

## **Evaluation Form**

### **NIOSH/NPPTL CBRN and Quality Assurance Public Meeting**

**June 25, 2003**

**Hilton Garden Inn, Canonsburg, Pennsylvania**

Confidential Basis – No name required, please return evaluation form face down in the collection bin when you exit the room.

1. What was the most effective topic? Why?
  - a. Escape standard discussion. Answered questions, filled in blanks.
  - b. Docket discussion clarified positions.
  - c. General CBRN – escape respirator topics – most relevant to my work.
  - d. Standardization procedures reviewing various concepts assists with familiarity.
  - e. The discussion of dropping mannequin testing and using only human testing for respirator approval. In the diagram, mannequin testing was very consistent, but for the devices tested, the human subject testing was not. Is a better mannequin test required? Better human testing?
  - f. Update of standards.
  - g. All good.
  - h. Review of the procedures for escape masks.
  
2. What was the least effective topic? Why?
  - a. Applying for fees.
  - b. QA module/admin. Some of us are new ... would like to have had a handout, even preliminary, to refer to.
  - c. CRPL – show the add up for samples.
  - d. SCER – not relevant to work.
  - e. All topics discussed addressed concerns.
  - f. Quality assurance. Very important topic but not a lot was really done with the material.

- g. Intro.
  - h. All good.
  - i. None.
3. What information discussed do you see as most helpful in improving the performance of the laboratory?
- a. Soliciting comments from public/manufacturers.
  - b. Face size seemed to generate most interested selection of list panels, etc.
  - c. Information exchange.
  - d. Give and take on some of the details, criteria.
4. What suggestions do you have for future training activities associated with strategic planning?
- a. Handout with specific links to topics discussed -- not just "it's on the website."
  - b. Breakout groups, if time allows, for in-depth discussions on displays. Don't leave it to break times. Do simultaneous discussions with exhibits/exhibitors.
  - c. Scheduled, planned meeting dates are helpful.
  - d. More active involvement during writing phase of standard between all parties.
  - e. Continue the dialogue, website updates.
5. What improvements can be made with regard to today's meeting facilities?
- a. Chairs are too hard for the time between breaks.
  - b. None!
  - c. Box lunch was a good idea.
  - d. Great! Strong coffee at last.
  - e. None.
  - f. Facilities were very nice. Would recommend the next venue have more than one place to eat. Dinner prices were much too high.

- g. This one was pretty good.
  - h. Continue with open dialogue. Post changes on website as identified.
  - i. More comfortable chairs.
6. Please provide any additional comments.
- a. Please provide some sort of handout prior to meetings for us to review, analyze, generate questions/comments.
  - b. Regular OSHA updates would be helpful if possible.
  - c. Overall, I was impressed with the open exchange of questions and answers. Bit of defensiveness noted was filter shell life and RAM (reliability, availability, and maintainability).
  - d. Very good dialogue with providing updated concepts and seeking feedback from stakeholders. Quality of NIOSH folks very high -- clearly motivated and experienced. Very balance and fair in approach.

Thank you for your feedback and participation!