of drug resistance. However, knowledge of strain genotype is no less clinically and epidemiologically relevant. A superspreading strain might be marked with other pathobiologically important features. In the case presented here (indeed emerging and MDR), the NGS-based in silico spoligotyping would confuse the MDR/extensively drug resistant SIT266 with "less dangerous" SIT264. To be precise, the revealed discrepancy is not inherent to the NGS technology itself. Although the general limitation of the use of short sequencing reads to infer repetitive genome regions is known, it did not pose a problem in our study. However, both bioinformatics tools predicted the spoligoprofile solely from the presence or absence of spacer sequences and did not take into account a "hiding" effect exerted by a putative IS6110 insertion on adjacent spacer under classical spoligotyping.

In conclusion, we suggest that an accurate NGS-based prediction requires an integrative approach to all relevant information obtained by in silico analysis of a given genome locus. In particular, not only presence of CRISPR spacers but also presence and location of potentially interfering IS6110 insertion(s) should be considered for correct NGS-based assignment to internationally recognized spoligotypes.

This project received funding from Russian Science Foundation (grant 14-14-00292). E.C. acknowledges additional partial support from St. Petersburg State University (grant 1.38.253.2015).

References

- Zalutskaya A, Wijkander M, Jureen P, Skrahina A, Hoffner S. Multidrug-resistant *Myobacterium tuberculosis* caused by the Beijing genotype and a specific T1 genotype clone (SIT No. 266) is widely transmitted in Minsk. Int J Mycobacteriol. 2013;2:194–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmyco.2013.08.001
- Mokrousov I, Vyazovaya A, Narvskaya O. Mycobacterium tuberculosis Latin American-Mediterranean family and its sublineages in the light of robust evolutionary markers. J Bacteriol. 2014;196:1833–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01485-13
- Narvskaya O, Mokrousov I, Otten T, Vishnevsky B. Molecular markers: application for studies of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* population in Russia. In: Read MM, editor, Trends in DNA fingerprinting research. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2005. p. 111–25.
- Ignatova A, Dubiley S, Stepanshina V, Shemyakin I. Predominance of multi-drug-resistant LAM and Beijing family strains among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates recovered from prison inmates in Tula Region, Russia. J Med Microbiol. 2006;55:1413–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46575-0
- Mokrousov I, Vyazovaya A, Solovieva N, Sunchalina T, Markelov Y, Chernyaeva E, et al. Trends in molecular epidemiology of drug-resistant tuberculosis in Republic of Karelia, Russian Federation. BMC Microbiol. 2015;15:279. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1186/s12866-015-0613-3
- Tracevska T, Jansone I, Baumanis V, Marga O, Lillebaek T. Prevalence of Beijing genotype in Latvian multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2003; 7:1097–103.
- Pardini M, Niemann S, Varaine F, Iona E, Meacci F, Orrù G, et al. Characteristics of drug-resistant tuberculosis in Abkhazia

- (Georgia), a high-prevalence area in Eastern Europe. Tuberculosis (Edinb). 2009;89:317–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2009.04.002
- Mokrousov I, Rastogi N. Spacer-based macroarrays for CRISPR genotyping. Methods Mol Biol. 2015;1311:111–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2687-9 7
- Xia E, Teo YY, Ong RT. SpoTyping: fast and accurate in silico *Mycobacterium* spoligotyping from sequence reads. Genome Med. 2016;8:19. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0270-7
- Sekizuka T, Yamashita A, Murase Y, Iwamoto T, Mitarai S, Kato S, et al. TGS-TB: total genotyping solution for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* using short-read whole-genome sequencing. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0142951. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0142951

Address for correspondence: Igor Mokrousov, Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, St. Petersburg Pasteur Institute, 14 Mira St, St. Petersburg, Russia; email: imokrousov@mail.ru

MERS-CoV Infection of Alpaca in a Region Where MERS-CoV is Endemic

Chantal B.E.M. Reusken,¹ Chrispijn Schilp,¹ V. Stalin Raj, Erwin De Bruin, Robert H.G. Kohl, Elmoubasher A.B.A. Farag, Bart L. Haagmans, Hamad Al-Romaihi, Francois Le Grange, Berend-Jan Bosch, Marion P.G. Koopmans

Author affiliations: Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands (C.B.E.M. Reusken, V.S. Raj, E. De Bruin, R.H.G. Kohl, B.L. Haagmans, M.P.G. Koopmans); Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation, Doha, Qatar (C. Schlip, F. Le Grange); Supreme Council of Health, Doha (E.A.B.A. Farag, H. Al-Romaihi); Utrecht University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht, the Netherlands (B.-J. Bosch)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.152113

To the Editor: Accumulating evidence indicates that dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*) are a reservoir for zoonotic transmission of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Although numerous studies have looked at other livestock in the Middle East region, evidence for MERS-CoV infection has only been found in dromedaries (*I*). Extensive and continuous circulation of MERS-CoV occurs in the Al Shahaniya region of Qatar, most likely because of the presence of an international camel racing track and numerous barns holding camels (2,3). In April 2015, we investigated the MERS-CoV infection status of 15 healthy alpacas (*Vicugna pacos*) in a herd of 20 animals and 10 healthy dromedaries in a herd of 25

¹These authors contributed equally to this article.

animals at a farm in this region (online Technical Appendix, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/6/15-2113-Techapp1.pdf).

The herds were located at a distance of ≈200 m from each other within the barn complex and were cared for by the same animal workers, who lived in a common house between the herds at the complex. Both the alpacas and camels were kept as hobby animals.

Serum samples were collected from all 25 animals. Nasal swabs were collected from all camels, whereas nasal, rectal, and oral swab specimens were collected only from a subset of the alpacas (online Technical Appendix) because of logistical constraints. The serum samples were tested for IgG antibodies reactive with the S1 antigens of MERS-CoV and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and titers were calculated as described previously (4,5). MERS-CoV reactivity was confirmed by using a 90% plaque-reduction neutralization test (PRNT₀₀) (3). Swab specimens were analyzed for MERS-CoV RNA by a screening PCR targeting the upE gene (6). MERS-CoVspecific antibodies were detected in all alpacas and all but 1 camel by protein microarray; reciprocal titers ranged from 49 to 773 for the alpacas and were $\geq 1,280$ for the camels (Figure, panel A). PRNT₉₀ testing confirmed the presence of MERS-CoV-specific antibodies; reciprocal neutralizing titers ranged from 80 to 320 for the alpacas and from 80 to ≥2560 for 9 camels (Figure, panel B). All swab specimens were negative by PCR (online Technical Appendix). None of the serum samples were reactive to SARS-CoV S1. The microarray was also conducted for bovine CoV and human CoV-229E antigens, which were used as a proxy for the serologically closely related dromedary betacoronavirus-1 HKU23 and 229E-related camelid alphacoronaviruses, respectively (7). Positive binding was detected for both antigens in alpaca and dromedary (data not shown).

Our observations prove the susceptibility of alpacas for natural MERS-CoV infection and lay the foundation for future studies to determine the potential of alpacas as another livestock reservoir for MERS-CoV. The alpacas in this study were the only alpacas in Qatar at the time and were located in a region where MERS-CoV is endemic. In a previous study, by using the same microarray technology, we found no evidence for MERS-CoV infection in alpacas from regions where MERS-CoV is not endemic (4). Although a study by Eckerle et al. demonstrated the potential of MERS-CoV to infect alpaca kidney cells in vitro (8) and alignment of mammalian DPP4 indicate that the 14 residues interacting with the MERS-CoV receptor binding domain of alpaca DPP4 are identical to that of dromedary DPP4 (online Technical Appendix), the in vivo susceptibility of alpacas remained to be determined.

The observed natural susceptibility of alpacas to MERS-CoV infection potentiates a broadening of the geographic range of MERS-CoV circulation to areas with large populations of alpacas. Alpacas are New World camelids, and the worldwide population of alpacas is estimated at 3 million animals, with ≈94% living in the high Andean regions of South America (Peru, Bolivia, Chile and Argentina), of which most are in Peru (constituting ≈88% of the world alpaca population) (http://lib.icimod.org/record/23682). Alpacas are increasingly being kept outside




Figure. Column scatterplots of MERS-CoV reactivity of serum samples from alpaca (n = 15) and dromedaries (n = 10) in the Al Shahaniya region of Qatar, April 2015. A) Plot of alpaca and dromedary serum titers of antibodies specific for S1 antigens of 2 coronaviruses as determined by protein microarray. Titers were defined as the interpolated serum concentration that provoked a response half-way on a concentration-response curve between the minimum and maximum signal and were calculated from the inflection point of a 4-step dilution series (1:20 to 1:1,280) as described previously (5). B) Plot of alpaca and dromedary serum titers of MERS-CoV neutralizing antibodies as determined by PRNT₉₀. The highest serum dilution neutralizing 90% of plaque formation is depicted. For both panels, solid lines indicate median, and dotted lines indicate detection limit. MERS, Middle East respiratory syndrome; CoV, coronavirus; PRNT₉₀, 90% plaque-reduction neutralization test; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome.

South America, mainly for their fleece, with estimated numbers in 2014 reaching 230,000 in the United States (http://lib.icimod.org/record/23682), 35,000 in the United Kingdom (http://www.bas-uk.com), and 150,000 in Australia (http://www.alpaca.asn.au). Although MERS-CoV has not been found in camelids other than dromedaries outside the Arabian Peninsula so far (9), our observations raise the question of whether other camelids could become infected if MERS-CoV were introduced to regions with large populations of alpacas and possibly other closely related camelids of the genera *Lama*, *Vicugna*, and *Camelus*.

Because the date of infection of the alpacas and camels in this study is not known, we cannot speculate on the level of susceptibility of alpacas versus dromedaries based on the observed differences in antibody titers, which were lower in alpacas. It remains to be determined whether alpacas, in parallel with dromedaries, will actually shed MERS-CoV and are capable of independent maintenance of the virus in their population. Differences in susceptibility to viral pathogens between New and Old World camelids have been observed before (10). Therefore, understanding the risk requires further assessment of the reservoir competence of alpacas for MERS-CoV (e.g., through experimental infections) and an assessment of MERS-CoV-related viruses present in alpacas and other camelids in different parts of the world.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Al-Hajri, Supreme Council of Health, and the workers at the Al Maha farm and the Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation, in particular H.E. Sheikh Saoud Mohamed Bin Ali Al-Thani and A. Abdi, for support of this study.

This study was funded in part by the European Union FP7 projects ANTIGONE (contract no. 278976) and was supported by a grant from the Dutch Scientific Research Organization (NWO grant no. 91213066).

References

- Chan JF, Lau SK, To KK, Cheng VC, Woo PC, Yuen KY. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28:465–522. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00102-14
- Haagmans BL, Al Dhahiry SH, Reusken CB, Raj VS, Galiano M, Myers R, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in dromedary camels: an outbreak investigation. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14:140–5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70690-X
- Reusken CB, Farag EA, Haagmans BL, Mohran KA, Godeke GJV V, Raj S, et al. Occupational exposure to dromedaries and risk for MERS-CoV infection, Qatar, 2013–2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21:1422–5. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2108.150481
- Reusken CB, Haagmans BL, Müller MA, Gutierrez C, Godeke GJ, Meyer B, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus neutralising serum antibodies in dromedary camels: a comparative serological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13:859–66. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70164-6

- Koopmans M, de Bruin E, Godeke GJ, Friesema I, van Gageldonk R, Schipper M, et al. Profiling of humoral immune responses to influenza viruses by using protein microarray. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:797–807. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1469-0691.2011.03701.x
- Corman VM, Eckerle I, Bleicker T, Zaki A, Landt O, Eschbach-Bludau M, et al. Detection of a novel human coronavirus by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. Euro Surveill. 2012;17:20285.7. Sabir JS, Lam TT, Ahmed MM, Li L, Shen Y, Abo-Aba SE, et al. Co-circulation of three camel coronavirus species and recombination of MERS-CoVs in Saudi Arabia. Science. 2016;351:81–4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.aac8608
- Eckerle I, Corman VM, Müller MA, Lenk M, Ulrich RG, Drosten C. Replicative capacity of MERS coronavirus in livestock cell lines. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20:276–9. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3201/eid2002.131182
- Chan SM, Damdinjav B, Perera RA, Chu DK, Khishgee B, Enkhbold B, et al. Absence of MERS-coronavirus in Bactrian camels, southern Mongolia, November 2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21:1269–71. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2107.150178
- Wernery U, Kinne J. Foot and mouth disease and similar virus infections in camelids: a review. Rev Sci Tech. 2012;31:907–18.

Address for correspondence: Chantal B.E.M. Reusken, Viroscience Department, Erasmus Medical Center, PO Box 2040, Rotterdam 3000 CA, the Netherlands; email: c.reusken@erasmusmc.nl

Cryptococcus gattii VGIIb-like Variant in White-Tailed Deer, Nova Scotia, Canada

David P. Overy, Scott McBurney, Anne Muckle, Lorraine Lund, P. Jeffery Lewis, Robert Strang

Author affiliations: Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada (D.P. Overy, S. McBurney, A. Muckle, L. Lund, P.J. Lewis); Department of Health and Wellness, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada (R. Strang)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.160081

To the Editor: *Cryptococcus gattii* is a fungal pathogen that is emerging in the Pacific Northwest of North America. In Nova Scotia, Canada, previously not recognized as a *C. gattii*—endemic area, a variant strain similar to VGIIb caused cryptococcosis with nasopulmonary, lymph node and central nervous system involvement in a free-ranging, yearling white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). The deer was found in the village of Greenwood (latitude 44.9717246; longitude –64.9341295) on July 14, 2014. The deer exhibited behavioral and neurologic abnormalities, including

¹These authors contributed equally to this article.

MERS-CoV Infection of Alpaca in a Region Where MERS-CoV is Endemic

Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table. Overview of background data and study results of alpaca and dromedary cohorts.

	•			Arr	ay‡	MERS-CoV		PCR UpE§	
Animal	Age†	Sex	Origin	MERS-CoV	SARS-CoV	PRNT ₉₀	NS	OS	AS
Alpaca									
A1	Adult	M	Qatar	287	<20	80	Neg	Neg	Neg
A2	Adult	F	Qatar	122	<20	40	ND	ND	ND
A3	Adult	M	UK	135	<20	80	Neg	Neg	Neg
A4	Adult	M	Qatar	118	<20	80	Neg	Neg	Neg
A5	Juvenile	F	Qatar	403	<20	320	ND	ND	ND
A6	Adult	F	Qatar	275	<20	80	ND	ND	ND
A7	Adult	M	UK	509	<20	320	Neg	ND	Neg
A8	Adult	M	Qatar	49	<20	80	Neg	Neg	Neg
A9	Adult	M	Qatar	265	<20	320	Neg	Neg	Neg
A10	Adult	M	Qatar	451	<20	160	Neg	Neg	Neg
A11	Adult	F	Canada	513	<20	320	ND	ND	ND
A12	Adult	F	Canada	629	<20	320	ND	ND	ND
A13	Adult	F	Canada	117	<20	80	ND	ND	ND
A14	Adult	F	Canada	513	<20	320	ND	ND	ND
A15	Adult	F	UK	773	<20	320	ND	ND	ND
Dromedary									
D1	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	≥2560	Neg	ND	ND
D2	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	≥2560	Neg	ND	ND
D3	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	≥2560	Neg	ND	ND
D4	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	≥2560	Neg	ND	ND
D5	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	640	Neg	ND	ND
D6	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	1280	Neg	ND	ND
D7	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	1280	Neg	ND	ND
D8	Adult	F	Unknown	≥1280	<20	≥2560	Neg	ND	ND
D9	Adult	F	Unknown	<20	<20	<20	Neg	ND	ND
D10	Adult	M	Unknown	≥1280	<20	640	Neg	ND	ND

^{*}MERS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; Neg, negative result, ND, not done; NS, nasal swab, OS, oral swab, PRNT90: plaque reduction neutralization test (highest neutralizing serum dilution indicated); RS, rectal swab, RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; UK, United Kingdom.

[†]Juvenile = camelid age ≤2 years; adult = camelid age >2 years.

^{‡\$1} microarray titers. Titers were defined as the interpolated serum concentration that provoked a response half-way on a concentration-response curve between the minimum and maximum signal and were calculated from the inflection point of a 4-step dilution series (1:20 to 1:1280), as previously described (1).

[§]Results RT-PCR targeting MERS-CoV UpE gene.

Camelus Vicugna	MKTPWRVLLGLIGIAALVTLITVPAVLLSKGTDDATADSRRTYTLTDYLKNTFRLKVYTLQWVSDHEYLYRQENNILLFNAEYGNSSIFLENSTFDEFGHSINDYSVSPDRQYILFEYNY MKTPWKVLLGLIGIAALVTVITVPVVLLNKGTDDATADSRRTYTLTDYLKNTFRLKVYTLQWVSDHEYLYRQENNILLFNAEYGNSSIFLENSTFDEFGHSINDYSVSPDRQYILFEYNY ****:*******************************	
Camelus Vicugna	VKQWRHSYTASYDIYDLKKRQLITEERIPNNTQWITWSPVGHKLAYVWNNDIYVKSEPNLPSQRITWTGKKDVIYNGITDWVYEEEVFSAYSALWWSPNGTFLAYAQFNDTEVPLIEYSF VKQWRHSYTASYDIYDLKKRQLITEERIPNNTQWITWSPVGHKLAYVWNNDIYVKSEPNLPSQRITWTGEKDVIYNGITDWVYEEEVFSAYSALWWSPNGTFLAYAQFNDTEVPLIEYSF	
Camelus Vicugna	YSDESLQYPKTVRIPYPKAGAVNPTVKFFVVDTSTLSPNVNATSRQIVPPASVLIGDHYLCGVTWVTEKRISLQWIRRIQNYSIMDVCDYDESTGRWASSVGRQHIETSTTGWVGRFRPA YSDESLQYPKTVRIPYPKAGAVNPTVKFFVVDISTLSPNVNATSRQIVPPASVLIGDHYLCGVTWVTEKRISLQWIRRIQNYSIMDVCDYDESTGRWASSVGRQHIETSTTGWVGRFRPA	
Camelus Vicugna	EPHFTSDGSSFYKIISNEEGYKHICHFQTDKRNCTFITKGAWEVIGIEALTRDYLYYISNEHKGMPGGRNLYKVQLNDYTKVTCLTCELDPERCQYYSASFSKEAKYYQLRCSGPGLPLY EPHFTSDGSSFYKIISNEEGYKHICHFQTDKRNCTFVTKGAWEVIGIEALTSDYLYYISNEHKGWPGGRNLYKVQLNDYTKVTCLTCELDPERCQYYSVSFSKEAKYYQLRCSGPGLPLY	
Camelus Vicugna	TLHSSSSDKELRVLENNSALENMLQEVQMPTKKLDFINMHETKFWYQMILPPHFDKSKKYPLLIDVYAGPCSQKADTIFRLNWATYLASTENIIVASFDGRGSGYQGDKIMHAINRRLGT TLHSSSSDKELRVLENNSALENMLQEVQMPTKKLDFINMHETKFWYQMILPPHFDKSKKYPLLIDVYAGPCSQKADTIFRLNWATYLASTENIIVASFDGRGSGYQGDKIMHAINRRLGT	
Camelus Vicugna	The state of the s	
Camelus Vicugna	KALVDAGVDFQTMWYTDEDHGIASSTAHQHIYTHMSHFLKQCFSLP 766 KALVDAGVDFQTMWYTDEDHGIASSTAHQHIYTHMSHFLKQCFSLP 766	

Technical Appendix Figure. Alignment of DPP4 protein sequences of dromedary camel (*Camelus dromedaries*; seq.ID: AIG55259.1) and alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*; seq.ID: XP_006196278.1). Nonidentical sequences indicated in blue. MERS-CoV S1 interacting residues indicated in red.

Reference

 Koopmans M, de Bruin E, Godeke GJ, Friesema I, van Gageldonk R, Schipper M, et al. Profiling of humoral immune responses to influenza viruses by using protein microarray. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18:797–807. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03701.x