Genomic Characterization of hlyF-positive Shiga Toxin– Producing Escherichia coli, Italy and the Netherlands, 2000–2019

Federica Gigliucci, Angela H.A.M. van Hoek, Paola Chiani, Arnold Knijn, Fabio Minelli, Gaia Scavia, Eelco Franz, Stefano Morabito, Valeria Michelacci

Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) O80:H2 has emerged in Europe as a cause of hemolytic uremic syndrome associated with bacteremia. STEC O80:H2 harbors the mosaic plasmid pR444_A, which combines several virulence genes, including hlyF and antimicrobial resistance genes. pR444 A is found in some extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) strains. We identified and characterized 53 STEC strains with ExPEC-associated virulence genes isolated in Italy and the Netherlands during 2000-2019. The isolates belong to 2 major populations: 1 belongs to sequence type 301 and harbors diverse stx, subtypes, the intimin variant eae- ξ , and pO157-like and pR444 A plasmids; 1 consists of strains belonging to various sequence types, some of which lack the pO157 plasmid, the locus of enterocyte effacement, and the antimicrobial resistance-encoding region. Our results showed that STEC strains harboring ExPEC-associated virulence genes can include multiple serotypes and that the pR444 A plasmid can be acquired and mobilized by STEC strains.

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) is a group of enteric pathogens that cause foodborne disease ranging from uncomplicated diarrhea to hemorrhagic colitis (HC) or hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) (1). The most serious complication of STEC infection is HUS, which can be fatal.

STEC strains produce Shiga toxins (Stx), a family composed of 2 main types of cytotoxins: Stx1 and Stx2 (2). Stx1 is classified into subtypes a, c, and d; Stx2 is classified into subtypes a-k (3–6). Lysogenic bacteriophages harbor the genes for different types of Stx;

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2703.203110

infected bacteria then produce the protein (7). Although the production of Stx plays a central role in the pathogenesis of STEC-associated illness, the development of HC and HUS requires an efficient host colonization by the infecting STEC. Many HUS-associated STEC strains possess a chromosomal pathogenicity island, defined as the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE), which is associated with the attaching and effacing lesions (8) described in enteropathogenic E. coli (9), or possess the genetic machinery conferring the enteroaggregative pattern of adhesion to the enterocyte described in enteroaggregative E. coli (10,11). Other STEC strains harbor colonization factors of enterotoxigenic E. coli (12,13) and genes encoding virulence features associated with extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) (14,15). The ExPEC-associated virulence genes code for aerobactin (encoded by *iucC*), salmochelin (*iroN*), serum resistance protein (iss), a putative secretion system I (etsABC), omptin (ompT), hemolysin (hlyF), and bacteriocins (cia and cva) (14,15).

STEC strains belonging to the O157, O26, O103, O111, and O145 serogroups are considered critical public health concerns. Nevertheless, since 2015, other STEC serogroups have been increasingly associated with HUS and other infections in humans (*16*). Among these, O80 is emerging in Europe (17–21); since 2015, it has become a predominant serogroup associated with HUS in children in France (*22*). In addition to the typical clinical features of a STEC infection, bacteremia can develop in patients with STEC O80 (*19,23*).

Virulence genes increase the pathogenicity of STEC strains. For example, the strains associated with HUS are characterized by specific subtypes of the stx_2 gene, mainly $stx_{2a'}$, $stx_{2c'}$, and stx_{2d} (24). In 2020, experts proposed a new approach to categorizing STEC

Author affiliations: Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy (F. Gigliucci, P. Chiani, A. Knijn, F. Minelli, G. Scavia, S. Morabito, V. Michelacci); National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, Bilthoven, the Netherlands (A.H.A.M. van Hoek, E. Franz)

infections on the basis of virulence genes (24). STEC O80 strains possess virulence genes carried by mobile genetic elements associated with intestinal and extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (14). Such strains harbor the LEE locus, the stx_2 gene, and a plasmid resembling the pO157 first described in STEC O157 serogroup carrying virulence genes including the enterohemolysin encoding gene (ehxA) (25,26). In addition, these strains often possess a peculiar mosaic plasmid called pR444_A. This pS88-like plasmid was first described in a STEC O80 strain isolated from a HUS patient with bacteremia in France (14). The pR444_A plasmid combines virulence genes of Ex-PEC strain S88, including the *hlyF*, *iro*(*BCDEN*), *iss*, and *ompT* genes, with multiple antimicrobial resistance (AMR) determinants (14,27-31). The hlyF gene is associated with an increased production of outer membrane vesicles, possibly contributing to the release of cytolethal distending toxin and other chemicals involved in ExPEC pathogenesis (32).

Little data exist on the circulation of STEC strains harboring ExPEC-associated virulence traits. Infections from such pathogens rarely have been described outside France, except for 1 report about severe HUS caused by an O80:H2 strain in the Netherlands (18). We characterized the genomes of STEC strains with ExPEC-associated virulence traits isolated from infected patients and contaminated food in Italy and the Netherlands. We accessed these genomes through the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Rome, Italy) and the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (Bilthoven, the Netherlands). To infer population structure, we conducted a phylogenetic comparison of an additional 50 genomes of STEC strains with ExPEC-associated features from GenBank and RefSeg (https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/RefSeq).

Material and Methods

Bacterial Strains

For this study, we used STEC strains from the culture collections at the Istituto Superiore di Sanità and the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment. We investigated 500 STEC strains isolated in Italy during 2000–2019 by the National Reference Laboratory for *E. coli* as part of the national surveillance program for HUS and samples isolated from animal and food products in the framework of the official control activity. We also investigated 884 STEC strains isolated in the Netherlands from clinical samples collected during 2017–2019 as part of the surveillance for human STEC infections in the Netherlands.

Whole-Genome Sequencing

We extracted the total DNA of the STEC strains from Italy from 2 mL of overnight culture of each strain grown in TSB at 37°C with the E.Z.N.A. Bacterial DNA kit (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., https://www. omegabiotek.com). We prepared sequencing libraries of ≈400 bp from 100 ng of total DNA using the NEB-Next Fast DNA Fragmentation & Library Prep Set for Ion Torrent (New England BioLabs, https://www. neb.com). We amplified and enriched the libraries through emulsion PCR using the Ion OneTouch 2 System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, https://www. thermofisher.com) and sequenced on an Ion Torrent S5 platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific, https://www. thermofisher.com) using the ION 520/530 KIT-OT2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions for 400 bp DNA libraries on ION 530 chips.

We generated cell pellets of the STEC strains from the Netherlands using 1.8 mL of overnight culture of each strain grown in brain heart infusion broth (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C. We resuspended the pellets in DNA/RNA Shield (Zymo Research, https://www.zymoresearch.com) and sent them to BaseClear (https://www.baseclear.com) for DNA isolation and whole-genome sequencing. The BaseClear service generated paired-end 2 × 150 bp short-reads using a Nextera XT library preparation (Illumina, Inc., https://www.illumina. com) and sequenced the libraries on the HiSeq 2500 or NovaSeq 6000 systems (Illumina, Inc.). All the genomic sequences are available at the European Nucleotide Archive at the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (accession nos. PRJEB38068 and PRJEB38651).

Bioinformatic Analysis

We conducted the bioinformatic analyses for the characterization of the genomes using the tools on the Galaxy public server ARIES (Istituto Superiore di Sanità, https://www.iss.it/site/aries) (A. Knijn, unpub. data, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/ 10.1101/2020.05.14.095901v1). We assembled the single-end reads from the Ion Torrent S5 platform using SPADES version 3.12.0 with default parameters (33) and filtered with the Filter SPAdes repeats tool (https://github.com/phac-nml/galaxy_tools) with default parameters to remove the contigs that were repeated or <1,000 bases. We trimmed the paired-end reads, filtered them with the Extended Randomized Numerical alignEr-filter (34), and assembled them de novo by using SPAdes version 3.10.0 (33).

Basic Characterization of STEC Strains

We conducted multilocus sequence typing by using the MentaLiST tool version 0.2.3 (35), applying the scheme developed by Wirth et al. (36). We determined the virulence gene content of the STEC genomes and then identified the intimin gene (eae) subtype with the Patho_typing tool (https://github.com/B-UMMI/ patho_typing) developed by the INNUENDO project (37) using the *E. coli* virulence genes database (38). We analyzed the assembled contigs with BLAST (http:// blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and the blastn algorithm version 2.7.1. We determined the serotype by aligning the contigs with the reference sequences for the O and H antigen genes (39). We also used BLAST to identify the Stx subtype with the Statens Serum Institut Shiga toxin subtypes database (https://bitbucket. org/genomicepidemiology/virulencefinder_db/src/ master/stx.fsa). We conducted phylogrouping using a blastn search of the specific genes (40) on the contigs.

Characterization of STEC Strains Harboring ExPEC Virulence Genes

We used the *hlyF* gene as a putative marker for the pR444_A plasmid (14). We searched the assembled genomes for the *hlyF* gene (RefSeq accession no. NC_011980.1). We screened the *hlyF*-positive strains for antimicrobial and virulence genes associated with pR444_A using the ABRicate tool (https://github. com/tseemann/abricate).

We used PCR to confirm the presence of the *hlyF* gene in the strains from Italy, as described by Dissanayake et al. (41). We also investigated the presence of the pR444_A plasmid using the BRIG tool version 0.95 (http://brig.sourceforge.net) by aligning the contigs on the reference sequence from pR444_A (Ref-Seq accession no. NZ QBDM0100004.1). In addition, we conducted the conjugation experiment among donor ED1284 and recipient CSH26Nal strains. We used streptomycin (10 μ g/mL) as a selective agent for the pR444_A plasmid and nalidixic acid (10 μ g/mL) for the recipient strain. We confirmed the colonies to be transconjugants with PCR selective for the *hlyF*, traT, iroN, cvaC, iss, and ompT genes. We also plated the colonies on Müller-Hinton agar plates containing trimethoprim (2 μ g/mL), MacConkey plates to differentiate donor (lac+) and recipient (lac-) strains, and LB plates containing ampicillin (100 μ g/mL), kanamycin (40 μ g/mL), tetracycline (100 μ g/mL), or sulfonamide (100 μ g/mL).

Cluster Analysis

To identify additional STEC strains with ExPECassociated virulence features, we conducted a blastn search in GenBank and RefSeq for genomes positive for either *stx* (using the *stx*-subtypes sequence database) or *hlyF* (accession no. NC_011980.1) genes. We included these genomes in a cluster analysis along with the *hlyF*-positive STEC genomes produced in the current study. We carried out the analysis with core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST) using the chewBBACA tool and the scheme developed by the INNUENDO project, which comprises 2,360 loci in total (37,42).

We considered the pairwise comparison to be reliable when \geq 80% of loci were assigned to an allele. We calculated the distances between strains by pairwise comparison of the allelic profiles using the chewTree tool available on ARIES webserver (A. Knijn, unpub. data, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.05.14.095901v1). For each pair of samples, we excluded the alleles not found, only partially found, or not correctly assigned to any locus. We visualized the resulting dendrogram with FigTree version 1.4.4 (https://github.com/rambaut/figtree/releases).

Results

Circulating STEC Strains with ExPEC-Associated Virulence Genes

The analyzed sequences had an average coverage of 118× and the assembled contigs an N50 average of 94,346 bp (Appendix 1 Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc. gov/EID/article/27/3/20-3110-App1.pdf). Screening for the *hlyF* gene suggested the presence of the pR444_A plasmid in 53 (3.8%) of 1,384 STEC genomes (Appendix 1 Table 2). Of the 53 *hlyF*-positive strains, 30 had been isolated in Italy, mostly from patients with HUS or severe HC. Two were from food products of bovine origin in Italy (Appendix 1 Table 2). The remaining 23 STEC strains had been isolated from patients in the Netherlands, some of whom had diarrhea or bloody diarrhea and some of whom were hospitalized (Appendix 1 Table 2).

Genomic Characterization of *hlyF*-Positive STEC Strains

The genomic analysis revealed that the 53 *hlyF*-positive STEC strains belonged to 10 different serotypes; O80:H2 was the most common (Appendix 1 Table 2). Most of the strains harbored the genes encoding the flagellar antigen H2, including 33 O80:H2 strains, 3 O186:H2 strains, and 4 O45:H2 strains (Appendix 1 Table 2). Two *hlyF*-positive STEC strains belonged to serotype O26:H11, one of the most common causes of HUS in Italy (*43*).

In silico MLST showed that ST301 was the most abundant sequence type (ST) (41/53; 77.4%) (Appendix 1 Table 2). Strains of 4 different serotypes belonged to ST301: 33 O80:H2 strains, 4 O45:H2 strains, 3 O186:H2 strains, and 1 O55:H9 strain. Two STEC O26:H11 strains belonged to ST21; the 10 remaining strains belonged to 6 other STs (Appendix 1 Table 2). All the strains belonged to the B1 phylogroup, except for 1 strain belonging to the B2 phylogroup and 3 strains whose phylogroup could not be identified (Appendix 1 Table 2).

In total, 49 strains tested positive for the stx_2 gene and 4 for stx_1 (Appendix 1 Table 2). The stx_2 gene subtyping revealed $stx_{2a'} stx_{2b'} stx_{2d'} stx_{2e'}$ and stx_{2f} subtypes: stx_{2a} was the most common. All stx_1 genes were stx_{1a} (Appendix 1 Table 2).

The 41 ST301 and 2 O26:H11 ST21 strains also harbored genes such as *ehxA* that are commonly found on pO157-like plasmids. In addition, they also tested positive for the intimin-coding *eae* gene, which indicates the presence of the LEE locus (Appendix 1 Table 2). All 41 ST301 genomes, regardless of serotype, carried the rare *eae-* ξ variant (Appendix 1 Table 2). The remaining 10 *hlyF*-positive strains tested negative for pO157-like plasmid genes and the LEE locus, except for strain NL1701358, which had the LEE locus with the *eae-\lambda3* variant. The NL1700566, NL1701474, NL1800025, and NL1800037 strains also carried the *hlyA* gene (data not shown), which encodes α -hemolysin (HlyA), a pore-forming toxin found in ExPEC strains that cause urinary tract infection (44,45).

In addition to *hlyF*, the pR444_A plasmid also contains other virulence-associated genes such as ompT, iss, the iroBCDEN gene cluster, and a gene cassette that encodes determinants of AMR (14). The *hlyF*- positive STEC strains identified in this study carried many of these virulence determinants (Appendix 1 Table 2), suggesting the presence of a similar plasmid. Most *hlyF*-positive strains also had an AMRencoding region (Appendix 1 Table 3). The alignment of the contigs on the pR444_A sequence further confirmed the presence of pR444_A-like plasmids in most *hlyF*-positive strains, regardless of country of isolation (Figures 1, 2). In most strains, we could not identify the regions of the pR444_A plasmid that harbor the *iucABCD* and *etsABC* genes. We conducted conjugation experiments to confirm the presence of



Figure 1. Whole-genome comparison of pR444_A–like plasmids in Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* strains harboring extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC)–associated virulence genes, Italy, 2000–2019. The pR444_A plasmid from RDEx444 strain was used as reference for alignment and gene annotation. Genomic annotation was performed by using the Prokka tool 1.14.5 (https://github.com/tseemann/prokka) and a multi-fasta file of trusted proteins related to ExPEC-associated genes on pR444_A. The comparative analysis also included the pS88 plasmid (GenBank accession no. CU928146.1) commonly found in ExPEC strains.



DEx444_pA 100% identity 70% identity	NL1800740 100% identity 70% identity	NL1800418 100% identity 70% identity	NL1800002 100% identity 70% identity	NL1701143 100% identity 70% identity
50% identity				
S88	NL1800739	NL1800080	NL1701620	NL1700844
100% identity 70% identity 50% identity				
L1900824	NL1800717	NL1800064	NL1701474	NL1700800
100% identity	100% identity	100% identity	📕 100% identity	100% identity
70% identity				
50% identity				
L1900697	NL1800673	NL1800037	NL1701358	NL1700566
100% identity				
70% identity				
50% identity				
L1900008	NL1800531	NL1800025	NL1701173	NL1700233
100% identity				
70% identity				
50% identity				

Figure 2. Whole-genome comparison of pR444_A–like plasmids in Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* strains harboring extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC)–associated virulence genes, the Netherlands, 2017–2019. The pR444_A plasmid from the RDEx444 strain was used as reference for alignment and gene annotation. Genomic annotation was performed with the Prokka tool 1.14.5 (https://github.com/tseemann/prokka) and a multi-fasta file of trusted proteins related to ExPEC-associated genes on pR444_A. The comparative analysis also included the pS88 plasmid (accession no. CU928146.1) commonly found in ExPEC strains.

a transferable pR444_A-like plasmid in the O26:H11 strain ED1284. After the mating, we observed that the *hlyF*, *iroN*, *cvaC*, *iss*, *traT*, and *ompT* genes were successfully transferred to the recipient K12 strain along with the cassette conferring resistance to streptomycin, ampicillin, sulfonamide, and trimethoprim.

Phylogenetic Analysis of STEC Strains with ExPEC-Associated Virulence Genes

We conducted a whole-genome comparison; we included the STEC O80:H2 strain RDEx444 isolated in France (14) as reference strain, and 2 *hlyF*-negative STEC O80:H2 strains, ED0867 and ED1301, which were isolated in Italy, for comparative purposes. To more broadly analyze the population structure, we also included 50 *hlyF*-positive STEC strains retrieved from GenBank and RefSeq (Appendix 1 Tables 4, 5). Then, we computed the number of allelic differences between strains (Appendix 2 Table, https://wwwnc. cdc.gov/EID/article/27/3/20-3110-App2.xlsx).

The results of the cluster analysis clearly distinguished the strains belonging to ST301 (Figure 3). The strains belonging to serotype O80:H2 were related, showing a range of 2–210 allelic differences (Appendix 2 Table). The strains harboring the stx_{2d} subtype, regardless of country origin, also were related (Figure 3). The branch containing the ST301 strains was divided into subclades corresponding to serotype (Figure 3). Among the ST301 strains, the O55:H9 EF0475 and O45:H2 strains were located close to the O80:H2 population, with a range of 58–219 allelic differences (Appendix 2 Table). The remaining genomes displayed >1,400 allelic differences from the ST301 strains (Appendix 2 Table).

Discussion

E. coli bacteria continually acquire and lose genomic information carried by mobile genetic elements through horizontal gene transfer. This process contributes to the emergence of pathogenic *E. coli* variants. Horizontal gene transfer also can occur between pathogenic *E. coli* variants, producing hybrid pathogenic strains. Some STEC hybrid strains are highly virulent, such as enteroaggregative STEC serotype O104:H4, which caused one of the most severe STEC outbreaks ever reported (46). Extraintestinal STEC serotype O80:H2 is a serious threat to public health. This hybrid clone was described in France in 2005 (19). Since then, extraintestinal STEC O80 strains have caused cases of severe HUS associated with bacteremia (19,22,23). In 2017, an O80:H2 strain caused a severe case of HUS with multiorgan failure in the Netherlands (18). Other cases of STEC O80:H2 infection have occurred in Switzerland and Belgium (20,21).

In this study, we demonstrated that genetic features associated with STEC and ExPEC strains are not restricted to the O80:H2 serotype. The STEC strains presenting ExPEC-associated virulence genes investigated in this study belonged to 10 different serotypes, with a high prevalence of O80:H2. We also identified 5 additional serotypes from the genomes available in GenBank and RefSeq. Most of the strains in



Figure 3. Cluster analysis by core genome multilocus sequence typing of Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* strains harboring extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli*–associated virulence genes. The analysis also included the RDEx444 strain from France; 2 Shiga toxin–producing *E. coli* O80:H2 strains negative for the pR444_A plasmid (i.e., ED0867 and ED1301); and the set of 50 *E. coli* genomes positive either for *stx* or *hlyF* genes, downloaded from GenBank or RefSeq (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/RefSeq). Each entry on the phylogenetic tree indicates the strain name, corresponding serotype, and sequence type. Colors indicates serotype and sequence type. Scale bar indicates the number of allelic differences.

this study, regardless of serogroup, were of ST301; had the flagellar antigen H2; and harbored the $stx_{2'}$ eae- ξ , and ehxA genes (Appendix 1 Table 2). This genetic homogeneity seems to extend beyond the presence of these genes; cgMLST showed that the ST301 genomes were related. The ST301 strains formed subclades corresponding to serotype and stx subtype (Figure 3). The stx_{2d} -positive RDEx444 strain isolated in France in 2016 clustered with strains of the same stxsubtype isolated in Italy and the Netherlands during 2016–2019, and in Belgium and Switzerland during 2015–2019, suggesting a spatiotemporal persistence of this clade in the last decade.

The phylogenetic analysis highlighted that the O80:H2, O45:H2, and O55:H9 genomes were closely related (Appendix 2 Table). These genomes also shared a clade with the 2 STEC O80:H2 strains that tested negative for pR444_A. This finding suggests that the pR444_A plasmid was acquired before these different serotypes diverged from a common ancestor of ST301. It is also possible that this plasmid was acquired in multiple events during the evolution of these serotypes; however, the presence of the rare *eae-*§ gene in all these serotypes suggests that the plasmid was probably acquired in a single event.

The genomic analysis also revealed that the *hlyF*positive STEC O26:H11 strains were distantly related to the other *hlyF*-positive STEC ST301 strains (Figure 3). These isolates resembled typical STEC O26:H11 strains because they possessed the *eae-\beta1* variant (Appendix 1 Table 2) and a pO157-like plasmid harboring the katP gene (not shown), which is not found on the pO157-like plasmid found in ST301 strains (14). STEC O26:H11 strain ED1284 successfully transferred the pR444_A plasmid through conjugation, indicating that STEC O26:H11 can acquire and maintain an additional large virulence plasmid conferring supplementary pathogenic potential while retaining the ability to spread this mobile genetic element to other E. coli. In Italy, we observed some HUS patients with STEC O80:H2 and enteropathogenic E. coli O26:H11 coinfection (S. Morabito, G. Scavia, unpub. data). Other O80:H2-O26:H11 coinfections were described during an outbreak linked to unpasteurized cheese (47), possibly explaining the presence of the pR444_A plasmid in STEC O26 strains.

In this study, 2 strains from Italy (Appendix 1 Table 2) and 1 strain from the GenBank and RefSeq databases were isolated from food products of bovine origin, suggesting the potential for zoonotic transmission. Since 1987, several studies have reported the isolation of STEC and atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* with ExPEC-associated virulence genes from cattle (21,48). On the other hand, human infections caused by similar strains have been described only since 2008, mainly in the form of rare and mild disease (21). We showed that since 2001, STEC strains with ExPEC-associated virulence genes, especially those belonging to ST301, have caused many severe diseases including HUS, HC, and HC associated with severe diarrhea (Appendix 1 Table 2); these findings reinforce the high pathogenic potential of such hybrid strains.

Of the 53 *hlyF*-positive strains analyzed in this study, 4 also tested positive for the *hlyA* gene, which encodes an α-hemolysin typically produced by ExPEC strains that cause urinary tract infection (44,45). Such strains formed a distinct population of STEC strains; these strains lacked the pO157-like plasmid and the LEE locus and harbored a pR444_A plasmid without the AMR-encoding region (Figure 2; Appendix 1 Table 3). Accordingly, all their genomes grouped together in the cgMLST analysis and far from the bigger group of the ST301 strains (Figure 3; Appendix 2 Table).

In conclusion, STEC strains with ExPEC-associated virulence genes have circulated in Europe and caused human severe infections since 2001 or earlier. Moreover, we showed that this group of pathogenic *E. coli* includes multiple serotypes and sequence types. We propose that these strains belong to ≥ 2 different lineages that might have emerged after the dissemination of the ExPEC plasmid pR444_A into a heterogeneous population of STEC strains.

Acknowledgments

We thank the entire network of the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale (IZS) laboratories, especially Lucia Decastelli and Valle d'Aosta for providing the strain isolated from raw bovine milk. We also thank Massimo Fabbi and Emilia-Romagna for providing the strain isolated from beef liver and Bianca Colonna for providing the CSH26Nal strain. We thank the Italian Registry of Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome and the Italian Society for Pediatric Nephrology for the surveillance data on hemolytic uremic syndrome. We also thank Marco Crescenzi, Manuela Marra, Fiorella Ciaffoni, and Maria Carollo from the Core Facilities Technical – Scientific Service Team at Istituto Superiore di Sanità for the whole-genome sequencing of the strains isolated in Italy.

About the Author

Dr. Gigliucci is a researcher at the European Reference Laboratory for *Escherichia coli*, located at the Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome. Her research interests include the use of whole-genome sequencing for the surveillance and monitoring of STEC infections.

RESEARCH

References

- Karmali MA. Host and pathogen determinants of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*-associated hemolytic uremic syndrome. Kidney Int Suppl. 2009;75:S4–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2008.608
- O'Brien AD, Tesh VL, Donohue-Rolfe A, Jackson MP, Olsnes S, Sandvig K, et al. Shiga toxin: biochemistry, genetics, mode of action, and role in pathogenesis. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 1992;180:65–94. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-3-642-77238-2_4
- Scheutz F, Teel LD, Beutin L, Piérard D, Buvens G, Karch H, et al. Multicenter evaluation of a sequence-based protocol for subtyping Shiga toxins and standardizing Stx nomenclature. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50:2951–63. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.00860-12
- Bai X, Fu S, Zhang J, Fan R, Xu Y, Sun H, et al. Identification and pathogenomic analysis of an *Escherichia coli* strain producing a novel Shiga toxin 2 subtype. Sci Rep. 2018;8:6756. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25233-x
- Lacher DW, Gangiredla J, Patel I, Elkins CA, Feng PC. Use of the *Escherichia coli* identification microarray for characterizing the health risks of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from foods. J Food Prot. 2016;79:1656– 62. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-16-176
- Yang X, Bai X, Zhang J, Sun H, Fu S, Fan R, et al. *Escherichia* coli strains producing a novel Shiga toxin 2 subtype circulate in China. Int J Med Microbiol. 2020;310:151377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2019.151377
- O'Brien AD, Newland JW, Miller SF, Holmes RK, Smith HW, Formal SB. Shiga-like toxin-converting phages from *Escherichia coli* strains that cause hemorrhagic colitis or infantile diarrhea. Science. 1984;226:694–6. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.6387911
- Jerse AE, Yu J, Tall BD, Kaper JB. A genetic locus of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* necessary for the production of attaching and effacing lesions on tissue culture cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:7839–43. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.87.20.7839
- Nataro JP, Kaper JB. Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. [Erratum in: Clin Microbiol Rev. 1998; 11:403]. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1998;11:142–201. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.11.1.142
- Navarro-Garcia F. Escherichia coli O104:H4 pathogenesis: an enteroaggregative E. coli/Shiga toxin-producing E. coli explosive cocktail of high virulence. Microbiol Spectr. 2014;2. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.EHEC-0008-2013
- Morabito S, Karch H, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Schmidt H, Minelli F, Bingen E, et al. Enteroaggregative, Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O111:H2 associated with an outbreak of hemolytic-uremic syndrome. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:840–2. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.36.3.840-842.1998
- Michelacci V, Maugliani A, Tozzoli R, Corteselli G, Chiani P, Minelli F, et al. Characterization of a novel plasmid encoding F4-like fimbriae present in a Shiga-toxin producing enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated during the investigation on a case of hemolytic-uremic syndrome. Int J Med Microbiol. 2018;308:947–55. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijmm.2018.07.002
- Nyholm O, Halkilahti J, Wiklund G, Okeke U, Paulin L, Auvinen P, et al. Comparative genomics and characterization of hybrid Shigatoxigenic and enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (STEC/ETEC) strains. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0135936. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135936
- Cointe A, Birgy A, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Liguori S, Courroux C, Blanco J, et al. Emerging multidrug-resistant hybrid pathotype Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*

O80 and related strains of clonal complex 165, Europe. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24:2262–9. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2412.180272

- Malberg Tetzschner AM, Johnson JR, Johnston BD, Lund O, Scheutz F. In silico genotyping of Escherichia coli isolates for extraintestinal virulence genes by use of whole-genome sequencing data. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;58:e01269–20. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01269-20
- European Food Safety Authority and European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. EFSA J. 2018;16:5500.
- Nüesch-Inderbinen M, Cernela N, Wüthrich D, Egli A, Stephan R. Genetic characterization of Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* belonging to the emerging hybrid pathotype O80:H2 isolated from humans 2010–2017 in Switzerland. Int J Med Microbiol. 2018;308:534–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijmm.2018.05.007
- Wijnsma KL, Schijvens AM, Rossen JWA, Kooistra-Smid AMDM, Schreuder MF, van de Kar NCAJ. Unusual severe case of hemolytic uremic syndrome due to Shiga toxin 2d-producing *E. coli* O80:H2. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32:1263–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00467-017-3642-3
- Soysal N, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Smail Y, Liguori S, Gouali M, Loukiadis E, et al. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* hybrid pathotype O80:H2 as a new therapeutic challenge. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22:1604–12. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2209.160304
- Fierz L, Cernela N, Hauser E, Nüesch-Inderbinen M, Stephan R. Characteristics of Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated during 2010–2014 from human infections in Switzerland. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8:1471. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01471
- De Rauw K, Thiry D, Caljon B, Saulmont M, Mainil J, Pierard D. Characteristics of Shiga toxin producing– and enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* of the emerging serotype O80:H2 isolated from humans and diarrhoeic calves in Belgium. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2019;25:111.e5–111.e8.
- Ingelbeen B, Bruyand M, Mariani-Kurkjian P, Le Hello S, Danis K, Sommen C, et al. Emerging Shiga-toxin– producing *Escherichia coli* serogroup O80 associated hemolytic and uremic syndrome in France, 2013-2016: differences with other serogroups. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0207492. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0207492
- Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Lemaître C, Bidet P, Perez D, Boggini L, Kwon T, et al. Haemolytic-uraemic syndrome with bacteraemia caused by a new hybrid *Escherichia coli* pathotype. New Microbes New Infect. 2014;2:127–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/nmi2.49
- Koutsoumanis K, Allende A, Alvarez-Ordonez A, Bover-Cid S, Chemaly M, et al.; EFSA BIOHAZ Panel. Scientific Opinion on the pathogenicity assessment of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) and the public health risk posed by contamination of food with STEC. EFSA Journal. 2020;18:5967.
- 25. Karch H, Heesemann J, Laufs R, O'Brien AD, Tacket CO, Levine MM. A plasmid of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 is required for expression of a new fimbrial antigen and for adhesion to epithelial cells. Infect Immun. 1987;55:455–61. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.55.2.455-461.1987
- Beutin L, Prada J, Zimmermann S, Stephan R, Orskov I, Orskov F. Enterohemolysin, a new type of hemolysin produced by some strains of enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC).

Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg A. 1988;267:576-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-6724(88)80042-7

- Peigne C, Bidet P, Mahjoub-Messai F, Plainvert C, Barbe V, Médigue C, et al. The plasmid of *Escherichia coli* strain S88 (O45:K1:H7) that causes neonatal meningitis is closely related to avian pathogenic *E. coli* plasmids and is associated with high-level bacteremia in a neonatal rat meningitis model. Infect Immun. 2009;77:2272–84. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/IAI.01333-08
- Morales C, Lee MD, Hofacre C, Maurer JJ. Detection of a novel virulence gene and a *Salmonella* virulence homologue among *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler chickens. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2004;1:160–5. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/fpd.2004.1.160
- Dozois CM, Daigle F, Curtiss R III. Identification of pathogen-specific and conserved genes expressed in vivo by an avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:247–52. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.232686799
- Chuba PJ, Leon MA, Banerjee A, Palchaudhuri S. Cloning and DNA sequence of plasmid determinant *iss*, coding for increased serum survival and surface exclusion, which has homology with lambda DNA. Mol Gen Genet. 1989;216: 287–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00334367
- Haiko J, Laakkonen L, Juuti K, Kalkkinen N, Korhonen TK. The omptins of *Yersinia pestis* and *Salmonella enterica* cleave the reactive center loop of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1. J Bacteriol. 2010;192:4553–61. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.00458-10
- 32. Murase K, Martin P, Porcheron G, Houle S, Helloin E, Pénary M, et al. HlyF produced by extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* is a virulence factor that regulates outer membrane vesicle biogenesis. J Infect Dis. 2016;213:856–65. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiv506
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012;19:455–77. https://doi.org/10.1089/ cmb.2012.0021
- Del Fabbro C, Scalabrin S, Morgante M, Giorgi FM. An extensive evaluation of read trimming effects on Illumina NGS data analysis. PLoS One. 2013;8:e85024. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085024
- Feijao P, Yao HT, Fornika D, Gardy J, Hsiao W, Chauve C, et al. MentaLiST – a fast MLST caller for large MLST schemes. Microb Genom. 2018;4. https://doi.org/10.1099/ mgen.0.000146
- 36. Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, Colles F, Mensa P, Wieler LH, et al. Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an evolutionary perspective. Mol Microbiol. 2006;60:1136–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05172.x
- Llarena AK, Ribeiro-Gonçalves BF, Nuno Silva D, Halkilahti J, Machado MP, Da Silva MS, et al. INNUENDO: A cross-sectoral platform for the integration of genomics in the surveillance of food-borne pathogens. EFSA Supporting Publication. 2018;15:EN-1498.
- Joensen KG, Scheutz F, Lund O, Hasman H, Kaas RS, Nielsen EM, et al. Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak detection of

verotoxigenic Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52:1501– 10. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03617-13

- Joensen KG, Tetzschner AM, Iguchi A, Aarestrup FM, Scheutz F. Rapid and easy in silico serotyping of *Escherichia coli* isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53:2410–26. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00008-15
- Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E, Gordon DM. The Clermont *Escherichia coli* phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. Environ Microbiol Rep. 2013;5:58–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12019
- 41. Dissanayake DR, Octavia S, Lan R. Population structure and virulence content of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from outbreaks in Sri Lanka. Vet Microbiol. 2014;168:403–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.11.028
- Silva M, Machado MP, Silva DN, Rossi M, Moran-Gilad J, Santos S, et al. chewBBACA: A complete suite for geneby-gene schema creation and strain identification. Microb Genom. 2018;4. https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000166
- Scavia G, Gianviti A, Labriola V, Chiani P, Maugliani A, Michelacci V, et al. A case of haemolytic uraemic syndrome (HUS) revealed an outbreak of Shiga toxin-2-producing *Escherichia coli* O26:H11 infection in a nursery, with longlasting shedders and person-to-person transmission, Italy 2015. J Med Microbiol. 2018;67:775–82. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/jmm.0.000738
- Goebel W, Hedgpeth J. Cloning and functional characterization of the plasmid-encoded hemolysin determinant of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol. 1982;151:1290–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.151.3.1290-1298.1982
- Dhakal BK, Mulvey MA. The UPEC pore-forming toxin a-hemolysin triggers proteolysis of host proteins to disrupt cell adhesion, inflammatory, and survival pathways. Cell Host Microbe. 2012;11:58–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chom.2011.12.003
- 46. Frank C, Werber D, Cramer JP, Askar M, Faber M, an der Heiden M, et al.; HUS Investigation Team. Epidemic profile of Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 outbreak in Germany. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:1771-80. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1106483
- Espié E, Grimont F, Mariani-Kurkdjian P, Bouvet P, Haeghebaert S, Filliol I, et al. Surveillance of hemolytic uremic syndrome in children less than 15 years of age, a system to monitor O157 and non-O157 Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* infections in France, 1996–2006. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2008;27:595–601. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/INF.0b013e31816a062f
- Blanco M, Blanco JE, Mora A, Dahbi G, Alonso MP, González EA, et al. Serotypes, virulence genes, and intimin types of Shiga toxin (verotoxin)-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from cattle in Spain and identification of a new intimin variant gene (*eae*-xi). J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:645–51. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.2.645-651.2004

Address for correspondence: Federica Gigliucci, Department of Food Safety, Nutrition and Veterinary Public Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, viale Regina Elena, 299, Rome, Italy; email: federica.gigliucci@iss.it

Genomic Characterization of *hlyF*-positive Shiga toxin–Producing *Escherichia coli*, Italy and the Netherlands, 2000–2019

Appendix 1

producing Escherichia coli, Ita	ly and the Netherlands, 2000	0–2019		
Strain	Sequencing depth*	Estimated contigs coverage†	No. contigs	N50
ED0448	95×	1.05×	177	123196
ED0463B	107×	1.05×	191	93269
ED0655	59×	1.06×	188	93733
ED0656	109×	1.08×	198	75535
ED0696	68×	1.05×	225	87928
ED0812	78×	1.09×	209	67677
ED0813	84×	1.07×	191	87938
ED0840	118×	1.09×	204	90781
ED0884	108×	1.1×	202	86312
ED0918	75×	1.06×	167	110175
ED1000	74×	1.08×	193	83903
ED1001	169×	1.1×	208	75640
ED1029	200×	1.08×	190	86691
ED1049	139×	1.08×	188	108440
ED1152	153×	1.09×	215	70160
ED1213	94×	1.09×	203	105660
ED1232	174×	1.06×	204	73316
ED1269	110×	1.08×	225	104490
ED1273	132×	1.09×	206	109870
ED1283	79×	1.09×	203	83131
ED1284	67×	1.09×	194	74252
ED1304	77×	1.09×	182	87927
ED1308	83×	1.06×	200	65716
ED1319	52×	1.07×	192	87931
ED1374	141×	1.05×	184	86314
ED1381	126×	1.06×	205	68640
ED1382	251×	1.08×	165	72686
ED1386	139×	1.05×	185	104629
EF0453	131×	1.06×	211	70199
EF0475	144×	1.06×	171	72821
NL1700223	101×	1.08×	438	143394
NL1700566	111×	1.08×	479	68240
NL1700800	63×	1.12×	759	93204
NL1700844	77×	1.11×	744	93004
NL1701143	63×	1.1×	720	91144
NL1701173	78×	1.08×	752	87756
NL1701358	85×	1.02×	302	209789
NL1701474	66×	1.06×	464	79826
NL1701620	111×	1.03×	327	106777
NL1800002	86×	1.11×	769	74413
NL1800025	107×	1.02×	405	66939
NL1800037	98×	1.06×	447	71815
NL1800064	168×	1.09×	792	72748
NL1800080	204×	1.09×	849	72727
NL1800418	89×	1.11×	709	92328
NL1800531	93×	1.13×	836	72973
NL1800673	112×	1.05×	338	190511
NL1800717	106×	1.06×	510	190511
NL1800739	138×	1.11×	750	91090

Appendix 1 Table 1. Genomic sequencing depth and assembly statistics of genomic sequences of *hlyF*-positive Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli*. Italy and the Netherlands. 2000–2019

Strain	Sequencing depth*	Estimated contigs coverage†	No. contigs	N50
NL1800740	146×	1.09×	692	91999
NL1900008	95×	1.11×	359	134460
NL1900697	410×	1.11×	461	101567
NL1900824	193×	1.11×	510	94172

*Sequencing depth value indicates the total length of all reads divided by the estimated length of the *E. coli* genome (i.e., 5 Mb). †Estimated contigs coverage is calculated by dividing the number of assembled nucleotides by the estimated genome size (i.e., 5 Mb).

Appendix 1 Table 2. Genomic characterization of Shiga toxin–producing	Escherichia coli strains with extraintestinal pathogenic E.
coli-associated virulence genes, the Netherlands and Italy, 2000-2019*	

		ST				Gene						
		(phylo-					iro				Patient	Year
Strain	Serotype	group)	stx	eae	hlyF	ompT	BCDEN	iss	ehxa	Origin	diagnosis	(country)
ED0448	O186:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₂	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	H	HUS	2000 (IT)
ED0463B	O186:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	Beef	NA	2001 (IT)
			20							liver		
ED0655	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₂	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2007 (IT)
ED0656	O186:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₂	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	н	HUS	2007 (IT)
ED0696	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₀	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	H	HUS	2009 (IT)
ED0812	080·H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₋	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	н	HC	2011 (IT)
ED0813	080·H2	301 (B1)	stro-	600 ç 626-ř	+	+	+	+	+	н	HC	2011 (IT)
ED0840	080·H2	301 (B1)	stv.	600 ç 626- [×]		÷		÷		н	HUS	2012 (IT)
ED0884	080.112	301 (B1)	stv.	000-č	, ,	, T	, ,	, ,	- -	ц	HC	2012 (IT) 2013 (IT)
ED0004	080.112	301 (B1)	stv.	000-č	- -		, ,	, ,	- -	н	нис	2013 (IT)
ED1000	000.112	201 (B1)	otv									2013 (IT)
ED1000	000.112	201 (D1)	Stx _{2a}				- -		- T			2014 (IT)
ED1001		301 (D1) 201 (D1)	SIX _{2a}		+	+	+	+	+			2014 (IT)
ED1029		301 (D1) 201 (D1)	SIX _{2a}	eae-ç	+	+	+	+	+			2015 (IT)
ED1049		301 (B1)	SIX _{2a}	eae-ς	+	+	+	+	+		NA UC	2015 (IT)
ED1152	080:H2	301 (B1)	Stx _{2a}	eae-ç	+	+	+	+	+	н	HC	2016 (IT)
ED1213	080:H2	301 (B1)	Stx _{2a}	eae-ç	+	+	+	+	+	н	HUS	2017 (11)
ED1232	080:H2	301 (B1)	Stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	н	HC	2017 (11)
ED1269	080:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2018 (11)
ED1273	080:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2018 (11)
ED1283	O26:H11	21(B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-β1	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2018 (IT)
ED1284	O26:H11	21(B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-β1	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2018 (IT)
ED1304	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2018 (IT)
ED1308	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ξ	+	+	+	+	+	н	HUS	2018 (IT)
ED1319	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2d}	eae-ξ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2018 (IT)
ED1374	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Raw	NA	2019 (IT)
										bovine		
										milk		
ED1381	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ξ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2019 (IT)
ED1382	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2019 (IT)
ED1386	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-č	+	+	+	_	+	Н	HUS	2019 (IT)
EF0453	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2f}	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	Н	HUS	2013 (IT)
EF0475	O55:H9	301 (B1)	StX _{2f}	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	н	HUS	2014 (IT)
NL1700223	O8:H9	88(Ùn)	stx _{2e}	_ `	+	+	+	+	_	н	NA	2017 (NL)
NL1700566	O152:H8	13(B1)	stx12	_	+	+	+	+	_	н	NA	2017 (NL)
NL1700800	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₂	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2017 (NL)
NL1700844	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₂	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	H	HC	2017 (NL)
NI 1701143	045·H2	301 (B1)	stx ₂₋	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2017 (NL)
NI 1701173	080·H2	301 (B1)	strod	600 ç 626-ř	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2017 (NL)
NI 1701358	0115·H52	8691 (B2)	stra	eae-73	+	+	-	+	_	н		2017 (NL)
NETTOTOOO	0110.1102	0001 (BZ)	01721	000 10	•	•		•		hosp	D	2017 (142)
NI 1701474	O152·H8	13(B1)	stv.	_	-	+	-	-	_	нозр. Н	ΝΔ	2017 (NIL)
NL 1701620	0152.110	767 (B1)	otv	_	- T	т ,		- T	_	и Ц		2017 (NL)
NL1701020	06.119	707 (BT)	SIX _{2e}	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	hocn	D	2017 (INL)
NII 1900002	000-112	201 (P1)	otv	000 ž						позр. ц	NIA	2019 (NIL)
NL 1000002		301 (D1) 656 (D1)	SIX _{2d}	eae-ç	+	+	+	+	+			2010 (INL)
NL 1000025	046.043	000 (D1)	SIX _{2b}	-	+	+	+	+	-			2010 (INL)
NL 1800037	0152.06		SIX _{1a}		+	+	+	+	-		NA UC	2018 (NL)
NL1800064	080:H2	301 (B1)	StX _{2d}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н,	HC	2018 (NL)
	000110									nosp.		0040 (111)
NL1800080	080:H2	301 (B1)	Stx _{2d}	eae-ς	+	+	+	+	+	н	NA	2018 (NL)
NL1800418	O45:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ξ	+	+	+	+	+	. Н,	HC	2018 (NL)
	0.04									hosp.	-	
NL1800531	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2f}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	H	D	2018 (NL)
NL1800673	O8:H9	88(Un)	stx _{2e}	-	+	+	+	+	-	Н	D	2018 (NL)
NL1800717	O8:H9	88(Un)	stx _{2e}	-	+	+	+	+	-	Н	NA	2018 (NL)
NL1800739	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	D	2018 (NL)
NL1800740	O45:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	NA	2018 (NL)

		ST		Gene								
		(phylo-					iro				Patient	Year
Strain	Serotype	group)	stx	eae	hlyF	ompT	BCDEN	iss	ehxa	Origin	diagnosis	(country)
NL1900008	O91:H14	33(B1)	stx _{1a}	-	+	+	+	+	-	Н	NA	2019 (NL)
NL1900697	O45:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2a}	eae-ζ	+	+	+	+	+	Н	D	2019 (NL)
NL1900824	O80:H2	301 (B1)	stx _{2d}	eae-č	+	+	+	+	+	Η,	D	2019 (NL)
										hosp		

*D, diarrhea; H, human; HC, hemorrhagic colitis; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; hosp., hospitalized; IT, Italy; NA, not available; NL, the Netherlands; ST, sequence type; Un, unknown; +, positive; –, negative.

Appendix 1 Table 3. Antimicrobial resistance genes associated with pR444_A plasmid that were identified in Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* strains with extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli*–associated virulence genes, the Netherlands and Italy, 2000–2019*

			Sequence		AMR genes						
	Strain	Serotype	type	stx	aph(3')-I	ant(3")-I	dfrA	str	sul	bla _{TEM}	tet
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{ED0463B} & O186:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & dt'A1 & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0656} & O186:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & dt'A1 & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & - & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0681} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & - & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0812} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0814} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0840} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0840} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0840} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED0100} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1010} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1029} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1120} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & - & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1230} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & - & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1230} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & - & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1249} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1230} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1240} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1230} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1340} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{ED1340} & O80:H2 & 301 & stc_{85} & aph(3')-la & - & - & strAB & sul2 & blar_{E4-1} & tet(A) \\ \mbox{E1170} & O80:H2 & 301 &$	ED0448	O186:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	-	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ED0463B	O186:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA1	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ED0655	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	_	-	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
	ED0656	O186:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA1	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	
	ED0696	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	_	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
	ED0812	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	-	ant(3")-la	_	-	sul2	_	<i>tet</i> (A)
	ED0813	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	_	ant(3")-la	_	_	sul2	_	<i>tet</i> (A)
	ED0840	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la		_	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	<i>tet</i> (A)
	ED0884	O80:H2	301	stx ₂₂	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	blaTEM-1	tet(A)
ED1000 O80:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - strAB sul2 blamestic tet(A) ED1001 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 - tet(A) ED1029 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 - tet(A) ED1152 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 - tet(A) ED1232 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 - - ED1232 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 blamestic tet(A) ED1243 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB sul2 blamestic tet(A) ED1243 080:H2 301 str2a aph(3)-Ja - - strAB	ED0918	O80:H2	301	stx ₂₀	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	blatem.1	tet(A)
	ED1000	080:H2	301	stx ₂₀	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2		tet(A)
	ED1001	O80:H2	301	stx ₂₀	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	blatem.1	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FD1029	080:H2	301	stx ₂₀	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2		tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1049	080:H2	301	stx ₂₀	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	blaten 1	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1152	080·H2	301	str _{2a}	anh(3')-la	_	dfr∆17	strAR	sul2		tot(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1213	080:H2	301	str _{2a}	- -	ant(3")-la	_	_	sul2	_	$tot(\Lambda)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1232	080·H2	301	stv.	anh(3")-lh	-	_	str∆R	sul2	_	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1269	080·H2	301	stv.	aph(3')-la	_	_	str∆R	sul2	hla	$tot(\Delta)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1203	000.112	201	Stx _{2a}	apri(5)-ia	ant(2") la	_	SUAD	SUIZ	DIATEM-1	$tot(\Lambda)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1273	000.112	21	SIX _{2a}	- oph(2") lh	ani(3)-ia	dfr 1	- otr A D	Suiz	- blo	tot(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1203	020.011	21	SIX _{2a}	apri(3)-ib	-	dfr A 1	SUAD	Suiz	DIaTEM-1	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1204		21	SIX _{2a}	apri(3)-ib	-	UIIAI	SUAD	Suiz	DIa _{TEM-1}	lel(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1304		301	SIXZa	apri(3)-ia	-	_	SUAD	SUIZ	DIa _{TEM-1}	
	ED1308	080:H2	301	Stx _{2a}	apri(3)-ia	-		STAB	SUI2	DIA _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
	ED1319	080:H2	301	StX _{2d}	apn(3')-la	-	atrA5	StrAB	SUI2	DIATEM-1	tet(C)
	ED1374	080:H2	301	StX _{2a}	apn(3')-la	-	-	StrAB	SUI2	DIATEM-1	tet(A)
	ED1381	080:H2	301	StX _{2a}	aph(3')-la	_	-	StrAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1382	080:H2	301	stx _{2a}	_	ant(3″)-la	-	_	sul2		tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ED1386	080:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	-	strAB	sul1	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EF0453	080:H2	301	stx _{2f}	aph(3')-la	-	-	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EF0475	O55:H9	301	stx _{2f}	aph(3")-lb	-	_	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1700223	O8:H9	88	stx _{2e}	-	-	dfrA5	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1700566	O152:H8	13	stx _{1a}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1700800	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	-	strAB	sul2	-	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1700844	O80:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1701143	O45:H2	301	stx _{2a}	aph(3')-la	-	-	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1701173	O80:H2	301	stx _{2d}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1701358	O115:H52	8691	stx _{2f}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1701474	O152:H8	13	stx _{1a}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1701620	O8:H9	767	stx _{2e}	-	-	dfrA17	-	-	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800002	O80:H2	301	stx _{2d}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800025	O48:H45	656	stx _{2b}	aph(3")-lb	_	_	strAB	sul2	-	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800037	O152:H8	13	stx _{1a}	_	_	_	-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800064	O80:H2	301	stx _{2d}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800080	O80:H2	301	stx _{2d}	aph(3')-la	-	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	bla _{TEM-1}	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800418	O45:H2	301	stx ₂₃	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	_	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800531	O80:H2	301	stx _{2t}	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAB	sul2	blatem.1	tet(A)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800673	O8:H9	88	stx ₂₀	aph(3")-lb	_	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	blaTEM-1	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NL1800717	O8:H9	88	stx ₂₀	aph(3")-lb	_	dfrA5	strAB	sul2	blatem	_
NL1800740 O45:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la$ – $ strAB$ $sul2$ bla_{TEM-1} $tet(A)$ NL1900088 O91:H14 33 stx_{1a} – $ tet(A)$ NL1900697 O45:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la$ – $ strAB$ $sul2$ bla_{TEM-1} $tet(A)$ NL1900824 O80:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la$ – $ strAB$ $sul2$ bla_{TEM-1} $tet(A)$	NI 1800739	080:H2	301	stx ₂₀	_	_	_	_	sul2		tet(A)
NL190008 O91:H14 33 stx_{1a} $-$ - $tet(A)$ NL1900697 O45:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la$ $strAB$ $sul2$ bla_{TEM-1} $tet(A)$ NL1900824 O80:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la$ - $ strAB$ $sul2$ bla_{TEM-1} $tet(A)$	NI 1800740	045·H2	301	stx ₂₋	aph(3')-la	_	_	strAR	sul2	blarrug	tet(A)
NL1900697 O45:H2 301 stx_{2a} $aph(3')-la strAB sul2 blattent (A) NL1900824 O80:H2 301 stx_{2a} aph(3')-la strAB sul2 blattent (A)$	NI 1900008	091·H14	33	sty.	-	_	_	_	_		$tet(\Delta)$
M_1 1900824 O80 H2 301 str _x anh(3')-la strAB sul blatem -	NI 1900697	045·H2	301	str _a	anh(3')-la	_	_	str∆R	sul2	hla	$tet(\Delta)$
	NI 1900824	080·H2	301	sty_	anh(?')-la	_	_	strAR	sul2	hla	_

*aph(3')-I and ant(3')-I encode resistance to aminoglycosides; dfrA encodes resistance to trimethoprim; sul encodes resistance to sulfonamides; blaTEM encodes resistance to β-lactams; tet encodes resistance to tetracyclines.

			Sequence type			
Strain	Accession no.	Serotype	(Phylogroup)	Source	Country (city or state)	Year
2013C-4991	GCF_003018815.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, NA	NA	2013
31707	GCF_003122965.2	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	France (Paris)	2017
364060-17	GCF_003028275.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364061–17	GCF_003028045.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364062-17	GCF_003028145.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364064–17	GCF_003028245.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364068–17	GCF_003027955.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364069–17	GCF_003028095.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364073–17	GCF_003027915.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364075–17	GCF_003028075.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364077–17	GCF_003028035.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
364082–17	GCF_003027965.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	NA	Switzerland (Zurich)	2017
36549	GCF_003122855.2	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	France (Paris)	2017
37619	GCF_003123295.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	France (Paris)	2017
40963	GCF_003123255.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	France (Paris)	2017
CB12623	GCF_003123165.2	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	Switzerland (Berne)	2017
CM15–2	GCF_004664645.1	O8:H16	2217 (B1)	Ground beef	Argentina (Tandil)	1998
EH1752	GCF_013413115.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, D	Belgium	2008
EH1764	GCF_013413035.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, D	Belgium	2008
EH2262	GCF_013413055.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, D	Belgium	2013
EH2400	GCF_013413045.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, D	Belgium	2014
EH2436	GCF_013413065.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, NA	Belgium	2014
EH2644	GCF_013412965.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	Belgium	2015
EH2786	GCF_013413335.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, NA	Belgium	2016
EH2808	GCF_013412955.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	Belgium	2016
EH2882	GCF_013413375.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf, D	Belgium	1987
EH3138	GCF_013412915.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HC	Belgium	2018
EH3154	GCF_013413215.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. Ent	Belgium	2018
EH3155	GCF_013413175.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. Ent	Belgium	2018
EH3160	GCF_013413135.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. Ent	Belgium	2018
EH3168	GCF_013412945.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HC	Belgium	2018
EH3172	GCF_013412895.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human. D	Belgium	2018
EH3173	GCF_013412825.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, NA	Belgium	2018
EH3180	GCF_013412835.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, NA	Belgium	2018
EH3205	GCF_013412845.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, D	Belgium	2019
EH3212	GCF_013412765.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	Belgium	2019
EH3257	GCF_013412765.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUSt	Belgium	2019
EH3278	GCF_013412755.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Human, HUS	Belgium	2019
EH3307	GCF_013413315.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. D	Belgium	2016
EH3310	GCF_013413285.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. D	Belgium	2016
EH3311	GCF_013413275.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf, D	Belgium	2016
FH3315	GCF_013413235.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. D	Belgium	2017
EH3320	GCF_013413165.1	080:H2	301 (B1)	Calf, D	Belgium	2017
FH3338	GCF_013413145.1	O80:H2	301 (B1)	Calf. Sept	Belgium	2018
H39–78	GCF_003123395.1	080:H2	301 (B1)	Cattle, NA	France (I von)	2017
I MFS-V-JF-008	GCA_014451005.1	0103:H2	17 (B1)	Surface water	Canada (Sumas Prairie)	2015
LMFS-V-JF-010	GCF_014050405_1	O109:H5	647 (B2)	Surface water	Canada (Sumas Prairie)	2015
NGE.clc	GCF_001191215_1	O45:H16	2217 (B1)	Cow NA	USA (Kansas)	2001
OLC1061	GCF_002134035_1	O128ab:H2	25 (B1)	NA	Canada	2012
RM11911	GCF_008761495.2	O45:H16	2217 (B1)	Water	USA (California)	2010
	E					

Appendix 1	Table 4. Mair	n characteristics of the 50) Escherichia coli geno	omes downloaded from	GenBank and RefSeq*
------------	---------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	---------------------

*RefSeq, www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/RefSeq. All strains were positive for *stx* and *hlyF* genes. HC, hemorrhagic colitis; HUS, hemolytic uremic syndrome; NA, not available; D, diarrhea; Sept, septicemia; Ent, enteritis. †Fatal disease.

	Exact	Allele	Locus not	Possible	Noninformative	Alleles larger	Alleles smaller
Genome	match	inferred	found	locus on tip	paralogous hits	than mode	than mode
2013C-4991	2341	0	6	1	6	0	6
31707	2342	0	8	0	7	0	3
364060–17	2339	0	9	6	5	0	1
364061–17	2348	0	4	1	7	0	0
364062–17	2348	0	4	0	7	0	1
364064–17	2348	0	5	0	7	0	0
364068–17	2350	0	4	0	6	0	0
364069–17	2349	0	3	1	7	0	0
364073–17	2349	0	2	0	7	0	2
364075–17	2350	0	3	0	7	0	0
364077-17	2349	0	4	0	<u>7</u>	0	0
364082-17	2349	0	3	0	7	0	1
36549	2348	0	3	2	1	0	0
37619	2306	0	38	9	7	0	0
40903 CB12622	2294	0	39	19	/ 5	1	0
CB12023 CM15_2	2344	0	3	4	5 1	0	2
ED0448	2344	0	6	1	5	0	2
ED0463B	2338	0	12	3	5	0	2
ED0655	2346	0	6	0	6	Õ	2
ED0656	2339	Õ	12	2	4	õ	3
ED0696	2346	0	6	1	6	0	1
ED0812	2336	0	9	3	6	0	6
ED0813	2344	0	6	0	7	0	3
ED0840	2342	0	6	1	7	0	4
ED0867	2338	0	12	2	6	0	2
ED0884	2344	0	4	2	6	0	4
ED0918	2350	0	3	0	4	0	3
ED1000	2343	0	7	0	6	0	4
ED1001	2338	0	8	2	7	0	5
ED1029	2347	0	6	0	6	0	1
ED1049	2348	0	4	0	7	0	1
ED1152	2344	0	5	2	7	0	2
ED1213	2342	0	6	2	6	0	4
ED1232	2344	0	6	3	5	0	2
ED1209	2303	0	47	0	7	1	2
ED1273	2340	0	4	5	5	0	2
ED1284	2340	0	3	۲ ۲	۵ ۵	0	2
ED1204	2343	0	7		7	1	2
ED1304	2341	Õ	10	3	4	0	2
ED1308	2339	Õ	14	1	5	õ	1
ED1319	2336	Õ	8	0	7	0 0	9
ED1374	2338	0	14	1	5	0	2
ED1381	2341	0	4	2	7	0	6
ED1382	2346	0	6	2	5	0	1
ED1386	2346	0	5	0	5	0	4
EF0453	2342	0	8	2	6	0	2
EF0475	2342	0	6	1	6	1	4
EH1752	2351	0	3	0	6	0	0
EH1764	2350	0	3	0	7	0	0
EH2262	2351	0	2	0	6	0	1
EH2400	2350	0	3	0	/	0	0
EH2436	2346	0	/	0	6	0	1
	2349	0	3	0	1	0	1
EH2808	2376	0	2 7	1 0	6	0	1
EH2882	2340 2350	0	2	0	5	0	2
EH3138	2351	0	2	0	6	0	<u>-</u> 1
FH3154	2348	0	6	0	5	0	1
EH3155	2350	ñ	4	õ	6	õ	0
EH3160	2350	õ	4	õ	6	õ	õ
EH3168	2349	Õ	4	1	6	Õ	õ
EH3172	2349	Ō	4	0	7	0	0
EH3173	2350	0	3	0	7	0	0
EH3180	2350	0	3	0	7	0	0

Appendix 1 Table 5. Statistics output of core genome multilocus sequence typing analysis of *hlyF*-positive Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* strains used in this study*

	Exact	Allele	Locus not	Possible	Noninformative	Alleles larger	Alleles smaller
Genome	match	inferred	found	locus on tip	paralogous hits	than mode	than mode
EH3205	2344	0	11	0	5	0	0
EH3212	2349	0	3	1	7	0	0
EH3257	2349	0	3	1	7	0	0
EH3278	2349	0	3	1	7	0	0
EH3307	2339	0	15	0	5	0	1
EH3310	2349	0	3	0	7	0	1
EH3311	2342	0	9	0	7	1	1
EH3315	2342	0	9	0	7	1	1
EH3320	2349	0	3	0	7	0	1
EH3338	2350	0	4	0	6	0	0
H39–78	2347	0	4	1	7	0	1
LMFS-V-JF-008	2354	0	0	0	6	0	0
LMFS-V-JF-010	2351	0	6	0	1	0	2
NGE.clc	2343	0	10	1	3	0	3
NL1700223	2356	0	2	0	2	0	0
NL1700566	2348	0	4	3	2	1	2
NL1700800	2353	0	2	0	5	0	0
NL1700844	2349	0	4	0	7	0	0
NL1701143	2349	0	3	1	6	0	1
NL1701173	2349	0	5	0	6	0	0
NL1701358	2271	0	80	0	4	1	4
NL1701474	2350	0	4	2	2	1	1
NL1701620	2352	0	4	1	3	0	0
NL1800002	2345	0	8	1	6	0	0
NL1800025	2338	0	14	2	2	0	4
NL1800037	2350	0	4	2	2	1	1
NL1800064	2350	0	4	0	6	0	0
NL1800080	2350	0	4	0	6	0	0
NL1800418	2352	0	2	1	5	0	0
NL1800531	2349	0	4	0	7	0	0
NL1800673	2352	0	5	0	2	0	1
NL1800717	2352	0	5	0	2	0	1
NL1800739	2352	0	2	0	6	0	0
NL1800740	2352	0	2	1	5	0	0
NL1900008	2349	0	7	0	2	0	2
NL1900697	2350	0	3	1	5	0	1
NL1900824	2349	0	4	0	6	0	1
OLC1061	2351	0	5	1	3	0	0
RDEx444	2338	0	10	0	7	0	5
RM11911	2341	0	9	0	2	2	6

*Analysis conducted with the chewBBACA tool (https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000166).