al., unpub. data, https://doi. org/10.1101/2020.10.13.337287). Seroprevalence was lower at 4.3% in blood donors in Kenya in June 2020 (7), increasing to 9.1% by September (I.M.O. Adetifa et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02. 09.21251404), and was 10.6% in 6 districts in Zambia in July 2020 (16). These lower estimates may be due to differences in SARS-CoV-2 epidemiology, time periods included, or subpopulations measured. Serologic tests may themselves contribute to differences. A study in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo, showed that seropositivity in health facility staff was 8%-36% depending on the serological test used (13). Nevertheless, findings from these studies taken together indicate that SARS-CoV-2 has spread widely in sub-Saharan Africa (2,3). This conclusion is supported by a postmortem study in Lusaka, Zambia, which found that among 372 deceased patients, 19.2% were PCR-positive for SARS-CoV-2 (40).

One of the limitations of our study is that, as we have described, our positive control datcame from a cohort in Boston. Therefore, despite our efforts to correct for differences between the populations, we do not know how accurate our sensitivity estimates are for Juba or elsewhere in Africa. In addition, we used a single ELISA that measured IgG targeting the RBD of SARS-CoV-2's spike protein. Previous studies have shown variation in sensitivity and specificity of antibody assays that target different antigens (13,41), suggesting that testing for multiple antigens may provide a better picture of seroprevalence than those targeting a single antigen alone, particularly when validation data are not available from the local population. Although the study had a standard definition for households, the study team faced challenges in following this strict definition; as a result, we were unable to confidently estimate the degree to which SARS-CoV-2 infections clustered within households, nor could we adjust for these variations in the regression model. This difficulty also prevented us from calculating mortality rates based on reported household deaths. Finally, whereas this study was representative of the residential neighborhoods of Juba, the sample did not include an estimated >30,000 IDPs living in 2 camps in Juba (42). Nevertheless, 14.3% of households participating in the study self-reported as IDPs, either living in the host community or at another IDP site.

Our study's strengths include that it is one of few population-based seroprevalence studies conducted in and representative of the general population of a city or other geographic region within sub-Saharan Africa. Furthermore, we used specificity estimates based on background antibody levels specific to the local population, adjusted seroprevalence estimates based on test results, and propagated uncertainty around test performance into our final estimates. Because the ELISA we used was quantitative, we reported antibody distributions rather than seropositivity cutoffs alone (Appendix Figure 1). As a result, it would be possible to adjust our estimates further if more accurate sensitivity data become available for this population.

In conclusion, we present evidence that SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence is much higher in Juba than suggested by confirmed case data alone, which is consistent with findings from other recent serosurveys in sub-Saharan Africa. Future serosurveys in South Sudan will be helpful to confirm these findings and to examine the effect that SARS-CoV-2 spread has had on underlying vulnerabilities. Such seroprevalence studies are needed to understand the impact of the pandemic more broadly in Africa, as well as the ways to most effectively mitigate its effects. For these efforts to be most effective, however, they must be accompanied by efforts to validate serologic tests in local populations.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sebastian Ancavil, Dessalegn Gurmessa, Manahil Qureshi, Susan Atala, Zerihun Zewdie Hurissa, and other colleagues from the Displacement Tracking Matrix unit of the International Organization for Migration (IOM) in South Sudan for their work in support of this study.

This work was supported by the World Health Organization's (WHO) Unity Studies, a global seroepidemiologic standardization initiative, with funding provided to WHO by the COVID-19 Solidarity Response Fund and the German Federal Ministry of Health COVID-19 Research and Development Fund. This study was also supported by the African Development Bank Fund, in addition to funding from the US National Institutes of Health (R01 AI135115 to A.S.A. and K.E.W.) and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U01CK000490 to R.C.C. and J.B.H.). IOM's contribution to the study was supported by funding from the European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations, the US Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance, the UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office, the German Federal Foreign Office, and Canada's Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development.

About the author

Dr. Wiens is a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Epidemiology at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health. Her research interests include seroepidemiology and infectious disease dynamics and control.

References

- World Health Organization. Weekly epidemiological update: 16 March 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 18]. https://www.who.int/ publications/m/item/weekly-epidemiological-update---16-march-2021
- Rice BL, Annapragada A, Baker RE, Bruijning M, Dotse-Gborgbortsi W, Mensah K, et al. Variation in SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks across sub-Saharan Africa. Nat Med. 2021;27:447-53. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01234-8
- Mbow M, Lell B, Jochems SP, Cisse B, Mboup S, Dewals BG, et al. COVID-19 in Africa: Dampening the storm? Science. 2020;369:624–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd3902
- Chitungo I, Dzobo M, Hlongwa M, Dzinamarira T. COVID-19: unpacking the low number of cases in Africa. Public Health in Practice. 2020;1:100038. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.puhip.2020.100038
- World Health Organization. Population-based agestratified seroepidemiological investigation protocol for coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) infection [cited 2021 Feb 11]. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/ WHO-2019-nCoV-Seroepidemiology-2020.2
- Chen X, Chen Z, Azman AS, Deng X, Sun R, Zhao Z, et al. Serological evidence of human infection with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2021 Mar 8 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(21)00026-7 PMID: 33705690
- Uyoga S, Adetifa IMO, Karanja HK, Nyagwange J, Tuju J, Wanjiku P, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Kenyan blood donors. Science. 2021;371:79–82. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe1916
- Olayanju O, Bamidele O, Edem F, Eseile B, Amoo A, Nwaokenye J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity in asymptomatic frontline health workers in Ibadan, Nigeria. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021;104:91–4. https://doi.org/ 10.4269/ajtmh.20-1235
- Halatoko WA, Konu YR, Gbeasor-Komlanvi FA, Sadio AJ, Tchankoni MK, Komlanvi KS, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 among high-risk populations in Lomé (Togo) in

2020. PLoS One. 2020;15:e0242124. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0242124

- Asuquo MI, Effa E, Otu A, Ita O, Udoh U, Umoh V, et al. Prevalence of IgG and IgM antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 among clinic staff and patients. Eur J Immunol. 2020;50:2025–40.
- Yadouleton A, Sander A-L, Moreira-Soto A, Tchibozo C, Hounkanrin G, Badou Y, et al. Limited specificity of serologic tests for SARS-CoV-2 antibody detection, Benin. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:233–7. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2701.203281
- Chibwana MG, Jere KC, Kamn'gona R, Mandolo J, Katunga-Phiri V, Tembo D, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health care workers but relatively low numbers of deaths in urban Malawi. Wellcome Open Res. 2020;5:199. https://doi.org/10.12688/ wellcomeopenres.16188.2
- Ndaye AN, Hoxha A, Madinga J, Mariën J, Peeters M, Leendertz FH, et al. Challenges in interpreting SARS-CoV-2 serological results in African countries. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9:e588–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2214-109X(21)00060-7 PMID: 33609481
- Hsiao M, Davies M-A, Kalk E, Hardie D, Naidoo M, Centner C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in the Cape Town metropolitan sub-districts after the peak of infections. COVID-19 Special Public Health Surveillance Bulletin. 2020;18(Suppl 5):1–9. Johannesburg (South Africa): National Institute for Communicable Diseases; 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. https://www.nicd.ac.za/wp-content/ uploads/2020/09/COVID-19-Special-Public-Health-Surveillance-Bulletin_Issue-5.pdf
- Milleliri JM, Coulibaly D, Nyobe B, Rey J-L, Lamontagne F, Hocqueloux L, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in Ivory Coast: a serosurveillance survey among gold mine workers. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2021 Mar 18 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.21-0081
- Mulenga LB, Hines JZ, Fwoloshi S, Chirwa L, Siwingwa M, Yingst S, et al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in six districts in Zambia in July, 2020: a cross-sectional cluster sample survey. Lancet Glob Health. 2021 Mar 9 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00053-X
- World Health Organization. South Sudan. South Sudan confirms first case of COVID-19 [cited 2021 Feb 23]. https://www.afro.who.int/news/south-sudan-confirmsfirst-case-covid-19
- Integrated Food Security Phase Classification. South Sudan: IPC results October 2020–July 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 4]. http://www.ipcinfo.org/ipcinfo-website/alerts-archive/ issue-31
- International Organization for Migration Data Tracking Matrix. South Sudan – mobility tracking round 9 initial findings [cited 2021 Mar 4]. https://displacement.iom.int/ reports/south-sudan-%E2%80%94-mobility-tracking-round-9-initial-findings
- International Organization for Migration, World Food Programme. Urban multi-sector needs, vulnerabilities and COVID-19 impact survey (FSNMS+): Juba Town [cited 2021 Mar 4]. https://migration.iom.int/reports/south-sudan-%E2%80%94-urban-multi-sector-needs-vulnerabilities-andcovid-19-impact-survey-fsnms-%E2%80%94
- Iyer AS, Jones FK, Nodoushani A, Kelly M, Becker M, Slater D, et al. Persistence and decay of human antibody responses to the receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol. 2020;5:eabe0367. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol. abe0367
- 22. Slater DM. Preparation of elutions from dried blood spots for ELISAs [cited 2021 Mar 2]. https://www.protocols.io/

view/preparation-of-elutions-from-dried-blood-spotsfor-bsrnnd5e

- Iyer AS, Azman AS, Bouhenia M, Deng LO, Anderson CP, Graves M, et al. Dried blood spots for measuring Vibrio cholerae-specific immune responses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12:e0006196. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pntd.0006196
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395:497–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5
- Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:420–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600 (20)30076-X
- Republic of South Sudan Ministry of Health. Malaria indicator survey 2017: final report. 2019 [cited 2021 Feb 22]. https://www.malariasurveys.org/documents/ SSMIS%202017%20final%20report%202019%2005%20 20_signed%20(1).pdf
- Stringhini S, Wisniak A, Piumatti G, Azman AS, Lauer SA, Baysson H, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. Lancet. 2020; 396:313–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31304-0
- Carpenter B, Gelman A, Hoffman MD, Lee D, Goodrich B, Betancourt M, et al. Stan: a probabilistic programming language. J Stat Softw. 2017;76:1–32. https://doi.org/ 10.18637/jss.v076.i01
- United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, Integrated Food Security Phase Classification Technical Working Group, South Sudan National Bureau of Standards. South Sudan total population by county. 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 2]. https://www.humanitarianresponse. info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/ documents/files/ss_total_population_by_county_map_ a4_20201116.pdf
- Tso FY, Lidenge SJ, Peña PB, Clegg AA, Ngowi JR, Mwaiselage J, et al. High prevalence of pre-existing serological cross-reactivity against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) in sub-Saharan Africa. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;102:577–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.104
- Emmerich P, Murawski C, Ehmen C, von Possel R, Pekarek N, Oestereich L, et al. Limited specificity of commercially available SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISAs in serum samples of African origin. Trop Med Int Health. 2021 Mar 5 [Epub ahead of print]. 10.1111/tmi.13569 https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13569
- 32. World Health Organization. South Sudan. South Sudan launches a nationwide campaign to protect 2.5 million

children against measles [cited 2021 Mar 2]. https://www. afro.who.int/news/south-sudan-launches-nationwidecampaign-protect-25-million-children-against-measles

- Takahashi S, Greenhouse B, Rodríguez-Barraquer I. Are seroprevalence estimates for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 biased? J Infect Dis. 2020;222:1772–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa523
- Kutsuna S, Asai Y, Matsunaga A. Loss of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in mild COVID-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;383: 1695–6.
- Shirin T, Bhuiyan TR, Charles RC, Amin S, Bhuiyan I, Kawser Z, et al. Antibody responses after COVID-19 infection in patients who are mildly symptomatic or asymptomatic in Bangladesh. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;101:220–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.09.1484
- Choe PG, Kim K-H, Kang CK, Suh HJ, Kang E, Lee SY, et al. Antibody responses 8 months after asymptomatic or mild SARS-CoV-2 infection. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:928–31. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2703.204543
- Cervia C, Nilsson J, Zurbuchen Y, Valaperti A, Schreiner J, Wolfensberger A, et al. Systemic and mucosal antibody responses specific to SARS-CoV-2 during mild versus severe COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147:545–557.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.10.040
- Long Q-X, Tang X-J, Shi Q-L, Li Q, Deng H-J, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat Med. 2020;26:1200–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0965-6
- Lynch KL, Whitman JD, Lacanienta NP, Beckerdite EW, Kastner SA, Shy BR, et al. Magnitude and kinetics of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses and their relationship to disease severity. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;72:301–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa979
- Mwananyanda L, Gill CJ, MacLeod W, Kwenda G, Pieciak R, Mupila Z, et al. Covid-19 deaths in Africa: prospective systematic postmortem surveillance study. BMJ. 2021;372:n334. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n334
- Oved K, Olmer L, Shemer-Avni Y, Wolf T, Supino-Rosin L, Prajgrod G, et al. Multi-center nationwide comparison of seven serology assays reveals a SARS-CoV-2 non-responding seronegative subpopulation. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;29:100651. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.eclinm.2020.100651
- 42. International Organization for Migration Data Tracking Matrix. Biometric registration. 2021 [cited 2021 Mar 4]. https://displacement.iom.int/component/biometricregistration

Address for correspondence: Andrew S. Azman, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health–Epidemiology, 615 N Wolfe St, E6003, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA; email: azman@jhu.edu

Seroprevalence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan, 2020

Appendix

Statistical Model

We aimed to estimate the true underlying scroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in the population ≥ 1 year of age in Juba, South Sudan. To that end, we estimated the probability that each participant in the scrosurvey was scropositive using a Bayesian logistic regression model (1) that accounts for scrologic test sensitivity and specificity, as well as age and sex of each participant:

$$x^{i} \approx \qquad (p \quad \theta^{+} + (1 - p^{-}) * (1 - \theta^{-}))$$

$$(p^{-}) = X \quad \beta$$

$$x^{+} \approx \qquad (B^{+}, \theta^{+})$$

$$- \approx \qquad (B^{-}, 1 - \theta^{-})$$

Here x^{i} was the result of the IgG ELISA for each individual (B = 1, ..., N = 1,840) in the serosurvey. The probability of observing a seropositive result was a function of sensitivity, θ + (true positive rate), and specificity, θ^{-} (true negative rate), in the context of the true underlying probability of seropositivity for each individual, p^{-} . This probability p_{i} was a function of covariates X, which included the age and sex of each individual, and their coefficients β^{-} . Sensitivity, θ^{-+} , was determined using B^{-+} RT-PCR–confirmed positive controls from the Boston cohort (2) (Appendix Figure 2; methods in the main text). Specificity, θ^{--} , was determined using prepandemic negative controls (3), where $-^{--}$ tested positive. Priors on sensitivity and specificity were flat from 0 to 1 and priors on regression coefficients β^{--} were (0,1). We implemented the model in the Stan probabilistic modeling language (https://mcstan.org) (4) using the *rstan* package in R (https://cran.r-project.org). We ran 5,000 total iterations, which included 4 chains with 1,500 iterations each and 250 for warm-up. The complete modeling and analysis code is available online (https://github.com/HopkinsIDD/jubasars-cov-2-serosurvey).

References

- Stringhini S, Wisniak A, Piumatti G, Azman AS, Lauer SA, Baysson H, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland (SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. Lancet. 2020;396:313–9. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31304-0</u>
- Iyer AS, Jones FK, Nodoushani A, Kelly M, Becker M, Slater D, et al. Persistence and decay of human antibody responses to the receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol. 2020;5:eabe0367. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abe0367</u>
- 3. Iyer AS, Azman AS, Bouhenia M, Deng LO, Anderson CP, Graves M, et al. Dried blood spots for measuring *Vibrio cholerae*-specific immune responses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12:e0006196. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006196</u>
- 4. Carpenter B, Gelman A, Hoffman MD, Lee D, Goodrich B, Betancourt M, et al. Stan: a probabilistic programming language. J Stat Softw. 2017;76:1–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v076.i01</u>

Appendix Table 1. History of respiratory illness and SARS-CoV-2 serostatus in participants with interview data available (n = 1,840) from study of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan.

| Payam Northern Bari 788 (42.8) 157 (19.9) Muniki 397 (21.6) 97 (24.4) Juba 141 (7.7) 34 (24.1) Kator 229 (12.4) 77 (33.6) Rejaf 135 (7.3) 23 (17.0) Gondokoro 150 (8.2) 23 (15.3) Occupation None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1, 816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 2, 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1, 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 2, 216 (12.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness No 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 9 (26.5) Yes 79 (4.3) 13 (16.5) Missed work or school for illness No 6 66 (3.6) 11 (16.7) Yes 38 (2.1) 8 (21.1) August 20 (1.4) 3 (32.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled in South Sudan No 1,818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Traveled internationally No 1,814 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Traveled internationally No 1,814 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 2 (2.1) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 45 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Characteristic | N (%)* | Seropositive (%)† | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Numiki 397 (24.6) 157 (19.9) Muniki 397 (24.4) Juba 141 (7.7) 34 (24.1) Kator 229 (12.4) 77 (33.6) Rejaf I35 (7.3) 23 (17.0) Gondokoro 150 (8.2) 23 (17.0) Occupation None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) 1 (16.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes <td>Payam Northorn Bari</td> <td>700 (10 0)</td> <td>157 (10.0)</td> | Payam Northorn Bari | 700 (10 0) | 157 (10.0) | |
| Norms10 (21.0)34 (24.1)Kator229 (12.4)77 (33.6)Rejaf135 (7.3)23 (17.0)Gondokoro150 (8.2)23 (17.0)Gondokoro150 (8.2)23 (17.0)Coupation88 (21.1)89 (22.9)Child386 (21.1)89 (22.9)Child386 (21.0)105 (27.2)Teacher20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Farmer164 (8.9)34 (20.7)Market merchant89 (4.8)17 (19.1)Civil servant120 (6.5)29 (24.2)Religious leader8 (0.4)4 (50.0)Health laboratory worker12 (0.7)1 (8.3)Working with animals10 (0.5)4 (40.0)Traditional healer1 (0.1)0 (0.0)Other216 (11.7)59 (27.3)Reported test for SARS-CoV-2No1,816 (98.7)No1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes13 (6.1)22 (13.3)Positive5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNoNo1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illnessNoNo34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes28 (2.1)8 (2.1)May15 (0.8)407 (22.4)Yes26 (1.1) <td< td=""><td>Nuniki</td><td>100 (42.8) 307 (21 6)</td><td>137 (19.9) 97 (24 4)</td></td<> | Nuniki | 100 (42.8) 307 (21 6) | 137 (19.9) 97 (24 4) | |
| Kator 229 (12.4) 77 (33.6) Rejaf 135 (7.3) 23 (17.0) Gondokoro 150 (8.2) 23 (15.3) Occupation 88 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (6.2) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) <td< td=""><td>Juba</td><td>141 (7.7)</td><td>34 (24.1)</td></td<> | Juba | 141 (7.7) | 34 (24.1) | |
| Rejaf 135 (7.3) 23 (17.0) Gondokoro 150 (8.2) 23 (17.0) Occupation None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health aboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (6.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes Yes 13 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory ill | Kator | 229 (12.4) | 77 (33.6) | |
| Gondokoro 150 (8.2) 23 (15.3) Occupation None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health haboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness 0 (0.0) Unknown No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) </td <td>Rejaf</td> <td>135 (7.3)</td> <td>23 (17.0)</td> | Rejaf | 135 (7.3) | 23 (17.0) | |
| Occupation Aone 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditonal healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Negative 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positilizet or illness No | Gondokoro | 150 (8.2) | 23 (15.3) | |
| None 408 (22.2) 64 (15.7) Student 388 (21.1) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (6.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness 0 (0.0) 0.00 Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness month April April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) | Occupation | | | |
| Student 388 (21.0) 89 (22.9) Child 386 (21.0) 105 (27.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 0 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June < | None | 408 (22.2) | 64 (15.7) | |
| Crinic Sob (21.0) 105 (21.2) Teacher 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Farmer 164 (8.9) 34 (20.7) Market merchant 89 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Haith laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.2) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positive No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June | Student | 388 (21.1) | 89 (22.9) | |
| Farmer $164(8.9)$ $34(20.7)$ Market merchant $89(4.8)$ $17(19.1)$ Civil servant $120(6.5)$ $29(24.2)$ Religious leader $8(0.4)$ $4(50.0)$ Health laboratory worker $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Taxi driver $16(0.9)$ $1(6.2)$ Healthcare worker $12(0.7)$ $1(8.3)$ Working with animals $10(0.5)$ $4(40.0)$ Traditional healer $1(0.1)$ $0(0.0)$ Other $216(11.7)$ $59(27.3)$ Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 $1(6.88.7)$ $407(22.4)$ Yes $22(1.2)$ $3(13.6)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result $10(0.5)$ $2(13.3)$ Positive $5(0.3)$ $0(0.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Respiratory illness $10(0.5)$ $3(30.0)$ May $15(0.8)$ $4(26.7)$ June $34(1.8)$ $8(23.5)$ July $29(1.6)$ $4(13.8)$ August $25(1.4)$ $3(12.0)$ Sought medical care for illness $10(0.5)$ $3(33.3)$ No $66(3.6)$ $11(16.7)$ Yes $25(1.4)$ $8(32.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Traveled in South Sudan $10(2.4)$ No $1,818(98.8)$ $407(22.4)$ Yes $20(1.1)$ $3(15.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Traveled internationally $10(2.5)$ No $1,818(98.8)$ $407(22.4)$ Yes< | Teacher | 300 (∠1.U) 20 (1 1) | 3 (15 0) | |
| Market merchant B9 (4.8) 17 (19.1) Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (6.2) Health laboratory worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positive No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 13 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Yes 13 (16.5) June 3 (1.8) No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 79 (4.3) < | Farmer | 164 (8.9) | 34 (20.7) | |
| Civil servant 120 (6.5) 29 (24.2) Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positive No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness Mo 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 13 (16.1) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Yes 13 (16.5) May June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August | Market merchant | 89 (4.8) | 17 (19.1) | |
| Religious leader 8 (0.4) 4 (50.0) Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (0.1) 1 (50.0) 2 (13.3) Postive 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positive No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 9 (26.5) Yes 79 (4.3) 13 (16.5) 13 (16.5) Yes 79 (4.3) 13 (16.5) Missed work or school for illness No 66 (3.6) 111 (16.7) Yes 3 (33.3) Hospitalized for illness | Civil servant | 120 (6.5) | 29 (24.2) | |
| Health laboratory worker 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (12.0) Sought medical care for illness No 3 (30.6) No 34 (1.8) 9 (26.5) Yes | Religious leader | 8 (0.4) | 4 (50.0) | |
| Taxi driver 16 (0.9) 1 (6.2) Healthcare worker 12 (0.7) 1 (8.3) Working with animals 10 (0.5) 4 (40.0) Traditional healer 1 (0.1) 0 (0.0) Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 3 (13.6) No 1.816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1.727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (12.0) Sought medical care for illness No 3 (4 (2.7) No 66 (3.6) 11 (16.7) Yes 38 (2.1) 8 | Health laboratory worker | 2 (0.1) | 1 (50.0) | |
| Heatmcare worker12 (0.7)1 (8.3)Working with animals10 (0.5)4 (40.0)Traditional healer1 (0.1)0 (0.0)Other216 (11.7)59 (27.3)Reported test for SARS-CoV-2No1,816 (98.7)No1,816 (98.7)407 (22.4)Yes22 (1.2)3 (13.6)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Reported SARS-CoV-2 test resultNoNegative5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNoNo1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness monthAprilApril10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7) <td>Taxi driver</td> <td>16 (0.9)</td> <td>1 (6.2)</td> | Taxi driver | 16 (0.9) | 1 (6.2) | |
| working with attituties10 (0.3)4 (40.0)Traditional healer1 (0.1)0 (0.0)Other216 (11.7)59 (27.3)Reported test for SARS-CoV-2No1,816 (98.7)407 (22.4)Yes22 (1.2)3 (13.6)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Reported SARS-CoV-2 test resultNegative5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNo1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness month4 (18.6)4 (26.7)April10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.1)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo <td< td=""><td>Healthcare worker</td><td>12 (0.7)</td><td>1 (8.3)</td></td<> | Healthcare worker | 12 (0.7) | 1 (8.3) | |
| Other 216 (11.7) 59 (27.3) Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No 1,816 (98.7) 407 (22.4) Yes 22 (1.2) 3 (13.6) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative 15 (0.8) 2 (13.3) Positive 5 (0.3) 0 (0.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Respiratory illness No 1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5) Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month April 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (12.0) Sought medical care for illness No 6 (3.6) 11 (16.7) Yes 3 (33.3) Hospitalized for illness No 86 (4.7) 13 (15.1) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled in South Sudan No 1,818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes 2 (0.1) | vvorking with animals | 10 (0.5) | 4 (40.0) 0 (0 0) | |
| Reported test for SARS-CoV-2 No1.816 (98.7)407 (22.4) YesYes22 (1.2)3 (13.6)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Reported SARS-CoV-2 test result Negative5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illness No1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness month April10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illness No34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNo3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)UnknownUnknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationally No1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contact No1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contact No1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown1,703 (92.6) </td <td>Other</td> <td>216 (11 7)</td> <td>59 (27.3)</td> | Other | 216 (11 7) | 59 (27.3) | |
| No1,816 (98.7)407 (22.4)Yes22 (1.2)3 (13.6)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Reported SARS-CoV-2 test resultNegativeNegative15 (0.8)2 (13.3)Positive5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNo1,727 (93.9)No1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness month407 (22.4)April10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9) <td>Reported test for SARS-CoV</td> <td>-2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -</td> <td>00 (21.0)</td> | Reported test for SARS-CoV | -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 - | 00 (21.0) | |
| Yes $22(1,2)$ $3(13.6)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Reported SARS-CoV-2 test resultNegativeNegative $15(0.8)$ $2(13.3)$ Positive $5(0.3)$ $0(0.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Respiratory illnessNo $1,727(93.9)$ No $1,727(93.9)$ $389(22.5)$ Yes $113(6.1)$ $22(19.5)$ Respiratory illness month $4(26.7)$ April $10(0.5)$ $3(30.0)$ May $15(0.8)$ $4(26.7)$ June $34(1.8)$ $8(23.5)$ July $29(1.6)$ $4(13.8)$ August $25(1.4)$ $3(12.0)$ Sought medical care for illnessNoNo $34(1.8)$ $9(26.5)$ Yes $79(4.3)$ $13(16.5)$ Missed work or school for illnessNoNo $86(4.7)$ $13(15.1)$ Yes $25(1.4)$ $8(32.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Traveled in South SudanNoNo $1,818(98.8)$ $407(22.4)$ Yes $2(0.1.1)$ $3(15.0)$ Unknown $2(0.1)$ $1(50.0)$ Traveled internationallyNoNo $1,765(95.9)$ $395(22.4)$ Yes $6(0.3)$ $1(16.7)$ COVID-19 contactNoNo $1,765(95.9)$ $395(22.4)$ Yes $4(0.2)$ $1(25.0)$ Unknown $71(3.9)$ $15(21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo $1,703(92$ | No | | 407 (22.4) | |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Yes | 22 (1.2) | 3 (13.6) [′] | |
| Reported SARS-CoV-2 test resultNegative15 (0.8)2 (13.3)Positive5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNo1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness monthApril10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5) | Unknown | 2 (0.1) | 1 (50.0) | |
| Negative15 (0.8)2 (13.3)Positive5 (0.3)0 (0.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNo1,727 (93.9)No1,727 (93.9)389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness monthAprilApril10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNoNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Reported SARS-CoV-2 test | result | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Negative | 15 (0.8) | 2 (13.3) | |
| Control 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0)Respiratory illnessNo1,727 (93.9) 389 (22.5)Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness monthApril10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Positive | 5 (0.3) | 0 (0.0) | |
| No1,727 (93.9) $389 (22.5)$ Yes113 (6.1)22 (19.5)Respiratory illness month $April$ 10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8)No34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,7834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | | ∠ (0.1) | 1 (0.00) | |
| Yes 113 (6.1) 22 (19.5) Respiratory illness month 10 (0.5) 3 (30.0) May 15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June 34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July 29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August 25 (1.4) 3 (12.0) Sought medical care for illness No 34 (1.8) 9 (26.5) Yes 79 (4.3) 13 (16.5) Missed work or school for illness No 66 (3.6) 11 (16.7) Yes 3 (33.3) Hospitalized for illness No 8 (21.1) 8 (21.1) Unknown 9 (0.5) 3 (33.3) Hospitalized for illness No 86 (4.7) 13 (15.1) Yes 25 (1.4) 8 (32.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled in South Sudan No 1.818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Mixnown Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally No 1.818 (98.9) 407 (22.4) | No | 1 727 (93 9) | 389 (22 5) | |
| Respiratory illness monthApril10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8)No34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Yes | 113 (6.1) | 22 (19.5) | |
| April10 (0.5)3 (30.0)May15 (0.8)4 (26.7)June34 (1.8)8 (23.5)July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8)No34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNoNo1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Respiratory illness month | - (/ | \/ | |
| May15 (0.8) 4 (26.7) June34 (1.8) 8 (23.5) July29 (1.6) 4 (13.8) August25 (1.4) 3 (12.0) Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8) No34 (1.8) 9 (26.5) Yes79 (4.3) 13 (16.5) Missed work or school for illnessNoNo66 (3.6) 11 (16.7) Yes38 (2.1) 8 (21.1) Unknown9 (0.5) 3 (33.3) Hospitalized for illnessNoNo86 (4.7) 13 (15.1) Yes25 (1.4) 8 (32.0) Unknown2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled in South SudanNoNo1,818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Unknown2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationallyNoNo1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contactNoNo1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | April | 10 (0.5) | 3 (30.0) | |
| June $34 (1.8)$ $8 (23.5)$ July $29 (1.6)$ $4 (13.8)$ August $25 (1.4)$ $3 (12.0)$ Sought medical care for illnessNo $34 (1.8)$ No $34 (1.8)$ $9 (26.5)$ Yes $79 (4.3)$ $13 (16.5)$ Missed work or school for illnessNoNo $66 (3.6)$ $11 (16.7)$ Yes $38 (2.1)$ $8 (21.1)$ Unknown $9 (0.5)$ $3 (33.3)$ Hospitalized for illnessNoNo $86 (4.7)$ $13 (15.1)$ Yes $25 (1.4)$ $8 (32.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled in South SudanNoNo $1,818 (98.8)$ $407 (22.4)$ Yes $20 (1.1)$ $3 (15.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled internationallyNoNo $1,834 (99.7)$ $410 (22.4)$ Yes $6 (0.3)$ $1 (16.7)$ COVID-19 contactNoNo $1,765 (95.9)$ $395 (22.4)$ Yes $4 (0.2)$ $1 (25.0)$ Unknown $71 (3.9)$ $15 (21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo $1,703 (92.6)$ $382 (22.4)$ Yes $54 (2.9)$ $12 (22.2)$ Unknown $83 (4.5)$ $17 (20.5)$ | Мау | 15 (0.8) | 4 (26.7) | |
| July29 (1.6)4 (13.8)August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo34 (1.8)9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNo66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNo1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)10Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNo1,703 (92.6)No1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | June | 34 (1.8) | 8 (23.5) | |
| August25 (1.4)3 (12.0)Sought medical care for illnessNo $34 (1.8)$ 9 (26.5)Yes79 (4.3)13 (16.5)Missed work or school for illnessNo $66 (3.6)$ 11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illnessNo $86 (4.7)$ 13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationallyNo1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)UnknownUnknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | July | 29 (1.6) | 4 (13.8) | |
| No $34 (1.8)$ $9 (26.5)$ Yes $79 (4.3)$ $13 (16.5)$ Missed work or school for illnessNo $66 (3.6)$ $11 (16.7)$ Yes $38 (2.1)$ $8 (21.1)$ Unknown $9 (0.5)$ $3 (33.3)$ Hospitalized for illnessNo $86 (4.7)$ $13 (15.1)$ Yes $25 (1.4)$ $8 (32.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled in South SudanNo $1,818 (98.8)$ $407 (22.4)$ Yes $20 (1.1)$ $3 (15.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled internationallyNo $1,834 (99.7)$ $410 (22.4)$ Yes $6 (0.3)$ $1 (16.7)$ COVID-19 contactNo $1,765 (95.9)$ $395 (22.4)$ Yes $4 (0.2)$ $1 (25.0)$ Unknown $71 (3.9)$ $15 (21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contact No $1,703 (92.6)$ $382 (22.4)$ Yes $54 (2.9)$ $12 (22.2)$ Unknown $83 (4.5)$ $17 (20.5)$ | August | 25 (1.4) | 3 (12.0) | |
| No $34 (1.0)$ $32 (20.0)$ Yes $79 (4.3)$ $13 (16.5)$ Missed work or school for illnessNoNo $66 (3.6)$ $11 (16.7)$ Yes $38 (2.1)$ $8 (21.1)$ Unknown $9 (0.5)$ $3 (33.3)$ Hospitalized for illnessNoNo $86 (4.7)$ $13 (15.1)$ Yes $25 (1.4)$ $8 (32.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled in South SudanNoNo $1,818 (98.8)$ $407 (22.4)$ Yes $20 (1.1)$ $3 (15.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled internationallyNoNo $1,834 (99.7)$ $410 (22.4)$ Yes $6 (0.3)$ $1 (16.7)$ COVID-19 contactNoNo $1,765 (95.9)$ $395 (22.4)$ Yes $4 (0.2)$ $1 (25.0)$ Unknown $71 (3.9)$ $15 (21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contactNoNo $1,703 (92.6)$ $382 (22.4)$ Yes $54 (2.9)$ $12 (22.2)$ Unknown $83 (4.5)$ $17 (20.5)$ | No | 55 34 (1 R) | 9 (26 5) | |
| No (10.6)Missed work or school for illness11 (16.7)No66 (3.6)11 (16.7)Yes38 (2.1)8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5)3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illness No 86 (4.7)No86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South Sudan No 1,818 (98.8)No1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationally No 1,834 (99.7)No1,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9)No1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6)No1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Yes | 79 (4.3) | 13 (16.5) | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccc} No & 66 (3.6) & 11 (16.7) \\ Yes & 38 (2.1) & 8 (21.1) \\ \hline Unknown & 9 (0.5) & 3 (33.3) \\ \hline Hospitalized for illness \\ No & 86 (4.7) & 13 (15.1) \\ Yes & 25 (1.4) & 8 (32.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 2 (0.1) & 1 (50.0) \\ \hline Traveled in South Sudan \\ No & 1,818 (98.8) & 407 (22.4) \\ Yes & 20 (1.1) & 3 (15.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 2 (0.1) & 1 (50.0) \\ \hline Traveled internationally \\ No & 1,834 (99.7) & 410 (22.4) \\ Yes & 6 (0.3) & 1 (16.7) \\ \hline COVID-19 contact \\ No & 1,765 (95.9) & 395 (22.4) \\ Yes & 4 (0.2) & 1 (25.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 71 (3.9) & 15 (21.1) \\ \hline Acute respiratory illness contact \\ No & 1,703 (92.6) & 382 (22.4) \\ Yes & 54 (2.9) & 12 (22.2) \\ \hline Unknown & 83 (4.5) & 17 (20.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Missed work or school for illr | ness | | |
| Yes 38 (2.1) 8 (21.1)Unknown9 (0.5) 3 (33.3)Hospitalized for illness 3 No 86 (4.7) 13 (15.1)Yes 25 (1.4) 8 (32.0)Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0)Traveled in South Sudan 3 No $1,818$ (98.8) 407 (22.4)Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0)Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0)Traveled internationally 3 (15.0)No $1,834$ (99.7) 410 (22.4)Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7)COVID-19 contact 765 (95.9) 395 (22.4)Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0)Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contact 703 (92.6) 382 (22.4)Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2)Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | No | 66 (3.6) | 11 (16.7) | |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Unknown & 9 (0.5) & 3 (33.3) \\ \hline Hospitalized for illness & & & & & \\ No & 86 (4.7) & 13 (15.1) \\ Yes & 25 (1.4) & 8 (32.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 2 (0.1) & 1 (50.0) \\ \hline Traveled in South Sudan & & & & \\ No & 1,818 (98.8) & 407 (22.4) \\ Yes & 20 (1.1) & 3 (15.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 2 (0.1) & 1 (50.0) \\ \hline Traveled internationally & & & \\ No & 1,834 (99.7) & 410 (22.4) \\ Yes & 6 (0.3) & 1 (16.7) \\ \hline COVID-19 contact & & & \\ No & 1,765 (95.9) & 395 (22.4) \\ Yes & 4 (0.2) & 1 (25.0) \\ \hline Unknown & 71 (3.9) & 15 (21.1) \\ \hline Acute respiratory illness contact & & \\ No & 1,703 (92.6) & 382 (22.4) \\ Yes & 54 (2.9) & 12 (22.2) \\ \hline Unknown & 83 (4.5) & 17 (20.5) \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | Yes | 38 (2.1)́ | 8 (21.1) | |
| Hospitalized for illnessNo86 (4.7)13 (15.1)Yes25 (1.4)8 (32.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled in South SudanNo1,818 (98.8)407 (22.4)Yes20 (1.1)3 (15.0)Unknown2 (0.1)1 (50.0)Traveled internationally01,834 (99.7)410 (22.4)Yes6 (0.3)1 (16.7)COVID-19 contactNo1,765 (95.9)395 (22.4)Yes4 (0.2)1 (25.0)Unknown71 (3.9)15 (21.1)Acute respiratory illness contactNo1,703 (92.6)382 (22.4)Yes54 (2.9)12 (22.2)Unknown83 (4.5)17 (20.5) | Unknown | 9 (0.5) | 3 (33.3) | |
| NO $86 (4.7)$ $13 (15.1)$ Yes $25 (1.4)$ $8 (32.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled in South Sudan $00 (1.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ No $1,818 (98.8)$ $407 (22.4)$ Yes $20 (1.1)$ $3 (15.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled internationally $00 (1.1)$ No $1,834 (99.7)$ $410 (22.4)$ Yes $6 (0.3)$ $1 (16.7)$ COVID-19 contact $00 (1.765 (95.9))$ $395 (22.4)$ Yes $4 (0.2)$ $1 (25.0)$ Unknown $71 (3.9)$ $15 (21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contact $00 (1.703 (92.6))$ $382 (22.4)$ Yes $54 (2.9)$ $12 (22.2)$ Unknown $83 (4.5)$ $17 (20.5)$ | Hospitalized for illness | | | |
| res $25 (1.4)$ $8 (32.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled in South SudanNo $1,818 (98.8)$ $407 (22.4)$ Yes $20 (1.1)$ $3 (15.0)$ Unknown $2 (0.1)$ $1 (50.0)$ Traveled internationally No $1,834 (99.7)$ $410 (22.4)$ Yes $6 (0.3)$ $1 (16.7)$ COVID-19 contact No $1,765 (95.9)$ $395 (22.4)$ Yes $4 (0.2)$ $1 (25.0)$ Unknown $71 (3.9)$ $15 (21.1)$ Acute respiratory illness contact No $1,703 (92.6)$ $382 (22.4)$ Yes $54 (2.9)$ $12 (22.2)$ Unknown $83 (4.5)$ $17 (20.5)$ | No | 86 (4.7) | 13 (15.1) | |
| Original 2 (0.1) 1 (30.0) Traveled in South Sudan No 1,818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally 0 (1.1) 3 (15.0) No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | res Linknown | 25 (1.4) | 8 (32.0) 1 (50.0) | |
| No 1,818 (98.8) 407 (22.4) Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown Mo 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) | Traveled in South Sudan | ∠ (0.1) | 1 (30.0) | |
| Yes 20 (1.1) 3 (15.0) Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally 1 (50.0) No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | No | 1,818 (98.8) | 407 (22 4) | |
| Unknown 2 (0.1) 1 (50.0) Traveled internationally 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Yes | 20 (1.1) | 3 (15.0) | |
| Traveled internationally No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Unknown | <u>2</u> (0.1) | 1 (50.0) | |
| No 1,834 (99.7) 410 (22.4) Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown Volknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Traveled internationally | · · | _ | |
| Yes 6 (0.3) 1 (16.7) COVID-19 contact 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | No | 1,834 (99.7) | 410 (22.4) | |
| COVID-19 contact No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Yes | 6 (0.3) | 1 (16.7) | |
| No 1,765 (95.9) 395 (22.4) Yes 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | COVID-19 contact | | 205 (00 4) | |
| Los 4 (0.2) 1 (25.0) Unknown 71 (3.9) 15 (21.1) Acute respiratory illness contact 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | | 1,765 (95.9) | 395 (22.4) | |
| Acute respiratory illness contact 17(3.6) 18 (21.1) No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | res Unknown | 4 (∪.∠) 71 (3 Q) | ⊤ (∠⊃.∪) 15 (21-1) | |
| No 1,703 (92.6) 382 (22.4) Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Acute respiratory illness contact | | | |
| Yes 54 (2.9) 12 (22.2) Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | No | 1,703 (92.6) | 382 (22.4) | |
| Unknown 83 (4.5) 17 (20.5) | Yes | 54 (2.9) | 12 (22.2) | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Unknown | 83 (4.5) | 17 (20.5) | |

| Characteristic | N (%)* | Seropositive (%)† |
|--|--|-------------------|
| Attended a large gathering | | |
| No | 1,529 (83.1) | 342 (22.4) |
| Yes | 304 (16.5) | 68 (22.4) |
| Unknown | 7 (0.4) | 1 (14.3) |
| Visited a hospital | | |
| No | 1,765 (95.9) | 394 (22.3) |
| Yes | 71 (3.9) | 17 (23.9) |
| Unknown | 4 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) |
| Visited a traditional healer | | |
| No | 1,834 (99.7) | 409 (22.3) |
| Yes | 3 (0.2) | 1 (33.3) |
| Unknown | 3 (0.2) | 1 (33.3) |
| *NI in tatal seconds as of second size as to | A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O | 1.0/ |

*N is total number of participants included in each category and % indicates percentage of the participants that fell within each category. Seropositive is the number of participants with antibody tites above the seropositivity threshold, and % is the percent of participants within each group that were seropositive.

| Appendix Table 2. Symptoms an | SARS-CoV-2 serostatus among participants reporting a respiratory illness (n = 113) during Apri during Apri |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 1-September 11, 2020, from stud | / of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan. |

| 1 000100111, 2020, 11011 | rotady of octopr | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Symptom | N (%)* | Seropositive (%)† |
| Cough | 73 (64.6) | 16 (21.9) |
| Runny nose | 64 (56.6) | 17 (26.6) |
| Fever | 29 (25.7) | 7 (24.1) |
| Joint pains | 29 (25.7) | 6 (20.7) |
| Sore throat | 21 (18.6) | 5 (23.8) |
| Headache | 18 (15.9) | 2 (11.1) |
| Chest pain | 15 (13.3) | 3 (20.0) |
| Wheezing | 13 (11.5) | 3 (23.1) |
| Loss of appetite | 10 (8.8) | 2 (20.0) |
| Fatigue | 8 (7.1) | 1 (12.5) |
| Muscle aches | 7 (6.2) | 2 (28.6) |
| Shortness of breath | 6 (5.3) | 1 (16.7) |
| Vomiting | 6 (5.3) | 0 (0.0) |
| Loss of or altered taste | 5 (4.4) | 2 (40.0) |
| Loss of or altered smell | 4 (3.5) | 2 (50.0) |
| Chills | 3 (2.7) | 1 (33.3) |
| Nausea | 3 (2.7) | 0 (0.0) |
| Abdominal pain | 1 (0.9) | 1 (100.0) |
| Diarrhea | 1 (0.9) | 1 (100.0) |
| Red eyes | 1 (0.9) | 0 (0.0) |
| Nose bleeding | 1 (0.9) | 0 (0.0) |
| Other | 5 (4.4) | 0 (0.0) |

*N is total number of participants included in each category and % indicates percentage of the participants that fell within each category. †Seropositive is the number of participants with antibody titers above the seropositivity threshold, and % is the percent of participants within each group that were seropositive.

| Appendix Table 3. Ac | ljusted estimates from study of | f seroprevalence o | f SARS-CoV-2 IgG | G in Juba, S | outh Sudan. |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Analysis | Seroprevalence, | % (95% Crl) | | | |

| Analysis | Seroprevalence, % (95% Crl |
|----------------------------|--|
| Primary* | 38.3 (31.8–46.5) |
| No covariates‡¶ | 36.4 (31.2-42.7) |
| No covariates ⁺ | 36.3 (31.1–42.6) |
| *D 1 | and the state of t |

*Primary analysis includes estimates adjusted for test performance and age and sex of the participants. †Used the subset that can be matched to age and sex data (n = 1840). ‡"No covariates" analysis includes estimates adjusted for test performance alone. ¶Used full serologic dataset (n = 2214).



Appendix Figure 1. Distribution of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the Juba population A) in 2015 before the pandemic (n = 104) and B) during the survey (n = 2,214) from study of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan. Histograms of IgG titers A) in 2015 before the pandemic and B) in 2020 during the survey. The dashed line indicates the maximum value detected in any prepandemic sample (0.32 µg/mL), which we used as the seropositivity cutoff.



Days since symptom onset

Appendix Figure 2. Antibody dynamics in Boston, Massachusetts, United States cohort from study of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan. Panels show A) prepandemic samples (controls); B) COVID-19 cases that did not require hospitalization; C) cases that required hospitalization but not intensive care, and D) cases that were hospitalized and required intensive care. Black points represent individual IgG titers at various time points after symptom onset; time points for individual COVID-19 cases are connected by gray lines. The limit of detection of the serologic test was 0.04 µg/mL. Black points and smoothed trajectories for days 0–70 represent data from Iyer et al. 2020 (2). Purple points and trajectories represent additional data from mild PCR-confirmed COVID-19. Data from patients who died are not included.



Appendix Figure 3. Antibody distributions in prepandemic negative controls from populations in Boston, Massachusetts, United States (n = 1,548) and Juba, South Sudan (n = 104) from study of seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG in Juba, South Sudan. Each point represents an individual test result. Dotted line represents the limit of detection of the serologic test. Boston data collected before the pandemic are shown in green and represent a combination of healthy adults seen at the Massachusetts General Hospital travel clinic, patients undergoing routine serology testing at Massachusetts General Hospital, and patients presenting with a known febrile illness. The green line at the limit of detection indicates that most of samples from Boston had background reactivity that fell below this limit. Data collected from Juba in 2015 are shown in purple; none of the Juba samples fell below the limit of detection.