# **2010 NEW YORK STATE HIV TESTING LAW**

Evidence-Informed for Linkage to HIV Care Evidence-Informed Structural Intervention

# **INTERVENTION DESCRIPTION**

#### **Goal of Intervention**

• Improve linkage to HIV care

#### **Target Population**

- Persons newly diagnosed with HIV
- Medical providers who diagnose HIV

#### **Brief Description**

The *New York State HIV Testing Law* requires diagnosing providers to refer persons newly diagnosed with HIV to follow-up medical care.

#### **Intervention Duration**

Ongoing

## **Intervention Setting**

• Sites where HIV diagnosis is conducted

## Deliverer

• Medical providers who diagnose HIV

## **Structural Mechanism of Change**

- Policy/Procedure—Legislation
  - o Legal requirement for referral to HIV medical care following diagnosis
- Access
  - $_{\odot}$  Increased access to HIV testing and medical care

## **INTERVENTION PACKAGE INFORMATION**

**An intervention package is not available at this time.** For intervention materials, please contact **James Tesoriero**, Division of HIV/STD Epidemiology, Evaluation and Partner Services, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, 12237.

Email: james.tesoriero@health.ny.gov for details on intervention materials.

## **EVALUATION STUDY AND RESULTS**

#### **Study Location Information**

The original evaluation was conducted in New York State.

#### **Recruitment Settings**

HIV/AIDS surveillance systems of the New York State Department of Health and the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

#### **Eligibility Criteria**

Persons aged 13 years and older at the time of diagnosis who had an initial HIV diagnosis date between January 1, 2007 and December 31, 2012 were eligible. Persons with perinatal infections were excluded. Surveillance reports were used as the data source.

#### **Study Sample**

Study participants in the post-law cohort (i.e., individuals newly diagnosed with HIV after the law went into effect) (n = 6,850) had the following characteristics:

- 44% black or African American, 30% Hispanic/Latino, 20% white, 3% Asian Pacific Islander, 0.1% Native American, 3% multiracial\*
- 50% men who have sex with men (MSM), 14% heterosexual, 14% female presumed heterosexual, 3% persons who inject drugs, 2% men who have sex with men and inject drugs, 18% unknown transmission risk\*
- 5% 13-19 years old, 16% 20-24 years old, 16% 25-29 years old, 25% 30-39 years old, 22% 40-49 years old, 12% >50 years old\*

#### Comparison

The pre-law cohort (i.e., study participants who were newly diagnosed with HIV prior to the law went into effect) (n = 16,452) was demographically similar to the post-law cohort.\*

#### **Relevant Outcomes Measured**

• Linkage to care was measured as having completed an HIV primary care visit (i.e., documented CD4 or viral load in surveillance records) in a 3-month period.

#### **Significant Findings on Relevant Outcomes**

- For the pre-/post-comparison analysis, the data set was partitioned into cases diagnosed before and after the introduction of the law. Logistic regressions were performed <u>separately</u> for the pre- and post-law periods adjusting for quarter of diagnosis (i.e., diagnosis date coded to the nearest quarter of a year), sex, race/ethnicity, age at diagnosis, transmission risk group and residence at diagnosis. The regression coefficient was significantly higher post-law than pre-law (0.091 vs. 0.029, p < .05) suggesting that newly HIV-diagnosed persons were linked to care at a faster pace in the period of time after the law went into effect compared to the period of time before the law went into effect.
- For the whole period analysis, the univariate analysis found that a significantly greater percentage of postlaw newly HIV-diagnosed persons had a HIV primary care visit within 3 months compared to pre-law newly HIV-diagnosed persons (83% vs. 75%; OR = 1.64 CI: 1.53 – 1.76, p < 0.001), but the difference was not significant (p = 0.111) after adjusting for quarter of diagnosis, sex, race/ethnicity, age at diagnosis, risk group, and residence at diagnosis.

Entry into care was significantly lower (p < 0.001) for blacks and Hispanics compared with whites, for all younger age groups (i.e., 13-19 years old, 20-24 years old, 25-29 years old, 30-39 years old, 40-49 years old) compared to those aged 50 years and older, for persons with injection drug use transmission risk and unknown transmission risk compared with MSM, and residents of New York City compared with those residing in the rest of the state.</li>

## Strengths

- Sample size was greater than 100.
- Pre- and post-law cohorts were demographically comparable.

## Funding

Not specified

## \*Information provided by the author

# **REFERENCES AND CONTACT INFORMATION**

Gordon, D. E., Bian, F., Anderson, B. J., & Smith, L. C. (2015). <u>Timing of entry to care by newly diagnosed HIV</u> <u>cases before and after the 2010 New York State HIV testing law</u>. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 68*, S54-S58.

Researcher: James Tesoriero Division of HIV/STD Epidemiology, Evaluation and Partner Services New York State Department of Health Albany, New York 12237 Email: james.tesoriero@health.ny.gov

