

# SHORT-TERM CASH AND FOOD ASSISTANCE

## Good Evidence – Medication Adherence

### Evidence-Based Structural Intervention

### Evidence-Based for Retention in Care

## INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION

### Goals of Intervention

- Improve ART adherence
- Improve retention in HIV care

### Target Population

- People living with HIV (PLHIV) who are food insecure and recently initiated antiretroviral treatment (ART)

### Brief Description

*Short-Term Cash and Food Assistance* is an intervention that provides short-term food or cash assistance for food insecure PLHIV in Tanzania who recently initiated ART. Participants receive nutrition assessment and counseling (NAC), plus the opportunity to receive a monthly cash transfer or food basket for up to 6 consecutive months, conditional on attending monthly scheduled visits with the HIV care provider. Cash transfers are valued at 22,500 Tanzanian Shillings (approximately \$11 USD dollars, \$66 maximum during intervention period) and are transferred via mobile money services or are given to participants directly if they have no access to a mobile phone. Food baskets are also valued at approximately \$11, and included whole maize meal, groundnuts, and beans.

### Theoretical Basis

None reported

### Intervention Duration

- Receipt of monthly cash transfers or food baskets for up to 6 consecutive months

### Intervention Setting

- HIV primary care facilities (two hospitals and one peri-urban clinic)

### Deliverer

- HIV care provider

### Delivery Methods

- Cash and food incentives

### Structural Components

- Social Determinants of Health—Survival
  - Provided cash transfers or food baskets for up to 6 consecutive months, conditional on attending scheduled visits with the HIV care provider

## INTERVENTION PACKAGE INFORMATION

**An intervention package is not available at this time.** Please contact **Sandra McCoy**, Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California, 2121 Berkeley Way West, MC 7360, Berkeley, CA 94720.

**Email:** [smccoy@berkeley.edu](mailto:smccoy@berkeley.edu) for details on intervention materials.

## EVALUATION STUDY AND RESULTS

### Study Location

The original evaluation study was conducted in Shinyanga, Tanzania between December 2, 2013 and August 17, 2016.

### Key Intervention Effects

- Improved medication adherence
- Increased retention in HIV care

### Recruitment Settings

Two hospitals and one peri-urban clinic

### Eligibility Criteria

PLHIV were eligible if they were at least 18 years of age; newly initiated on ART within 90 days or less; and were food insecure, as measured with the Household Hunger Scale (score of  $\geq 2$ ). Moderately malnourished PLHIV (BMI 16-18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were determined to be eligible for inclusion given the frequency of moderate malnutrition among ART initiates and the lack of any special nutritional or clinical services for this group at study sites.

### Study Sample

The baseline study sample of 800 men and women is characterized by the following:

- *64% Female, 36% Male*
- *Median age of 35 years; interquartile interval 29-43 years*
- *Median body mass index (BMI) of 21.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>*

### Assignment Method

Participants were individually randomized to 1 of 3 study arms: NAC and Cash Transfers (n = 347), NAC and Food Baskets (n = 345), or NAC-only comparison (n = 113).

### Comparison

Participants in the comparison group received the standard HIV primary care services, including NAC.

### Relevant Outcomes Measured

- Medication adherence was measured at 6 and 12 months post-initiation of intervention, and assessed as the proportion of patients with medication possession ratio (MPR, or the proportion of days in a specific interval

that an individual has possession of at least one ART dose). MPR was measured using cutoffs of 95% and 80%, as well as on a continuous scale.

### Participant Retention

- NAC and Cash Transfers
  - 93% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention
  - 75% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention
- NAC and Food Baskets
  - 86% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention
  - 76% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention
- NAC-only Comparison
  - 80% retained at 6 months post-initiation of intervention
  - 72% retained at 12 months post-initiation of intervention

### Significant Findings on Relevant Outcomes

#### ***NAC and Cash Transfers intervention vs NAC-only comparison***

- A significantly greater proportion of NAC and Cash Transfers intervention participants achieved MPR  $\geq$  95% adherence than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 21.6%, 95% CI= 9.8—33.4,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 23.5%, 95% CI= 12.2—34.7,  $p<0.01$ ), and 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 19.5%, 95% CI= 6.9—32.1,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 20.3%, 95% CI= 8.4—32.2,  $p<0.01$ ).
- A significantly greater proportion of NAC and Cash Transfers intervention participants achieved MPR  $\geq$  80% adherence than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 13.6%, 95% CI= 3.9—23.3,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 15.2%, 95% CI= 6.2—24.3,  $p<0.01$ ).
- NAC and Cash Transfers intervention participants had significantly greater MPR adherence when measured on a continuous scale than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 9.7%, 95% CI= 5.6—13.8,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 10.5%, 95% CI= 6.5—14.4,  $p<0.01$ ), and at 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 9.7%, 95% CI= 4.9—14.5,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 10.3%, 95% CI= 5.6—15.0,  $p<0.01$ ).

#### ***NAC and Food Baskets intervention vs NAC-only comparison***

- A significantly greater proportion of NAC and Food Baskets intervention participants achieved MPR  $\geq$  95% adherence than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 15.8%, 95% CI= 3.8—27.9,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 17.0%, 95% CI= 5.5—28.5,  $p<0.01$ ).
- A significantly greater proportion of NAC and Food Baskets intervention participants achieved MPR  $\geq$  80% adherence than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (adjusted difference = 9.4%, 95% CI= 0.1—18.8,  $p<0.05$ ).
- NAC and Food Baskets intervention participants had significantly greater MPR adherence when measured on a continuous scale than NAC-only comparison participants at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 7.5%, 95% CI= 3.4—11.6,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 8.0%, 95% CI= 4.1—11.9,  $p<0.01$ ) and at 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 6.2%, 95% CI= 1.4—11.0,  $p<0.01$ ; adjusted difference = 6.6%, 95% CI= 1.9—11.3,  $p<0.01$ ).

### Considerations

- This study did not meet best-evidence criteria because there was no measurement of viral load.
- Analyses were adjusted for site, WHO clinical stage, occupation, and language.
- This intervention also meets criteria as an evidence-based intervention for the Linkage to, Retention in and Re-engagement in HIV Care (LRC) and Structural Interventions (SI) Chapters of the PRS Compendium.

### Non-significant effects on relevant outcomes:

- There was no statistically significant effects between the NAC and Cash Transfers intervention arm and NAC-only comparison arm for MPR $\geq$  80% adherence at 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 8.1, 95% CI= -1.6—17.7; adjusted difference = 8.5, 95% CI= -1.0—18.0).
- There were no statistically significant effects between the NAC and Food Baskets intervention arm and NAC-only comparison arm for
  - MPR $\geq$  80% adherence at 6 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted analyses only) (unadjusted difference = 8.3, 95% CI= -1.8—18.3)
  - MPR $\geq$  95% adherence at 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 8.7, 95% CI= -4.2—21.5; adjusted difference = 9.5, 95% CI= -2.6—21.7)
  - MPR $\geq$  80% adherence at 12 months post-initiation of intervention (unadjusted difference = 2.4, 95% CI= -7.7—38.1; adjusted difference = 2.5, 95% CI= -7.3—38.4)

### Funding

National Institute of Mental Health (S.I.M. K01MH094246)

National Institute of Health (Fogarty grant TW009338)

## REFERENCES AND CONTACT INFORMATION

McCoy, S. I., Njau, P. F.; Fahey, C., Kapologwe, N., Kadiyala, S., Jewell, N. P., Dow, W. H., & Padian, N. S. (2017). [Cash vs. food assistance to improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected adults in Tanzania](#). *AIDS*, 31, 815-825.

### Researcher: Sandra I. McCoy, PhD, MPH

Division of Epidemiology

School of Public Health

University of California

2121 Berkeley Way West MC 7360

Berkeley, CA 94720

Email: [smccoy@berkeley.edu](mailto:smccoy@berkeley.edu)

